

ATTACHMENT M-2

**Transmission Service and Interconnection Service Procedures
for Competitive Electricity Acquisition Processes (CEAPs)**

1. Preamble

This attachment contains certain replacement and additional procedures required in order for interconnection customers to obtain NRIS, and for Network Customers to obtain modifications to its Network Integration Transmission Service, for generation resources participating in and selected in a Competitive Electricity Acquisition Process.

2. Definitions

Capitalized words not defined in this Section 2 of Attachment M-2 shall be given the meanings ascribed to them elsewhere in this Tariff.

Competitive Electricity Acquisition Process (CEAP) means a competitive process initiated by a Network Customer to acquire electricity from CEAP Generators.

CEAP Generator means a generation resource that is the subject of a CEAP IR, and **CEAP Generators** means all such generation resources.

CEAP IR means an Interconnection Request for Network Resource Interconnection Service received from a Participant on the CEAP IR Submission Date in accordance with the provisions of this Attachment M-2 and Section 3.3.1 of the SGIP.

CEAP IR Submission Date means the date specified by a Network Customer for the submission of CEAP IRs in connection with any CEAP.

CEAP Transmission Information means information relating to the Transmission System that could assist in evaluating the incremental costs to a Network Customer for its Network Integration Transmission Service if it were to designate CEAP Generators as

ACCEPTED: JAN 17 2011
ORDER NO. 619210



COMMISSION SECRETARY

Network Resources, including without limitation transmission cut-planes and reinforcement options.

D-Connected CEAP Generator means a generation resource, the proponent of which is participating in a CEAP, but for which no CEAP IR is submitted because the generation resource is seeking interconnection to the Network Customer's distribution system.

Optional CEAP Studies means studies involving the Transmission System or CEAP IRs or both that could assist a Network Customer in selecting the Successful Participants.

Participant means the proponent of a CEAP Generator, and **Participants** means all such proponents.

Reasonable Efforts means efforts that are timely and consistent with Good Utility Practice and are otherwise substantially equivalent to those a person would use to protect the person's own interests.

SGIP means Attachment M-1 of the Tariff.

Successful Participant means a Participant with whom a Network Customer is prepared to contract with for the supply of power in connection with any CEAP.

Workshop means the workshop referred to in Paragraph 4.3 below.

3. Relationship with Tariff

The provisions of the balance of the Tariff apply to CEAP IRs and any Applications for a modification to NITS received by the Transmission Provider in connection with any CEAP, except as otherwise specifically provided in this Attachment M-2.

ACCEPTED: JAN 17 2011
ORDER NO. 619210



COMMISSION SECRETARY

4. CEAP Procedures

4.1 Advanced Notice of CEAP

A Network Customer that wishes to avail itself of the provisions of this Attachment M-2 in connection with a CEAP, and make the provisions of this Attachment M-2 available to Participants in that CEAP, shall provide the Transmission Provider with at least 60 Calendar Days' prior written notice before formally issuing or commencing the CEAP.

4.2 Consultation

A Network Customer and the Transmission Provider shall consult during the period beginning on receipt by the Transmission Provider of the notice contemplated by Paragraph 4.1 and ending on the date the CEAP Transmission Information is provided to the Network Customer in respect of the following:

- (a) Design considerations for the CEAP for coordination with the Tariff;
- (b) Milestones for activities to be undertaken pursuant to this Attachment M-2;
- (c) Scope and volume of CEAP Transmission Information;
- (d) Scope and volume of Optional CEAP Studies;
- (e) Date of the Workshop; and
- (f) Coordination of studies related to D-Connected CEAP Generators.

The purpose of the consultation is to assist the Network Customer in designing and implementing a CEAP process that meets the Network Customer's needs and integrates with the Tariff, including the timelines provided for in this Attachment M-2.

ACCEPTED: JAN 17 2011
ORDER NO. G 1 92 10



COMMISSION SECRETARY

4.3 Workshop

At Network Customer's request, or in the absence of such a request at Transmission Provider's option, Transmission Provider shall provide a workshop for Participants in respect of CEAP IR requirements at least 30 Calendar Days before the CEAP IR Submission Date.

4.4 Pre-Submission of CEAP IRs

Each Participant must submit an Interconnection Request for each of its CEAP Generators to the Transmission Provider at least 30 Calendar Days before the CEAP IR Submission Date. Sections 3.3.2, 3.3.3 and Appendix 1 (including Attachments) of the SGIP apply in respect of these Interconnection Requests.

4.5 CEAP IR Submission Date

A Network Customer shall specify a CEAP IR Submission Date for every CEAP. Notwithstanding Sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.3 of the SGIP, only valid Interconnection Requests received by the Transmission Provider from Participants on or before the CEAP IR Submission Date, together with an executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement and the deposits required by Sections 3.3.1 and 6.1 of the SGIP, will be accepted by the Transmission Provider as CEAP IRs and processed in accordance with this Attachment M-2. Section 3.3.4 of the SGIP does not apply to CEAP IRs. Section 3.4 of the SGIP only applies to CEAP IRs from and after the CEAP IR Submission Date.

4.6 CEAP IR Queue Position

Notwithstanding the definition of Queue Position in the SGIP, the Queue Position for CEAP IRs shall be deemed to have been received simultaneously at 12:00 AM on that CEAP IR Submission Date and each shall be assigned the same Queue priority.

ACCEPTED: JAN 17 2011
ORDER NO. 619210


COMMISSION SECRETARY

4.7 Interconnection Feasibility Studies

The Transmission Provider shall complete an Interconnection Feasibility Study for each CEAP IR in accordance with Section 6 of the SGIP except as follows:

- (a) Notwithstanding Section 6.2 of the SGIP, the Interconnection Feasibility Study for each CEAP IR will not consider the other CEAP IRs;
- (b) Notwithstanding Section 6.3 of the SGIP, Transmission Provider shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete all Interconnection Feasibility Studies for the CEAP IRs within 10 weeks of the CEAP IR Submission Date;
- (c) Notwithstanding Sections 6.3 and 3.4 of the SGIP, Transmission Provider shall deliver all Interconnection Feasibility Studies to Participants on the same day, and shall only post the Interconnection Feasibility Study reports to its OASIS site 10 Business Days after their delivery to Participants; and
- (d) Notwithstanding Section 6.1 of the SGIP, substitute Points of Interconnection shall not be available.

4.8 CEAP Transmission Information

The Transmission Provider shall use Reasonable Efforts to publish publicly the CEAP Transmission Information requested by the Network Customer during the consultation contemplated by Paragraph 4.2 above no later than the date on which it delivers the Interconnection Feasibility Study reports to Participants as contemplated by Paragraph 4.7 above. The Transmission Provider shall use Reasonable Efforts to account for all pending Applications received at least 60 Calendar Days immediately prior to the CEAP IR Submission Date and all Applications for Point-to-Point Transmission Service received prior to the CEAP IR Submission Date in the CEAP Transmission Information.

ACCEPTED: JAN 17 2011
ORDER NO. G 1 92 '10



COMMISSION SECRETARY

4.9 Optional CEAP Studies

The Transmission Provider shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete and report on the Optional CEAP Studies requested by the Network Customer within 26 weeks of the CEAP IR Submission Date. The Network Customer may not request Optional CEAP Studies that cannot be reasonably completed by the Transmission Provider within that 26-week period. If the Optional CEAP Studies require the use of information contained in the CEAP IRs, the Network Customer must obtain the Participants' consent to the use of such information in the Optional CEAP Studies.

4.10 Selection of Successful Participants

Within 30 weeks of the CEAP IR Submission Date or 4 weeks after receipt by the Network Customer of the Optional CEAP Studies, whichever is later, the Network Customer shall provide written notice to the Transmission Provider of the Successful Participants and shall submit an Application for a modification of its NITS to address the following, and only the following, matters:

- (a) designating the Successful Participants as Network Resources in accordance with Section 29 of the Tariff; and
- (b) as necessary to reflect the selection by the Network Customer as part of the same CEAP of D-Connected CEAP Generators in respect of which the Network Customer is reasonably likely to contract for the supply of power.

If the Network Customer provides such notice and submits such an Application the CEAP IRs of Participants other than Successful Participants will be deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.6 of the SGIP. If the Network Customer fails to provide such notice or fails to make such an Application all Participants' CEAP IRs will be deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.6 of the SGIP.

ACCEPTED: JAN 17 2011
 6 1 92 '10
ORDER NO. _____



COMMISSION SECRETARY

4.11 Timing of Combined Study Agreement

Notwithstanding Section 7.1 of the SGIP, the Transmission Provider shall only tender a Combined Study Agreement to Successful Participants. Transmission Provider shall tender a Combined Study Agreement to a Successful Participant no later than seven Calendar Days after the Transmission Provider receives the Network Customer's written notice of the Successful Participants pursuant to Paragraph 4.10 above. If a Successful Participant fails to return an executed Combined Study Agreement and deposit as required by Section 7.2 of the SGIP to the Transmission Provider within 30 Calendar Days of its tender, the Successful Participant's CEAP IR will be deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.6 of the SGIP.

4.12 Deemed Withdrawal of CEAP IR

The CEAP IRs of any Participant that is no longer eligible to participate in the CEAP for any reason, or any Participant that does not comply with the requirements of this Attachment M-2, will be deemed withdrawn pursuant to Section 3.6 of the SGIP.

4.13 Standards of Conduct Prevail

Except as specifically provided for herein, nothing herein shall detract from or limit the Transmission Provider's obligations to comply with its Standards of Conduct.

5. Multiple CEAPs

Subject to any relief obtained by a Network Customer pursuant to Paragraph 7.1, if a Network Customer issues or commences a CEAP with a CEAP IR Submission Date less than one year after the CEAP IR Submission Date of any other CEAP issued or commenced by that Network Customer, then:

- (a) Section 4 of this Attachment M-2, as modified by paragraphs (b) to (f) below, will apply in respect of the second CEAP, and Section 6 of this Attachment M-2 will not apply in respect of the second CEAP;

ACCEPTED: JAN 17 2011
ORDER NO. 619210


COMMISSION SECRETARY

- (b) The Transmission Provider will not consider any of the CEAP IRs in connection with the second CEAP in any System Impact Study, Facilities Study or Interconnection Study commenced before the Transmission Provider receives the Network Customer's written notice of the Successful Participants pursuant to Paragraph 4.10 above in connection with the second CEAP;
- (c) When received by the Transmission Provider, the Network Customer's Application for a modification to its NITS pursuant to Paragraph 4.10 above in connection with the second CEAP will have a deemed service priority as though it had been received at 12:00 AM on the second CEAP's CEAP IR Submission Date, immediately after the CEAP IRs in connection with the second CEAP;
- (d) Where as a result of Paragraph 5(b) or Paragraph 5(c), a restudy of any:
 - i. System Impact Study or Facilities Study completed in connection with any Application with a lower service priority to the Network Customer's Application for a modification to its NITS pursuant to Paragraph 4.10 above in connection with the second CEAP; or
 - ii. Interconnection Study completed in connection with any Interconnection Request with a lower Queue Position than the CEAP IRs in connection with the second CEAP,

is or would be necessary, then in respect of such Interconnection Request or Application:

- iii. the Network Customer will indemnify and save harmless the Transmission Provider, and the Interconnection Customer associated with such Interconnection Request or the Transmission Customer associated with such Application as applicable, from and against:

A. all restudy costs; and

ACCEPTED: JAN 17 2011
ORDER NO. 619270



COMMISSION SECRETARY

- B. the costs of the Interconnection Facilities or Direct Assignment Facilities, as applicable, identified by the restudy to the extent they: (x) are incremental to the costs of the Interconnection Facilities or Direct Assignment Facilities, as applicable, identified by the original study; and (y) arise as a result of Paragraph 5(b) or Paragraph 5(c); and

- iv. notwithstanding anything else contained in the Tariff, the Network Customer may be required and such Interconnection Customer or such Transmission Customer, as applicable, will not be required to post Security in respect of the costs of any Network Upgrades identified by the restudy to the extent they: (x) are incremental to the costs of the Network Upgrades identified by the original study; and (y) arise as a result of Paragraph 5(b) or Paragraph 5(c);

- (e) Where the Transmission Provider has not completed sufficient study work in respect of a pending Interconnection Request or Application by the second CEAP's CEAP IR Submission Date to identify its impacts to the Transmission System, the Transmission Provider will not consider such Application or Interconnection Request in any of the Interconnection Feasibility Studies or Optional CEAP Studies it conducts in connection with the second CEAP; and

- (f) Where after completing sufficient study work in respect of a pending Interconnection Request or Application referred to in Paragraph 5(e) a restudy of a CEAP IR in connection with the second CEAP is or would be necessary then in respect of such CEAP IR:
 - i. the Network Customer will indemnify and save harmless the Transmission Provider, and the Interconnection Customer associated with such CEAP IR from and against:
 - A. all restudy costs; and

ACCEPTED: JAN 17 2011
ORDER NO. 619210



COMMISSION SECRETARY

- B. the costs of the Interconnection Facilities identified by the restudy to the extent they: (x) are incremental to the costs of the Interconnection Facilities identified by the original study; and (y) arise as a result of the completed study work in respect of a pending Interconnection Request or Application referred to in Paragraph 5(e); and

- ii. notwithstanding anything else contained in the Tariff, the Network Customer may be required and such Interconnection Customer will not be required to post Security in respect of the costs of any Network Upgrades identified by the restudy to the extent they: (x) are incremental to the costs of the Network Upgrades identified by the original study; and (y) arise as a result of the completed study work in respect of a pending Interconnection Request or Application referred to in Paragraph 5(e).

6. Processing Other Service Requests

6.1 Processing of Interconnection Requests with Lower Queue Positions

Notwithstanding Section 6.1 of the SGIP, the Transmission Provider shall not tender an Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement to any Interconnection Customer with an Interconnection Request with a lower Queue Position than the CEAP IRs until the earlier of:

- (a) the Network Customer's selection of the Successful Participants; and
- (b) a determination by the Transmission Provider that: (i) none of the CEAP IRs could affect the Interconnection Study results of such Interconnection Request with the lower Queue Position; and (ii) no Interconnection Request with a higher Queue Position in respect of which the Transmission Provider has not yet tendered an Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement could affect the

ACCEPTED: JAN 17 2011
ORDER NO. 619210


COMMISSION SECRETARY

Interconnection Study results of such Interconnection Request with the lower Queue Position.

6.2 Processing of Transmission Requests

Notwithstanding Part II and Part III of the Tariff, all Applications received by the Transmission Provider from and after the CEAP IR Submission Date until the Network Customer submits its Application for a modification to its NITS pursuant to Paragraph 4.10 above shall be deemed to have been received by the Transmission Provider, in the same order as originally received by the Transmission Provider, but immediately after the Network Customer's Application for a modification to its NITS made pursuant to Paragraph 4.10 above or, if no such Application is made, then beginning at 12:00 AM on the date such Application was to have been made, provided however, where the Transmission Provider is able to determine based on:

- (a) the CEAP IRs and corresponding Interconnection Feasibility Studies;
- (b) any studies completed by the Transmission Provider at the Network Customer's request in respect of D-Connected CEAP Generators; and
- (c) Applications with a higher service priority,

that the processing of such an Application will not affect the System Impact Study results or Facilities Study results of:

- (d) the Network Customer's Application for a modification to its NITS pursuant to Paragraph 4.10; or
- (e) all Applications with a higher service priority whose processing has been delayed because of this Paragraph 6.2,

the Transmission Provider shall process such Application in accordance with the Tariff.

ACCEPTED: JAN 17 2011
ORDER NO. 619270



COMMISSION SECRETARY

7. Relief from Requirements of this Attachment M-2

7.1 Application to the Commission for Relief

A Network Customer may apply to the Commission for relief from one or more of the requirements contained in this Attachment M-2 where a variance serves the broader public interest.

ACCEPTED: JAN 17 2011
ORDER NO. G 1 92 '10



COMMISSION SECRETARY