

Fred James Chief Regulatory Officer Phone: 604-623-4046 Fax: 604-623-4407 bchydroregulatorygroup@bchydro.com

April 30, 2020

Mr. Patrick Wruck Commission Secretary and Manager Regulatory Support British Columbia Utilities Commission Suite 410, 900 Howe Street Vancouver, BC V6Z 2N3

Dear Mr. Wruck:

RE: Project No. 1598975 British Columbia Utilities Commission (BCUC or Commission) British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority (BC Hydro) Supply Chain Applications Project (SCA Project) CONFIDENTIAL Semi-Annual Progress Report No. 2 October 2019 to March 2020 (Report)

BC Hydro writes to provide its confidential Report in compliance with BCUC Order No G-78-19. The Report is consistent with other project-specific progress reports filed with the BCUC and provides an update on the SCA Project's scope and activities, cost, benefits, risks, and schedule, over the period from October 1, 2019 to March 31, 2020.

On March 16, 2020, BC Hydro implemented social distancing measures in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. From March 17, 2020, the Project team has been working remotely and all activities that require significant person-to-person contact have been postponed. The Project was on track to meet its May 2020 In-Service date, within scope and on budget. However, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, BC Hydro made the decision to defer planned in-person training activities. This deferral will lead to a change to the Project's In-Service date and Expected Cost Estimate. BC Hydro will provide an update on the Expected and Authorized Cost Estimates and the revised In-Service date as soon as changes have been approved by BC Hydro's Board of Directors.

BC Hydro provides additional information in Progress Report No. 2 that it committed to provide in its confidential responses to BCUC Staff Confidential Information Requests filed on March 13, 2020 and as requested by the BCUC in its letter dated March 25, 2020.



Page 2 of 2

The following list identifies the additional information:

- Additional information on the System Integrator's cost and contract (Appendix E);
- Description of Project contingency drawn-to-date (Table 4, section 4.2, pages 16-17);
- Description of Project reserve drawn-to-date (Table 5, section 4.3, page 18);
- Amount of remaining Project reserve (Table 6, section 4.3, page 18); and
- Assessment for whether additional Project reserve or funding will be required to complete the Project (sections 2, 4.1, and 4.3).

BC Hydro is providing the confidential Report to the Commission only. A public version of the Report is being filed under separate cover redacting commercially sensitive and contractor-specific information and is available at <u>www.bchydro.com</u>.

BC Hydro seeks this confidential treatment pursuant to section 42 of the *Administrative Tribunals Act* and Part 4 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

For further information, please contact the undersigned.

Yours sincerely,

man

Fred James Chief Regulatory Officer

cu/tl

Enclosure



BC Hydro Supply Chain Applications Project

Progress Report No. 2

October 1, 2019 to March 31, 2020

PUBLIC



Table of Contents

| 1 | Back | ground | | 1 | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Project Status | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Project Scope & Activities | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.1 | Major A | ccomplishments and Work Completed | 4 | | | | | |
| | | 3.1.1 | Configuration | 4 | | | | | |
| | | 3.1.2 | Detailed Design and Writing of Custom Program Code | 4 | | | | | |
| | | 3.1.3 | Data Migration | 5 | | | | | |
| | | 3.1.4 | "Go-live" Planning | 5 | | | | | |
| | | 3.1.5 | Testing | 5 | | | | | |
| | | 3.1.6 | End-User Documentation & Training | 6 | | | | | |
| | | 3.1.7 | Value Assurance Services | 6 | | | | | |
| | 3.2 | Plans fo | or Next Six Months | 6 | | | | | |
| | 3.3 | Quality . | Assurance Advisor's Monthly Report | 7 | | | | | |
| 4 | Proje | ect Cost | | 8 | | | | | |
| | 4.1 | Project | Cost Summary | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 | Project | Contingency | | | | | | |
| | 4.3 | Project | Reserve | 16 | | | | | |
| | 4.4 | Summa | ry of Individual Contracts Exceeding \$3.0 million | 17 | | | | | |
| 5 | Proje | ect Benef | it Realization Plan | 18 | | | | | |
| 6 | Proje | ect Risks | | 19 | | | | | |
| 7 | Proje | ect Schec | lule | 31 | | | | | |

List of Tables

| Table 1 Table 2 | Project Status Dashboard Identified Changes in Activities and Impact on the SCA Project | .3.4 |
|--------------------|---|----------|
| Table 3 | Project Expenditure Summary – Porecast and Actual Cost Project Contingency Draws & Deposits: Component Breakdown | .9 15 |
| Table 5 | Project Reserve Draw: Component Breakdown | 17 |
| Table 6 | Project Reserve Balance | 17 |
| Table 7 | Summary of Contracts exceeding \$3.0 million | 18 |
| Table 8 | Implementation Phase – Risks and Risk Mitigation Summary | 22 |
| Table 9 | Project Milestones | 33 |

Power smart

Appendices

- Appendix A Quality Assurance Advisor's Monthly Project as of March 20, 2020
- Appendix B Project Benefit Realization Plan Update
- Appendix C Risk Register
- Appendix D Project Schedule
- Appendix E System Integrator Additional Information

1 1 Background

British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority (BC Hydro) must procure materials and
services on a day to day basis in order to maintain safe and reliable electric service
to customers. Third party materials and service acquisitions are expected to be in
the order of \$2 billion annually for at least the next ten years. To meet BC Hydro's
current and future business needs, reduce risk, and provide benefits for supply chain
activities, BC Hydro is replacing its current supply chain IT platform with the Supply
Chain Applications Project (SCA Project or Project).

The new supply chain software and business processes to be put in place by the SCA Project are expected to provide the necessary supply chain tools for BC Hydro to more efficiently and effectively manage its third party materials and service acquisitions. The benefits of the SCA Project will include improved efficiency, risk reduction, and cost savings for materials and services procured over the life of the new SAP supply chain system.

In October 2017, the British Columbia Utilities Commission (**BCUC**) issued Order 15 No. G-158-17 accepting the SCA Project's capital expenditure schedule of 16 \$22.5 million to \$29.7 million required to complete work up to the end of the 17 Definition Phase and directed BC Hydro to file a Phase Two Verification Report 18 (Verification Report) at the end of the Definition Phase. BC Hydro filed the 19 Verification Report in October 2018, and in April 2019 the BCUC issued Order 20 No. G-78-19 accepting the capital expenditure schedule of \$38.5 million to 21 \$45.4 million to complete the Implementation Phase. 22

BC Hydro was directed to file semi-annual progress reports on the SCA Project.

BC Hydro filed Progress Report No. 1 in October 2019 and provided confidential

responses to BCUC Staff Confidential Information Requests on Progress Report

No. 1 on March 13, 2020. Progress Report No. 2 covers the period from

October 1, 2019 to March 31, 2020 (the **reporting period**).

1 2 Project Status

From October 2019 to the end of March 2020, the Project team has completed or 2 significantly progressed work on the following major activities: development and 3 testing of custom code, integration testing, user-acceptance testing, and end-user 4 documentation. The Project was on track to meet its May 2020 In-Service date, 5 within scope and on budget. However, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, 6 BC Hydro made the decision to defer planned in-person training activities. This 7 deferral will lead to a change to the Project's In-Service date and Expected Cost 8 Estimate. 9

On March 16, 2020, BC Hydro implemented its social distancing measures. From 10 that date, the Project team has been working remotely and has still been able to 11 execute many of the planned activities. As can be expected, activities that will 12 require significant person-to-person contact have been postponed. The Project team 13 is also identifying and assessing the impact of these measures on Project activities. 14 Some of the identified impacted activities include the need to transition training from 15 a classroom-based delivery model to a remote delivery model, challenges with 16 onboarding external suppliers and ensuring integration activities are carried out 17 safely and in alignment with social distancing guidelines, and providing post go-live 18 support to end users. The Project team is currently reviewing options for how best to 19 proceed with these activities. As the situation is still evolving, the impact on the 20 Project's forecast cost and schedule has not yet been finalized. BC Hydro will 21 provide an update on the Expected and Authorized Cost Estimates and the 22 In-Service date once they have been approved by BC Hydro's Board of Directors 23 (Board of Directors). 24

²⁵ This section discusses the status of the Project as at the end of the reporting period.

| 1 | т | able | e 1 Project Status Dashboard | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Green: No Concerr | ns; (| Amber: Some Concerns but in Control; Red: Serious Co | me Concerns but in Control; Red: Serious Concerns | | | | | | | |
| | Status as of: | | March 31, 2020 Over | | | | | | | | |
| | Overall Assessment | • | The overall rating for the Project is red due to the impact of taken in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. As discussed Report No.1, there was an expected change in the Project's date due to a delay in completing integration testing and oth activities. The In-Service date was changed from the Februar May 2020 (three-month extension). In February 2020, the B Directors approved a draw of \$6 million on the Project reser costs associated with changes to the planned In-Service date March 16, 2020, the Project was on track to meet the May 2 date. Due to the Government of B.C. and BC Hydro's social guidelines, activities that will require significant person-to-per have been postponed. The Project team is currently reviewi completing those activities safely. As the situation is still evolution in the Project's cost and schedule is yet to be finalized. | the measure in Progress In-Service er downstrary 2020 to oard of ve to cover te. Prior to 2020 In-Ser distancing erson conta ng options olving, the red. | res ss eam vice ict for | | | | | | |
| | Scope & Activities There have been no material changes to the Project scope since the star of the Implementation Phase. There will be changes to planned activities due to social distancing guidelines. Please refer to section <u>3</u> for more information on the changes to planned Project activities. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cost | • | In February 2020, the Board of Directors approved a draw of the Project reserve to cover a three-month extension to the result of the draw, the Project reserve is now \$2 million. Due of the measures taken in response to the COVID-19 pander anticipates there will be a further change in the Project cost situation is evolving, a cost estimate of the pandemic's impa- been determined. The Project's forecast cost at completion within the range of the accepted capital expenditure schedu COVID-19 pandemic, BC Hydro believes that the Project's f may exceed the BCUC accepted capital expenditure schedu uncertainty is the reason for the red rating. Please refer to s more information on the Project cost. | of \$6 million schedule. / e to the imp nic, BC Hyd As the not has not is currently le. Due to t orecast cos ule range. T ection <u>4</u> for | on As a bact dro yet the st This r | | | | | | |
| | Benefits | ٠ | There are no changes in the Project's planned baselines, m measures, and / or the tracking plan in this reporting period. section $\underline{5}$ for a description of the work completed to-date. | etrics, Please ref | er to | | | | | | |
| | Risk | • | The rating for Project risk is amber as the full impact on the COVID-19 pandemic is not yet known. The Project continue as safely as possible, but changes to how activities are under the Project cost and schedule are expected. Please refer to an updated assessment of the Project's risks. | Project of t s to progre ertaken and section <u>6</u> f | he ss d to or | | | | | | |

| Status as of: | March 31, 2020 | Overall: | |
|---------------|---|--|---|
| Schedule | As was reported in the Progress Report No.1, a number of c activities were progressing behind schedule placing the In-S risk. The schedule slippage was not recoverable, resulting in the In-Service date from February 2020 to May 2020. While has been progressing on schedule towards the May 2020 In due to the measures taken in response to the COVID-19 pa Project will not be placed in service in May 2020, leading to for schedule. The Project team is still assessing the impact of situation. Please refer to section <u>7</u> for further information on the Project schedule. | critical path Service date a change the Project Service da ndemic the the red ration of this evolve the change | e at to ate, ng ring es to |

3 **Project Scope & Activities** 1

There were no material changes in Project scope in the reporting period. This 2

section covers the major accomplishments and work completed in the reporting 3

period as well as provides updates on planned activities in the next reporting period. 4

There was one change to Project activities in the reporting period as outlined in 5

Table 2 below. Table 2 provides a summary of the identified change and the impact 6

- of the change. The cost implication of this change is also discussed in the section 4. 7

| ¢ | 2 |) | |
|---|---|---|--|
| | | | |
| | _ | | |
| Ç | J | ۱ | |

| Table 2 | Identified Changes in Activities and |
|---------|--------------------------------------|
| | Impact on the SCA Project |

| Description of Change | Identified Impact |
|--|-------------------|
| SAP team of experts to provide Value Assurance Services to the Project (see section $3.1.7$ below) | Additional cost |

3.1 Major Accomplishments and Work Completed 10

- The following sections describe the major accomplishments during the current 11
- reporting period. 12

3.1.1 Configuration 13

System configuration activities are complete. 14

3.1.2 **Detailed Design and Writing of Custom Program Code** 15

- Detailed design activities and custom program code development activities are 16
- complete for the core transactional components of the system. The area of 17

- development outstanding is the completion of the reporting solution. Report
- ² development will be completed in the next period.
- 3 3.1.3 Data Migration
- ⁴ During the current reporting period, the project started:
- Testing custom programs required to extract migration data from the legacy
 systems;
- 7 To transform the data into the required format for loading; and
- To load the data into the new SAP system.
- 9 Work also continued this period on data cleansing and enrichment activities.
- 10 Examples of these activities include: cleansing existing vendor records, capturing
- additional data to support enhanced quality management processes, classification
- and enrichment of existing contract information, and cleansing and enriching of
- 13 inventory management data.
- 14 **3.1.4** "Go-live" Planning

In this reporting period, testing continued on all data extraction, transformation and
load programs. Utilizing the cutover plan developed in the previous period, the
Project successfully completed two more practice system conversions to develop the
environment used in the second cycle of integration testing and the environment
used for user-acceptance testing. The results of these conversion exercises were
used to further detail the system cutover plan. Detailed planning was started for the
fourth system conversion exercise, which will be executed early in the next period.

22 **3.1.5 Testing**

In this reporting period, the Project completed the second of two planned cycles of
integration testing, and successfully completed user-acceptance testing. As of
March 31, 2020, the team had logged over 3,700 system defects. Of these, almost

3,470 had been successfully fixed and roughly 260 remain open. Of the current open
 defects, none are listed as having a critical impact (i.e., they would prevent the

3 system from being placed into service) and 62 are listed as having a high impact

4 (i.e., they seriously impair the system's ability to operate). Defect levels have

5 decreased significantly in recent weeks and are on track to meet established quality

6 criteria required to go live.

7 3.1.6 End-User Documentation & Training

By the end of the reporting period, the development of end-user training material 8 was essentially complete. There is additional work required to revise the training 9 materials to be better suited for remote delivery as they were initially developed for a 10 classroom-based delivery. The assessment of the effort required to transition to 11 remote training is currently underway and is expected to be complete in the next few 12 weeks. Development of the classroom-based training schedule for over 1,000 13 employees was also completed this reporting period. Due to the need to transition to 14 a remote delivery model, these plans will need to be reviewed and updated. 15

16 **3.1.7 Value Assurance Services**

BC Hydro engaged SAP to provide value assurance services to the Project. Value assurance services includes the following activities: an assessment of critical business transactions, a review of key program code, and additional technical support during go-live and stabilization. Planning for the delivery of the value assurance services was underway at the end of the reporting period.

22 **3.2 Plans for Next Six Months**

23 Work to be started or completed in the next reporting period includes the following:

- Updating of end-user training materials and training delivery plans to
- accommodate remote training, and delivery of end-user training (focusing on
- training required prior to the system go-live);

- Completion of report development and testing;
- Completion of data cleansing and enrichment activities;
- Establish pre-go live baseline measures for tracking the realization of benefits,
- as identified in Appendix I of the Verification Report;
- Delivery of the value assurance services;
- Finalization of cutover planning activities and execution of additional "mock"
- 7 cutover events in preparation for the go-live weekend; and
- Execution of the system go-live event.

9 **3.3** Quality Assurance Advisor's Monthly Report

- 10 KPMG remains the Quality Assurance Advisor on the Project and continues to
- provide reports on the Project's performance and progress on a monthly basis to the
- ¹² Project's Steering Committee. The report provides ongoing assessments on the
- 13 governance structure, financial controls, benefits structure, project schedule and
- deliverables, and decision making, amongst other key areas.
- ¹⁵ Please see the latest monthly report submitted by KPMG attached as **Appendix A**.

BC Hydro

Power smart

1 4 Project Cost

2 4.1 Project Cost Summary

In Report No. 1, the total Project Forecast Cost Range was \$71.3 million to

- 4 \$79.3 million. This included the actual total cost for the pre-Implementation phase of
- 5 \$25.5 million, the BCUC accepted capital expenditure schedule range of
- ⁶ \$38.5 million to \$45.4 million to complete the Implementation Phase, and the
- 7 estimated operating cost range to complete the Implementation phase of \$7.4 million

8 to \$8.5 million.

9 In February 2020, the Board of Directors approved a draw on the Project reserve of

10 \$6 million (\$1.4 million operating and \$4.6 million capital) to cover additional costs

associated with the change in the Project's In-Service date from February 2020 to

May 2020. As a result of this change, the total Project Forecast Cost Range is

currently \$77.3 million to \$79.3 million, and the Project reserve is \$2 million.

As noted in <u>Table 1</u>, due to the impact of the measures taken in response to the

15 COVID-19 pandemic, BC Hydro anticipates a further change in the Project cost and

schedule. As the situation is still evolving and an estimate of the cost impact is not

17 yet finalized, the Expected and Authorized Cost Estimates in <u>Table 3</u> and the

variance explanations do not reflect the COVID-19 pandemic cost impact.

¹⁹ When the estimate of the cost impact is finalized, BC Hydro believes the Project's

²⁰ forecast cost may exceed the BCUC accepted capital expenditure schedule for the

21 Implementation Phase.

22 <u>Table 3</u> shows the current Project forecast cost and the actuals-to-date. Also

- included in this section are explanations of the variances between the Current
- ²⁴ Forecast Cost and the accepted Verification Report Cost Estimate.

| | | Α | В | C | D | Е | F | G | Н | I | J | K | L | М | N |
|-------------|--|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| L i n | | Verific | ation Repo Estimate | ort Cost | Prior Rep ort's Fore cast | Curre | ent Forecas | t Cost | Forecast Cost Analysis | | | | Actual Cost Analysis | | |
| e # | Description | CapEx Cost | OpEx Cost | Total Cost | Total Cost | CapEx Cost | OpEx Cost | Total Cost | \$M [G - D] | \$M [G - C] | % [G / C] | Notes | Actual Cost-to- Date \$M | % of Current Forecast [L / G] | % of Verification Report Cost Estimate [L / C] |
| 1 | Pre-Implementation Costs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Supply Chain Transformation Blueprint (Early Design Costs) | 7.3 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0% | | 7.3 | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| 3 | Identification Phase Costs | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0% | | 1.2 | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| 4 | Definition Phase Costs | 15.3 | 1.6 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 15.4 | 1.5 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.3% | | 16.9 | 100.0% | 100.3% |
| 5 | Total Pre-Implementation Phase Cost | 22.6 | 2.8 | 25.4 | 25.5 | 22.7 | 2.7 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.2% | 1 | 25.5 | 100.0% | 100.2% |
| 6 | Implementation Phase Costs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | System Integrator's Direct Costs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Realization | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Final Preparation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Stabilization | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Total System Integrator's Estimated Cost | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | BC Hydro's Internal Direct Cost | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Realization | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Final Preparation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Stabilization & Extended Onboarding | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Total BC Hydro's Internal Estimated Cost | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3 Project Expenditure Summary – Forecast and Actual Cost

| | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | В | C | D | E | F | G | Н | I | J | K | L | М | N |
| L i n | | Verific | ation Repo Estimate | ort Cost | Prior Rep ort's Current Forecast Cost Fore cast | | | | Forecast Cost Analysis | | | | Actual Cost Analysis | | |
| e # | Description | CapEx Cost | OpEx Cost | Total Cost | Total Cost | CapEx Cost | OpEx Cost | Total Cost | \$M [G - D] | \$M [G - C] | % [G / C] | Notes | Actual Cost-to- Date \$M | % of Current Forecast [L / G] | % of Verification Report Cost Estimate [L / C] |
| 17 | Total Implementation Phase Direct Costs | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Contingency (% * Direct Future Costs) | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Interest During Construction | 2.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100% | 10 | 1.9 | 82.6% | 82.6% |
| 20 | Total Implementation Phase Expected Cost Estimate | 38.5 | 7.4 | 45.9 | 45.7 | 42.2 | 9.7 | 51.8 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 112.9% | | 45.0 | 86.8% | 98.0% |
| 20 | Total Project Expected Cost Estimate | 61.1 | 10.2 | 71.3 | 71.3 | 64.9 | 12.4 | 77.3 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 108% | | 70.5 | 91.2% | 98.9% |
| 21 | Project Reserve - Reserve For Known Risks | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | -1.0 | -1.1 | 23% | | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 22 | Project Reserve - Incremental Contingency | 5.4 | 1.1 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.7 | -4.8 | -4.8 | 26% | | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 23 | Incremental Interest During Construction on project reserve | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 50% | | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 24 | Total Project Reserve | 6.9 | 1.1 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | -6.0 | -6.0 | 25% | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 25 | Total Project Authorized Cost Estimate | 68.0 | 11.3 | 79.3 | 79.3 | 66.9 | 12.4 | 79.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100% | | 70.5 | 89.0% | 88.9% |

Numbers may not add up due to rounding

- 1 The notes below explain the variance between the Current Forecast Cost and the
- 2 Verification Report Cost Estimate and the total forecast as at the end of the prior
- ³ reporting period. Notes refer to notes 1 to 11 in Column K of <u>Table 3</u>, referencing the
- 4 change in Columns H and I:

5 Changes in Pre-Implementation Phase Costs:

- 6 1. There has been no change in the pre-Implementation Phase costs from what
- vas reported in Progress Report No. 1. The change from the Verification
- 8 Report was reported in Progress Report No. 1.

| 9 | Changes in System Integrator Implementation Phase Direct Costs: |
|----|---|
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |

| 1 | |
|----|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| | |
| 16 | Changes in BC Hydro Direct Costs: |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | |



| 1 | | |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 0 | Cha | nges in Total Implementation Phase Costs & Indirect Costs: |
| 0 | | nges in total implementation i hase costs & indirect costs. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | 10. | In this reporting period, the forecast Interest during Construction (IDC) for the |
| 26 | | Implementation Phase has increased by \$400,000 due to the three-month |
| 27 | | change in the In-Service Date. Coincidentally, IDC decreased by the same |

| 1 | amount in the prior reporting period so there is no change in total IDC from |
|---|--|
| 2 | what was provided in the Verification Report. |

11. The total Project reserve has decreased by \$6 million. The Board of Directors
approved a draw of \$6 million on the Project reserve to cover the rest of the
cost of resource time required due to the three-month change in the In-Service
date, and additional contingency and IDC. The draws on Project reserve
approved by the Board of Directors is outlined in <u>Table 5</u> below. Please refer to
section <u>4.3</u> for a discussion of the draw on the Project reserve.

9 4.2 Project Contingency

Project contingency draws are approved by the Project Steering Committee, and
 none of the approved contingency draws are as a result of changes in the project
 scope.

¹³ In this reporting period, the extension to the Project schedule resulted in draws on

| 14 | contingency of | to cover the | |
|----|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| 15 | | | As outlined in section 3, SAP |
| 16 | was retained to provide QA | A value assurance serv | vices. |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | There were two deposits ir | nto contingency this rep | porting period: \$6 million Project |
| 19 | reserve draw discussed fu | rther in section <u>4.3</u> , and | d |
| 20 | | (see note <u>2.b</u> | in section <u>4.1</u>). The contingency at |
| 21 | the end of the reporting pe | eriod is | |
| 22 | Please refer to section $\frac{3}{2}$ for | or a description of the s | ervices SAP will provide and |
| 23 | section 7 for a discussion of | on the extension to the | Project schedule. |
| 24 | Table 4 provides a detailed | d description of the pro | ject contingencies drawn to-date as |
| 25 | requested by BCUC Staff i | in the letter dated Marc | , 5, 2020. |
| | , , | | |

Total

Contingency

(\$ '000)¹

5,688

| 1 | Table 4 Pr | Project Contingency Draws & Deposits: | | | |
|---|-------------|---------------------------------------|---------|-----------|---|
| 2 | Co | Component Breakdown | | | |
| | Description | Impact | Capital | Operating | Γ |

| | | Contingency (\$ '000) ¹ | Contingency (\$ '000) ¹ |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Contingency from the Verification Repo | ort | 4,724 | 964 |
| Contingency Draws Report No. 1 | | | |
| Increased Ariba license fees and implementation services. Negotiated fees exceeded budgeted amount. | Additional cost | | |
| Additional BC Hydro IT testing support resources | Additional resources | | |
| BC Hydro Project Coordinator role (unplanned) | Additional resource | | |
| Funding for overtime pay for BC Hydro employees for additional work in the Definition and Implementation phases | Additional resource time | | |
| System Integrator costs for additional training courses to be developed | Additional resources | | |
| Additional BC Hydro (non-System Integrator) costs resulting from the schedule change (November 2019 to February 2020 In-Service date) and additional detail design work | Schedule change and additional cost | | |
| Additional System Integrator costs resulting from the schedule change (November 2019 to February 2020 In-Service date) and additional detail design work | Schedule change and additional cost | | |
| Additional Quality Assurance Advisor costs resulting from schedule change (November 2019 to February 2020 In-Service date) | Schedule change and additional cost | | |
| Access to Ariba via single sign-on | Additional cost | | |
| Subtotal Contingency Draws Report No. | o. 1 | | |
| Contingency at the beginning of report | ing period | | |

| | Reduced cost | | | |
|--|--------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Project reserve Draw (see <u>Table 5</u>) | | 4,631 | 1,355 | 5,986 |

BC Hydro

| Description | Impact | Capital Contingency (\$ '000) ¹ | Operating Contingency (\$ '000) ¹ | Total Contingency (\$ '000) ¹ |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Subtotal Contingency Deposits Report | No. 2 | | | |
| Contingency Draws Progress Report No. | 2 | | | |
| Additional System Integrator costs ³ to cover schedule extension ^{2, 4} (February 2020 to May 2020 In-Service date) | Schedule change and additional cost | | | |
| Additional BCH costs to cover schedule extension ^{2, 4} (February 2020 to May 2020 In-Service date) | Schedule change and additional cost | | | |
| Incremental IDC for to cover schedule extension ⁴ | Additional cost | | | |
| Cost for SAP to provide QA value assurance services ² | Activity change and additional cost | | | |
| Subtotal Contingency Draws Report No | o. 2 | | | |
| Contingency at the end of reporting pe | | | | |
| Numbers may not add up due to rounding | | | | |

8

4.3 Project Reserve

9 The Project reserve is controlled by the Board of Directors. The Project cannot

access the Project reserve without first obtaining approval from the Steering
 Committee, the Chief Executive Officer, and the Board of Directors. To secure the

release of the Project reserve, a formal expenditure authorization request revision is

13 required.

In February 2020, the Board of Directors approved a draw on the Project reserve of

15 \$6 million to cover additional costs associated with the three-month schedule

extension, including additional contingency and IDC. <u>Table 5</u> provides a component

breakdown of the \$6 million Project reserve draw.

| Table 5 Project Reserve Draw: Component Breakdown | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description of Change | Capital Reserve (\$ '000) ¹ | Operating Reserve (\$ '000) ¹ | Total Reserve (\$ '000) ¹ | | |
| Additional BCH costs to cover schedule extension | | | | | |
| Additional System Integrator costs to cover schedule extension ² | | | | | |
| Contingency (15% of BC Hydro direct costs still to be spent) | | | | | |
| Incremental IDC | | | | | |
| Total Project Reserve Draw | 4,631 | 1,355 | 5,986 | | |

4

Table 6 below provides the remaining Project reserve and highlights the changes in 5

the Project reserve from what was provided in the Verification Report. As discussed 6

in section 2, due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, additional Project reserve 7

will be required to complete the Project. 8

Table 6 **Project Reserve Balance** 9 Description Verification Report Report No. 2 (\$ millions) (\$ millions) Project Reserve for Unknown Risks 1.7 6.5 Project Reserve for Known Risks Offshore Development risk 0.0 1.0 Unifier to SAP interface risk 0.3 0.3 Incremental Interest During Construction (IDC) 0.2 0.1 Remaining Project Reserve¹ 8.0 2.0

1. Numbers may not add up due to rounding 10

4.4 Summary of Individual Contracts Exceeding \$3.0 million 11

The table below provides a summary of the total contract with PwC, the System 12

Integrator, as reflected in the Statements of Work. There are no other contracts 13

exceeding \$3 million. 14

| _ |
|-----|
| • • |
| |
| |
| |

Table 7Summary of Contracts exceeding
\$3.0 million

| No. | Supplier and Scope of Supply | | Initial Contract Value (\$ million) | Forecast Contract Cost (\$ million) | Actuals to March 31, 2020 (\$ million) |
|-----|------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | PwC | System Integrator Costs – Design Stage | | | |
| 2 | PwC | System Integrator Costs – Implementation Phase | | | |

3 1 Total fixed fee as of Statement of Work #2 signed on February 9, 2018

4 2 This is the final contract amount for the work completed in the Design Stage.

5 3 Total fixed fee as of Statement of Work #3 signed on October 19, 2018.

6 4 Actual costs to date based on PwC's cost accrued in BC Hydro's accounts.

7 5 Project Benefit Realization Plan

8 The Project team has been iteratively assessing business impacts of the new

9 system and processes as they were being built and tested. In February 2020, the

team started to analyze and consolidate this information into five key deliverables

that will collectively become the Benefits Realization Plan:

- (i) Updated Benefits Tracking Sheets: these are the tracking sheets for individual
 benefits, including updated baselines, measures and metrics;
- (ii) Effort Based Benefit Monetization Framework: as outlined on page 3-14,
- lines 4-19 of the Verification Report, individual effort reduction benefits are not
- necessarily linked directly to a headcount reduction, but rather it is the
- 17 cumulative reduction from several effort benefits combined that will enable
- headcount reduction (i.e., monetization of effort benefits). This deliverable will
- ¹⁹ identify the business areas with the greatest potential impact from a
- 20 combination of benefits and will outline the approach and governance to ensure
- the impacted business groups are leveraging the new capabilities to adjust the
- way they work in order to achieve the targeted headcount reductions;

1 (iii) Key Actions by Role or Business Group: this involves identifying the key behaviours and actions required from specific roles or business areas in order 2 to realize the identified benefits; 3 (iv) Transition Plans by Business Group: the business groups will outline their 4 respective roadmaps for transitioning to the key behaviours and actions 5 identified above, during the benefits ramp-up period; and 6 Benefits Tracking and Reporting Framework: this involves outlining the roles, (v) 7 responsibilities and governance for ongoing benefits tracking and for 8 implementing corrective actions if targeted benefits are not being realized. 9 The deliverables listed above had been progressing on schedule towards the 10 May 2020 milestone date. As of March 31, 2020, the related activities are tracking 11 behind plan due to the impact of measures taken in response to the COVID-19 12 pandemic. For example, time and motion studies to capture pre go-live baselines 13 have been temporarily postponed due to social distancing measures and the work 14 from home protocol. The Project team is assessing the impact of the COVID-19 15 pandemic on the schedule for developing the Benefits Realization Plan. BC Hydro 16 will provide an update on the assessment in Progress Report No. 3 that will be filed 17 on October 30, 2020. 18

Until these deliverables are complete, there will be no finalized changes in planned
 baselines, metrics, measures, and / or the tracking plan. Therefore, Benefit Tracking
 Sheets filed as Appendix I-1 with the Verification Report reflect the most up-to-date
 information on the expected benefits and the tracking plan.

23 6 Project Risks

In this section, BC Hydro provides updated mitigation plans and status, and updates
 on the probability and impact assessments for risks as identified in the Phase Two
 Verification Report in <u>Table 8</u>. Project risks continue to be managed through the risk

1 management process, and identification of new risks is supported by the ongoing

² quality assurance assessments provided each month by the Project's Quality

³ Assurance Advisor. Please refer to **Appendix C** for the Project Risk Register.

4 The progression in Project activities, the decrease in the number of unknown

5 elements, and the fact that the impact of the solution is better defined would have

⁶ led to our assessing the overall Project risk as lower at the end of the reporting

7 period. But, the uncertain impact of the measures taken in response to the

8 COVID-19 pandemic is a significant new risk. It has also created a set of

9 circumstances that could well increase the probability or impact of other Project

risks. BC Hydro is assessing the Project cost and schedule impact of the COVID-19

11 pandemic.

BC Hydro has grouped the risks into the following four categories:

Business risk: These risks have the potential to impact BC Hydro's ability to 1. 13 realize business benefits from the project. They include: how the extent of 14 change required to current business processes impacts BC Hydro's ability to 15 realize the benefits upon which the project is justified (the supporting of the 16 Supply Chain Business Requirements, the closing of the capability gaps and 17 the achievement of monetized benefits); and the risk the business experiences 18 a reduction in productivity during the transition period from the existing to new 19 supply chain; 20

Technology risk: The technology risk assessment considers the maturity of
 the technologies used to deliver the technical solution. Overall, the SAP supply
 chain IT configuration that BC Hydro plans to implement is very mature and
 considered low risk from both a probability and consequence perspective.
 However, there are a few elements included in the project design which are

less mature and for which limited deployment experience exists at BC Hydro;

- 3. Project Delivery risk: The project delivery risk assessment considers the key
 project delivery related risks that have been identified as having the potential to
 impact BC Hydro's ability to deliver the project on time, on budget and with
 quality; and
 Readiness risk: The readiness risk assessment considers the key risks related
- to organizational readiness that has the potential to impact BC Hydro's ability to
 successfully undertake the project.

| No. | Risk Category | Risk Current Risk Event / Updated Mitig ategory Risk Threats & Mitig | Updated Mitigation Plans & Mitigation | n Plans Verification Report | | | Report No. 2 | |
|-----|------------------|---|---|--|----------------------|---|----------------------|---|
| | | Status | | Assessment | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact |
| 1 | Business | Active | Risk that the scale of business process changes is too large to be absorbed successfully by BC Hydro. | Change management business engagement activities continue to be executed according to plan. Impacted business groups highly engaged in development of detailed transition and readiness plans. | In Progress | Medium probability; medium impact. | In Progress | Medium probability; medium impact. |
| | | | | Governance processes continue to function effectively. | In Progress | | In Progress | |
| | | | | Previous plans regarding the extended stabilization period and the development of detailed benefits realization plans remain unchanged. | In Progress | | In Progress | |

| Table 8 | mplementation Phase – Risks and Risk Mitigation Summary |
|---------|--|
| | inplementation i hase i thisks and thisk integration bailing |

| No. | Risk Category | Current Risk | Risk Event / Threats | ent / Updated Mitigation Plans Verification Report & Mitigation | | Risk Event / ThreatsUpdated Mitigation Plans & Mitigation | | on Report | Repo | rt No. 2 |
|-----|------------------|--------------------|---|---|------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|------|----------|
| | | Status | | Assessment | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | | |
| 2 | Business | Active, Updated | Risk that reduced productivity is experienced by the business while it transitions to the new supply chain | Change management business engagement activities continue to be executed according to plan. Impacted business groups highly engaged in development of detailed transition and readiness plans. The need to work remotely may reduce the effectiveness of initial stabilization and user-support activities. This increases the impact of this risk materializing from low to medium. Previous plans regarding the extended stabilization | In Progress Planned | High probability; low impact. | In Progress Planned | High probability; medium impact. | | |
| | | | | period remain unchanged. | | | | | | |
| 3 | Technology | Inactive | Risk that integration between SAP Fiori and UI5 screens is more costly to develop than anticipated | Development completed within budget. | Monitoring | Low probability; Low impact | Complete | Risk has passed | | |

| No. | Risk Category | Risk Current Risk Event / Category Risk Threats Status | Risk Event / Threats | Updated Mitigation Plans & Mitigation | Verificatio | on Report | Report No. 2 | |
|-----|---------------------|--|--|---|----------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| | | | | Assessment | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact |
| 4 | Technology | Active | Risk that interfaces to be developed between SAP and Unifier Construction Contract Management are new to BC Hydro, leading to unclear business needs | Confirmed that the additional interface is most likely not required. Will continue to monitor through stabilization to confirm this is the case. | Monitoring | Low probability; Impact estimated at \$0.3 million | Monitoring | Very Low probability; Impact estimated at \$0.3 million |
| 5 | Project Delivery | Inactive | Risk of adverse or delayed British Columbia Utilities Commission Order | | Complete | Risk has passed | Complete | Risk has passed |
| 6 | Project Delivery | Inactive | Risk of requirement to undertake a protracted regulatory process in order to proceed with Implementation phase work | Regulatory process completed without disruption to project timeline. | Monitoring | Low probability; High impact | Complete | Risk has passed |

| No. | Risk Category | Current Risk | Risk Event / Threats | Updated Mitigation Plans & Mitigation | Verificatio | Verification Report | | rt No. 2 |
|-----|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Status | Assessment | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | |
| 7 | Project Delivery | Inactive, Updated | Risk that the proposed offshore development model is determined to be impractical or ineffective. As discussed in section 2.3.2.1 [of the Verification Report], the benefits of offshore development include, but not limited to, lower cost and a 24-hour development cycle due to having resources in another time zone. | Other than fixing remaining system defects, offshore development is essentially complete. Quality issues related to offshore development contributed to delays in system build and testing activities resulting in the need to access the Project reserve component for known risks. | Monitoring | Low probability; impact estimated at \$1 million. | Issue Triggered | Issue Triggered |
| 8 | Project Delivery | Inactive | Risk of unsuccessful System Integrator Request for Proposal | Closed prior to filing the Verification Report. | | | | |

| No. | Risk Category | Current Risk | Risk Event / Threats | Updated Mitigation Plans & Mitigation | Aitigation Plans Verification Report | | Repo | rt No. 2 |
|-----|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | | Status | | Assessment | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact |
| 9 | Project Delivery | Active | Risk of weak project governance | KPMG continues to be engaged and working closely with the project team. Risk has materially passed as governance processes continue to function effectively. | In Progress | Low probability; high impact | Monitoring | Very Low probability; high impact |
| 10 | Project Delivery | Active, Updated | Risk of poor project management | KPMG continues to be engaged and working closely with the project team. BC Hydro's project governance processes continue to work effectively. The probability of this risk was increased in the previous period as a result of having to repeatedly re-plan work due to schedule slippage. This period, the probability has decreased due to improved planning and progress tracking. The impact of the risk was reduced from high to medium in the previous period, and has not changed this period. | Monitoring | Medium probability; high impact | Monitoring | Medium probability; medium impact |

| No. | Risk Category | RiskCurrentRisk Event /UpCategoryRiskThreats | | Current Risk Event / Risk Threats | | t / Updated Mitigation Plans & Mitigation | Verificatio | on Report | Repoi | rt No. 2 |
|-----|---------------------|--|---|---|----------------------|--|----------------------|---|-------|----------|
| | | Status | | Assessment | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | | |
| 11 | Project Delivery | Active | Risk of lack of clear Supply Chain Business Requirements | Business requirements further detailed through early Implementation Phase detailed design activities and recorded in the realization deliverables: Updated Functional and Non-functional Requirements List and Requirements Traceability Matrix. | Monitoring | Low probability; Medium impact | Monitoring | Low probability; Medium impact | | |
| 12 | Project Delivery | Active | Risk of scope creep, unnecessary complexity and customization | Project change control processes functioning effectively for managing minor design changes. No material scope changes requested or approved. No significant scope risks exist currently. | Monitoring | Low probability; medium impact | Monitoring | Low probability; medium impact | | |
| 13 | Project Delivery | Active | Risk of unforeseen PassPort functionality issues | BC Hydro PassPort support team fully engaged in project activities, including testing of new solutions and assessing impacts on remaining PassPort functions. | Monitoring | Low probability; high impact | Monitoring | Low probability; medium impact | | |

| No. | Risk Current Risk Event / Category Risk Threats Status | Current Risk | Current Risk Event / Risk Threats | Risk Event / Threats | Updated Mitigation Plans & Mitigation | Verificatio | on Report | Repo | rt No. 2 |
|-----|--|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--------------------|---|----------|
| | | Assessment | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | | | |
| 14 | Project Delivery | Active, Updated | Risk of poor quality of delivery by System Integrator | Quality issues were one of the components leading to delays in achieving quality thresholds for the completion of both cycles of integration testing, therefore impacting the overall project schedule. | In Progress | Medium probability; high impact | Issue Triggered | Issue Triggered | |
| 15 | Project Delivery | Active | Risk of low data quality and or data not being ready according to Project Schedule | Detailed data clean-up and enrichment plans development and being executed. | In Progress | Medium Probability; medium impact | In Progress | Low probability; medium impact | |
| 16 | Readiness | Active, Updated | Risk of lack of availability of BC Hydro non-technology resources | Project continues to operate effectively with planned resource levels. Resources working remotely may impact effectiveness of some activities. There is no change to the probability or impact. | In Progress | Low probability; high impact | In Progress | Low probability; high impact | |

| No. | Risk Category | Current Risk | Risk Event / Threats | Updated Mitigation Plans & Mitigation | Verificatio | Verification Report | | rt No. 2 |
|-----|---------------------|--------------------|---|---|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|
| | | Status | Assessment | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | |
| 17 | Readiness | Active, Updated | Risk of lack of availability of BC Hydro technology functional sustainment resources | Project continues to operate effectively with planned resource levels. Resources working remotely may impact effectiveness of some activities. There is no change to the probability or impact. | In Progress | Low probability; medium impact | In Progress | Low probability; medium impact |
| 18 | Project Delivery | Active, Updated | Risk that reporting is not developed in time for initially planned testing dates | Reporting development and testing plans updated based on prioritization of reporting required for go-live. Required go-live reports targeted for completion by end of May 2020. | | | Issue Triggered | Issue Triggered |
| 19 | Project Delivery | Active, Updated | Risk that training materials are not developed in time for initially planned training delivery dates | Corrective actions have been effective, and materials are being completed to plan. This has reduced the probability from high to low. | | | In Progress | Low probability; medium impact |

| No. | Risk Category | Current Risk | Risk Event / ThreatsUpdated Mitigation PlansVerification Report& MitigationVerification Report | | Updated Mitigation Plans Verification Report & Mitigation | | Repo | rt No. 2 |
|-----|---------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|---------------------------|----------------------|---|
| | | Status | itatus | Assessment | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact | Mitigation Status | Probability and Impact |
| 20 | Project Delivery | New | Risk that measures taken in response to the COVID-19 pandemic may result in a need to deliver training over an extended period and reduce the effectiveness of training and stabilization activities, resulting in schedule delays and additional costs. | This is a very recent, significant, and currently evolving risk. BC Hydro is assessing the potential impacts and mitigation strategies. | | | In Planning | High probability; high impact |
| 21 | Project Delivery | New | Risk that a significant portion of the project team or key individuals within the project team are infected with COVID-19 | Remote working protocol implemented mid March and in-person training activities to be replaced with remote training. | | | In Progress | Low probability; critical impact |

7 Project Schedule

2 In this section, BC Hydro provides the updated Project schedule and explains

- changes in the Project's schedule. In February 2020, the Board of Directors
- ⁴ approved a change in the In-Service date to May 2020.

As discussed in section 2, this In-Service date is now at risk given the impact of the
social distancing measures taken in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. BC Hydro
is currently assessing the schedule impacts of these measures and will provide an
update on any changes to the planned In-Service date once the change has been
approved by the Board of Directors.

In February 2020, the Board of Directors approved an update to the Project 10 schedule, setting the In-Service date in May 2020. This extended the system testing 11 schedule by three months from the previous In-Service date in February 2020. The 12 decision to place the Project into service in May 2020 was driven by the amount of 13 time lost to unrecoverable schedule slippage encountered while completing system 14 build and testing activities. The unrecoverable schedule slippage has led to a delay 15 in other deliverables such as the development of end-user training materials and the 16 benefits realization plan. 17

- 18 Factors contributing to the schedule delay include:
- The complexity of BC Hydro's business processes;
- The high number of process variations that need to be configured, tested and documented; and
- The challenges experienced by the System Integrator, including specific issues
- with its ability to develop program code from its offshore facility, which
- necessitated transferring more work to North American developers.
BC Hydro Power smart

- 1 Collectively, these issues led to higher defect levels, resulting in delays in achieving
- ² the defined testing quality criteria. Achievement of pre-established quality criteria is a
- ³ key factor in meeting user satisfaction and minimizing potential negative operational
- 4 impacts when the new SAP system is placed in-service. This would prevent the
- 5 Project from "going live" with a high number of defects and having to incur additional
- 6 costs during the stabilization period.
- 7 The above noted three-month extension to the Project schedule resulted in draws on
- 8 contingency and Project reserve to cover the additional costs associated with the

⁹ increased use of resources. Refer to sections 4.2 and 4.3 for further information on

- the resulting contingency and reserve draws.
- <u>Table 9</u> below provides forecast dates on the key milestones for the Project as of
 March 31, 2020. While the Project has been progressing on schedule towards the
- ¹³ May 2020 In-Service date, BC Hydro anticipates further changes to the schedule
- ¹⁴ due to the measures taken in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- Please refer to Appendix D for the latest approved Project schedule. The Project
 schedule in Appendix D and the forecast Project milestone dates in <u>Table 9</u> do not
- 17 reflect any COVID-19 pandemic schedule impact.

BC Hydro Power smart

| 1 | | Table 9 Pro | ject Milestone | 6 | |
|---|-----|---|--|--|--|
| | No. | Stage | Planned Date | Actual or Forecast Date (as at March 31, 2020) | Status as of April 30, 2020 |
| | 1 | BC Hydro releases Implementation Phase work to System Integrator and Quality Assurance Advisor | October 2018 | October 2018 | Completed as scheduled |
| | 2 | Implementation - Build Solution | October 2018 to November 2019 | October 2018 to May 2020 | Solution build is on track to be complete by May 2020. But due to |
| | 3 | In-Service Date | November 2019 | May 2020 | delay in training activities in |
| | 4 | Implementation – Stabilization | March 2020 to Mid July 2020 | May 2020 to Mid September 2020 | COVID-19 pandemic, the In-Service date |
| | 5 | Implementation – Onboarding | March 2020 to March 2021 | May 2020 to May 2021 | and all future milestones will be delayed. |
| | 6 | Project Completion | March 2021 | May 2021 |] |



BC Hydro Supply Chain Applications Project

Progress Report No. 2

Appendix A

Quality Assurance Advisor's Monthly Project as of March 20, 2020

Appendix A



Monthly Project Update

Prepared for BC Hydro SCA Steering Committee

March 20, 2020

BC Hydro Supply Chain Applications Project

Activities Completed February 21 – March 20, 2020



- hypereale_neeeulee_ana_
- UAT Cutover Plan
- Cutover Resource Plan
- CR Tracker

br all Integrated Scenarios (MMQ, , WORK)
Change Management – Daniel Watt
Technology – Michel Maurivard, Sandeep Paul
Reporting – Diego Mendez, Wendy Cachero
Testing – Jal Aeleti, Ross Hunter
Training – Souli Vohradsky, Daniel Watt
Business Transition Planning – Scott Barbour
Security – Faye Nera



© 2020 KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

2

GETT Assessment Dimensions for This Period



Executive summary

| Project Management | Project plan, deliverables and resourcing | Schedule Based on KPMG's observations, project activities are tracking well according to plan (i.e. UAT execution, training material development, reporting FUT execution). COVID-19 introduces additional risk to resource capacity and schedule. Contingency planning is consuming time allocated for go-live preparations (e.g. for training, cutover readiness). National, provincial, and BC Hydro policies around social distancing and travel restrictions will also likely impact the project team's ability to execute pre-go-live preparations and cut-over weekend as originally planned. Accommodating these policies could create delays. |
|--------------------|--|--|
|--------------------|--|--|



Executive summary – Transition and Support (1/3)

| | Assessment Area | Trend | Assessment Criteria | Initial Findings |
|------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | | Business impact | Impacted stakeholders are identified (internal, external) Primary and secondary impacts are captured, assessed, communicated Workaround are captured Post-go-live catch-up activities captured | Internal and external stakeholders that will be impacted have been identified Project team has communicated system blackout dates Workarounds and post-go-live catch up activities are in the process of being developed |
| Transition and Support | Business cutover and transition | Business Transition | <u>Transition requirements</u> are captured from the business <u>Owners</u> are assigned to transition activities | Transition requirements are captured in KBU transition plans |
| | | Integration | <u>Transition plans are integrated</u>: Between KBUs With business cutover plan With technical cutover plan | Assessment for cross plan dependencies is in progress. Project team is in the process of integrating transition activities. |
| крмд | © 2020 KPMG LLP, a Can trademarks or trademarks | adian limited liability partnership of KPMG International. | and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperativ | re ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered |

Executive summary – Transition and Support (2/3)

| | Assessment Area | Trend | Assessment Criteria | Initial Findings |
|------------------------|----------------------|--|--|---|
| Transition and Support | | Activity sequencing and duration | <u>Cutover weekend activies are sequenced appropriately</u> <u>Duration is validated prior to cutover weekend</u> | Sequence has been tested, duration validated through UAT Will be validated through 2 additional mock cutovers |
| | Technical cutover | Cutover plan activities | <u>Cutover activities include the following</u>: Backup Transporting objects (configured objects, RICFEW objects) Validating successful transports Decommissioning legacy functionality (PassPort, RICFEWU) Data ETL and validation Hardware deployment and activation Initiating batch jobs Activating new security roles Rollback / contingency | Cutover plan covers most activities in assessment criteria Some have activities currently show as placeholders, will need to be updated based on findings from mock cutovers Scope and detailed procedures still need to be captured for some smoke test / validation activities. |
| | | Governance | <u>Procedures</u> have been captured for: Resourcing and shift management Environment management Reporting Managing and escalating issues & defects Gating Success criteria | Project team is in the process of assessing and documenting cutover governance activities |

Executive summary – Transition and Support (3/3)

| | Assessment Area | Trend | Assessment Criteria | Initial Findings |
|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---|---|
| Transition and Support | | Resourcing | <u>Resources have been identified</u> and sized appropriately for both SI and BCH <u>Roles have been defined</u> to a suitable level of detail <u>Resoures have been assigned and trained</u> to carryout Hypercare roles | Roles and resourcing have been assigned adequately |
| | Hypercare | Issue management | <u>Procedures and workflow</u> have been documented for managing and resolving issues: 1. Intake – how and what information will need to captured 2. Assessment & triage – who and how will issues be assessed and assigned 3. Resolution – how will issues be resolved, how will issues be escalated 4. Closure – what are the closeout procedures (ticket closeout criteria, validating solution, updating documentation, etc.) | Procedures and workflows have been captured to mostly a suitable level of detail for carrying out Hypercare activities In some area additional detail would help improve clarity. These areas are on the project team's radar and they are in the process of completing documentation and preparation. |
| | | Transition | <u>Hypercare exit-criteria</u> defined <u>Transition activities</u> are defined (between SI and BCH, between project team and sustainment) | Transition activities and exit criteria have been outlined in Hypercare plan. |



Executive summary – cont'd





Executive summary – cont'd

For this period the assessment identified the following key observations and recommendations.



an-18 Feb-18 Mar-18 Apr-18 May-18 Jun-18 Jul-18 Aug-18 Sep-18 Oct-18 Nov-18 Dec-18 Jan-19 Feb-19 Mar-19 Apr-19 May-19 Jun-19 Jul-19 Aug-19 Sep-19 Oct-19 Nov-19 Dec-19 Jan-20 Feb-20 Ma



© 2020 KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

9

Executive summary - cont'd

For this period the assessment identified the following key observations and recommendations.

| nent & Reporting | Data | Data Load Data conversion activities continue to track behind schedule. This has been caused by the degree of complexity required to load several data objects and from uncovering new data preparation requirements. The data team has indicated that current delays will not impact Go Live. The impacted data objects are on the project team's radar and work is underway is address risk areas |
|------------------|------|---|
| Data Manager | | <u>Training</u> Given that a large number of business rules need to be accommodated to successfully load data objects, KPMG is concerned that course content does not call out data dependencies required for enabling business processes and system functionality. KPMG encourages the project team to review training material on outline agreements to validate that, where relevant, business rules communicated to the data team to support conversion activities is captured in the training material. |



KPMG trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

Executive summary - cont'd

For this period the assessment identified the following key observations and recommendations.

| velopment | | Course Material Development Based on KPMG's assessment, the risk for training has decreased over this assessment period and will now indicate as yellow due to improvements with course and job aid delivery, resourcing, and progress tracking. KPMG continues to be concerned about schedule risk for training course development in the reporting stream as most reporting courses still require development and minimal progress has been made. |
|----------------|----------|---|
| Training & Dev | Training | Training Schedule & Logistics Due to Covid-19 concerns, the Training Team is developing a training contingency plan to ensure BC Hydro safety and travel policies are maintained during Train the Trainer and end-user training phases. The Training Team has indicated that in the event of an emergency, backup trainers for all courses have been identified and will be suitably prepared. |



KPMG trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.



| GE | TT | Assessment | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---|-------|--|---|---|
| Dime | nsion | Area | Trend | Expectation | Findings | Follow Up |
| Project Management | Project Plan, Deliverables, Resourcing | Project Plan and Resource Plan | Ļ | Project and resource plans are responsive to project changes (e.g. delayed or reordered activities, dependencies, resource and effort requirements, etc.) and feedback from Project Team. The re-baselined project plan reflects the findings of the SCA team and integrates lessons learned regarding timing, effort and resource requirements; areas of the project experiencing challenges are outlined to a greater level of detail to provide clarity and direction to team members. | Please refer to executive summary section for full details. | Please refer to executive summary section for full details. |

Key Findings



| GE Dime | TT nsion | Assessment Area | Trend | Expectation | Findings | Follow Up |
|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---|---|--|
| | Change Leadership | Change Leadership | | The Engagement and Communication Plan is suitably detailed (e.g. plan identifies: communication channels, detailed activities, roles and responsibilities, target completion dates, and progress tracking). Progress against the Engagement and Communication Plan is tracked and documented consistently. Engagement activities are executed according to plan | The Change Lead has indicated work is being done to develop a more robust plan to facilitate solution enablement, as well as measure and validate the business' adoption of the solution. Based on KPMG's observations, accountability and tracking for benefits realization continues to remain inconsistently defined. KPMG encourages the project to assign roles and responsibilities for benefits realization prior to go-live. | KPMG will continue to monitor solution enablement plans developed by the Change Team, and the approach for adoption validation approach. |
| e Management | Engagement & Communication | Engagement Activities | \Leftrightarrow | | | |
| Change | Business Readiness | Business Transition Plan | | The project has identified an approach to develop a transition plan which will address go-live, post go-live support; business, and technical, requirements; and dependencies by stream. Transition Point People for all Key Business Units have been on-boarded, and are updated as necessary on a regular basis by the BTP team. | Based on KPMG's observations, the overall transition plan contains the elements KPMG would expect (e.g. data freezes, transaction cut-offs, TPP training, etc.). In most cases, progress of individual KBU transition plans is dependent on the cutover plan. The BC Hydro Integration Lead has indicated the cutover plan will be released at the end of February 2020. | KPMG will continue to monitor the development of the transition plan, and it's integration with other SCA activities (e.g. training, cutover, etc.). |



© 2020 KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability par trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International. © 2020 KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered 14

| GE Dime | TT nsion | Assessment Area | Trend | Expectation | Findings | Follow Up |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------|--|--|---|
| eople | Training & Development | Training Development | | Training plans and trackers are kept up to date and are leveraged as a source of truth for progress and upcoming activities. Training materials are being developed with input from business, functional, and technical stakeholders; training materials cover both technical and business training requirements. | Please refer to executive summary section for full details. | Please refer to executive summary section for full details. |
| ۵. | Organizational Design | Organization Alignment | | Impacts to employees by the SCA Project are continuously documented and assessed. Changes to role descriptions are documented and validated by BC Hydro in accordance with HR standards. | The Change Management Lead is continuing to work with the HR department to finalize the two new roles being created. | KPMG to will follow up with the Change Team on the status of the HR review. |



| GETT Dimension | | Assessment Area | Trend | Expectation | Findings | Follow Up |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------|--|---|---|
| Process | Data Management & Reporting | Reporting | | The project plan accounts for report development and testing, and details while reports will be available for Go- live, and post Go-live. All reporting RTM entries are mapped to reports; unmapped RTM requirements are consistently documented and assessed and approved before they are modified or de-scoped; RTM validation is fully completed during ITC 2. Reporting validation and test execution is consistent across business streams. | Please refer to executive summary section for full details. | Please refer to executive summary section for full details. |



16

| | | U | 1 | 1 | | |
|------------|-----------------------------|---|-------|--|--|---|
| GE Dime | TT nsion | Assessment Area | Trend | Expectation | Findings | Follow Up |
| Process | Security | Security Plan | | Security roles will be appropriately and consistently tested during ITC 2. Role mapping between system roles, business resources and positions is done consistently and reflects the nuances of the various impacted groups and operating regions. The security approach considers access requirements for Data, System Transactions, and Reporting. | The Change Team is continuing the development of a revised role mapping document to address the concerns raised by the project regarding level of detail and consistency. The project has indicated that security roles will be assigned to the specific testers for UAT. | KPMG will continue to monitor role mapping activities, and the results of UAT pertaining to security roles. |
| Technology | Data Conversion / Migration | Data Requirements and Quality Assessment | | A suitable plan has been developed for data conversion, enrichment, and cleansing and is updated regularly. Data requirements have been suitably documented to include: data object description, scope inclusion, criticality for go-live, source system, target system, and quality. | Please refer to executive summary section for full details. | Please refer to executive summary section for full details. |



© 2020 KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

| GE Dime | TT nsion | Assessment Area | Trend | Expectation | Findings | Follow Up |
|------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------|--|---|---|
| Technology | System Build | Custom Development | | The resolution of change requests (CRs) and any associated development is monitored and tracked in a consistent and timely manner; testing dependencies are flagged and communicated to the testing team. CR development progresses according to the testing schedule. Outcomes of CRs are documented and dependent specification documents (i.e. FSD, TSD) are updated accordingly. | <u>Change Requests</u> Based on KPMG's observations, CR completion continues to track behind plan, impacting testing, defect resolution, and training (i.e. CR163 - Workflow approval). The project has communicated that no more CRs will be accepted until communicated otherwise. <u>Development Documentation</u> The Technology Team has indicated that Technical Specification and EKC documents will be updated during UAT to reflect new changes. | KPMG will continue to monitor development and testing progress for CRs. KPMG will follow-up with the Technology Team during the next assessment period to discuss progress of documentation updates. |



18

| GE Dime | TT nsion | Assessment Area | Trend | Expectation | Findings | Follow Up |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------|--|---|---|
| | Functional Testing | | | ITC 2 testing progresses according to plan (execution schedule and scope of string tests and integrated scenarios); de-scoped tests are consistently communicated and approved. A suitable approach for UAT has been developed and approved by project stakeholders; onboarding, execution, | | |
| Technology | Non-Functional testing | Functional Testing | | defect management and test cycle closure have been considered and documented in the UAT plan. Data dependencies for test scripts are documented and provided to the data team so sufficient data is staged for testing. A consistent process is followed to track test outcomes, manage defects, and assess solution progress. Defect are triaged, escalated and resolved in-line with the testing plan and any existing BC Hydro testing and defect management strategies. | Please refer to executive summary section for full details. | Please refer to executive summary section for full details. |



| GETT Dimension | | Assessment Area | Trend | Expectation | Findings | Follow Up |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------|---|---|---|
| Technology | Transition and Support | Cutover Plan | | The project has identified an approach to develop a cutover plan which will address mock, go-live, and post go-live activities and support; business, and technical, requirements; and dependencies by stream. The plan is endorsed by the business and shared with sufficient time for business stakeholders to prepare for Go-Live | Please refer to executive summary section for full details. | Please refer to executive summary section for full details. |



Appendix B

Historic Risk Ratings

BC Hydro Supply Chain Applications Project

Appendix A

Project Management

Historic risk health (higher = greater risk)





© 2020 KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International

Functional Testing

Historic risk health (higher = greater risk)





© 2020 KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

Reporting



^{© 2020} KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

24

Data Management

Historic risk health (higher = greater risk)



крмд

trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

BC Hydro Supply Chain Applications Project

© 2020 KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered

25

Appendix A

Training





© 2020 KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

Appendix C

March 2020 Meeting Agendas

Appendix A

Meeting Agendas

| Meeting | Date | Attendees | Topics |
|---|--------|--------------------------------|--|
| Project Directors | Mar 12 | Zaheer Shivji, Hugh Smith | Key messages from stream meetings, Project risk rating adjustments |
| Project Management Office | Mar 11 | Steven Purvis, Ivette Rico | Project risks, ITC 2 execution, reporting risk, training content development and progress, hypercare and cutover plans, UAT approach |
| Project Integration Team Lead | Mar 10 | Corey Lanovaz | ITC 2 execution, UAT prep, training, reporting, resource loading, role mapping |
| Purchasing & Contracts Team Leads | Mar 9 | Olivier Garsault, Brian Wong | ITC 2 execution, UAT prep, training, reporting, resource loading, role mapping |
| Work Management Team Leads | Mar 9 | Darin Thompson | ITC 2 execution, UAT prep, training, reporting, resource loading, role mapping |
| Inventory Management & Quality Team Lead and support | Mar 10 | Darren Gebert , Mario Ortega | ITC 2 execution, UAT prep, training, reporting, resource loading, role mapping |
| Data Management Team Leads | Mar 11 | Greg Turnbull, Tim Kikkert | ITC 2 data conversion progress, workflow CR dependencies, OA conversions, cutover and hypercare roles and responsibilities |
| Technology Team Leads | Mar 10 | Sandeep Paul, Michel Maurivard | Technical CR development progress, documentation updating, hypercare |
| Reporting Team Leads | Mar 12 | Wendy Cachero, Diego Mendez | Development status, resourcing concerns, testing plan, course catalogues development |
| Change Management Team Lead | Mar 10 | Daniel Watt | Project risks relating to training, business engagement, BTP, etc. |



© 2020 KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

| Meetina | Agendas |
|---------|----------|
| mooting | / gonado |

| Meeting | Date | Attendees | Topics |
|---------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|---|
| Testing Lead | Mar 10 | Jal Aeleti, Leonid Rachlin | ITC 2 execution (schedule, CR development, performance testing, security testing, reporting), UAT preparation, documentation of lessons learned |
| Training Leads | Mar 9 | Souli Vohradsky, Daniel Watt | Course development progress, resource management, training schedule, reporting and data course development approach |
| Business Transition Planning Leads | Mar 12 | Dulcie Smith, Scott Barbour | Documenting adoption decisions and benefit dependencies, cutover plan integration, Hypercare plan, roles and responsibilities |
| Security | Mar 9 | Faye Nera | Role mapping processes, security access and positon testing |



© 2020 KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

Appendix D

Planned Assessment Activities

Appendix A

Planned Assessment Activities for Next Period

The planned quality assurance assessment for the period of March 20th to April 17th will include the following items. This list is not meant to be exhaustive or comprehensive.

| Week of | Activities |
|------------------------|--|
| March 30 th | Review progress UAT execution, cutover preparation activities Follow-up on role mapping, cutover plan, hypercare plan; BTP activities |
| April 6 th | Interview Key Stakeholders (Work-stream Leads, Functional Leads, PMO, Project Directors) Review status of Cutover simulations, UAT execution, CR resolution, reporting testing, and development of training materials |
| April 13 th | Prepare Project Update to the Steering Committee |



© 2020 KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

Appendix A







BC Hydro Supply Chain Applications Project

Progress Report No. 2

Appendix B

Project Benefit Realization Plan Update
There are no changes in planned baselines, metrics, measures, and / or the tracking plan in this reporting period.



BC Hydro Supply Chain Applications Project

Progress Report No. 2

Appendix C

Risk Register

| ID Title | Created | Current Status | Risk Score Impa | ct Likeliho | ood Risk Owner | Treatment Strategy | Risk Response/Mitigation |
|---|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | created | current status | max beore mipe | Line Line | | incutient strategy | Project is currently assessing training delivery options and reviewing hypercare approaches to |
| | | | | | | | determine how best to mitigate. Impact the timeline and cost in process. |
| 112 Impact of COVID 19 on training delivery and stabilization activities | 2020-03-17 11 | :45 20. Qualified | 15 | 3 | 5 Shivji, Zaheer | Treat (or Reduction) | |
| | | | | | | | We are reviewing tasks dependencies, possibility to reduce the time, prioritize transactional data |
| | | | | | | | (Contracts. PO's that need to be ready day 1). |
| | | | | | | | We also plan to discuss with Customer Care and evaluate the possibility to close Call Center earlier |
| 113 Window to complete cut over tasks for Go Live | 2020-03-17 14: | :29 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 12 | 3 | 4 Rico, Ivette | Treat (or Reduction) | tha 5 pm. |
| | | | | | | | Project conversion is at the end of the conversion process. Project PO's are the only downstream |
| | | | | | | | object from the network activities and components. Project PU's may not be required on day 1, and it |
| | | | | | | | may be acceptable to take longer to load project POS. If projects is taken out of the critical path and |
| Load of network activities and material components is really slow and may exceed | | | | | | | anowed to run longer this would isolate the schedule risk to a smaller area. Full itermore, in necessary, projects could prioritize their networks into two batches allowing some projects to be converted |
| 114 the cutover weekend window | 2020-03-30 9 | 28 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 10 | 2 | 5 Rico, lvette | Treat (or Reduction) | sooner. |
| | | | | - | | | |
| | | | | | | | Continue testing to resolve specific issues and determine workaounds for remaining / unresolved |
| 115 SAP user validation impact on conversion objects | 2020-04-01 17 | :34 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 8 | 2 | 4 Rico, Ivette | Treat (or Reduction) | items. |
| | | | | | | | |
| Risk that a significant portion of the project team or key individuals within the | | | | | | | Team has been operating remotely since mid-March and continues to function effectively. Project will |
| 117 project team are infected with COVID-19 | 2020-04-28 10: | :58 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 8 | 4 | 2 Shivji, Zaheer | Terminate (or Avoidance) | continue to follow BC Hydro guidance regarding minimizing potential contact with the infection. |
| | | | | | | | SCA project established as a key priority by the Executive Team. A Solution Alignment forum has been |
| 20 laterdays descine between CCA and other species initiations | 2018 04 02 16 | 40 20 Mitiantian Strategy Defined | <i>c</i> | 2 | 2 Dias kusta | Treat (or Daduation) | established to manage system changes and environment availability. This body has representatives |
| 30 Interdependencies between SCA and other ongoing initiatives | 2018-04-03 10: | :40 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 6 | 2 | 3 Rico, ivelle | Treat (or Reduction) | To be reviewed as part of the results of planned system performance testing |
| 57 Performance issues SAP ECC-mansaction CJ20N | 2018-03-11 5. | .00 S0. Witigation Strategy Defined | 0 | 2 | 5 Gupta, Abililiav | Treat (of Reduction) | to be reviewed as part of the results of planned system performance testing. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Warehouse renovation project are changing the sequence of work to focus on the rebuilding of the |
| | | | | | | | main warehouse building ahead of the construction of the new Critical Spares storage building. This |
| | | | | | | | should allow the work in the main warehouse building to be completed in time to not impact SCA. |
| | | | | | | | SCA has asked that the main warehouse building to be completed by Sept 1, 2019. Some risk remains |
| | | | | | | | that their schedule will slip as they do not have final permitting yet. Darren to touch base with Eric |
| 67 Central Warehouse Renovation Project | 2018-11-19 11 | :59 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 6 | 3 | 2 Gebert, Darren | Treat (or Reduction) | Ekholm bi-weekly to continue to monitor risk. |
| | | | | | | | Project has no option but to accept this rick. Will continue to connect with the Controller's office to |
| Change in BC Hydro external auditor could introduce additional testing or review | | | | | | | hole to accept any notential requests of the project so they can be accommodated into plans as |
| 76 requirements | 2019-01-23 10 | :54 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 6 | 2 | 3 Shivii, Zaheer | Tolerate (or Acceptance) | early as possible. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Conduct analysis of transaction channels and mapping to existing contracts to determine which |
| | | | | | | | vendors will transact on each platform (Ariba vs. ECC Fiori). Use the outcome of this analysis to: |
| | | | | | | | refine estimate of future document volumes and compare to benchmarks provided by Ariba and |
| white show non-state in the second state of the state | | | | | | | existing commercial arrangements; |
| Additional SAP ECC or Ariba licensing may be required to support future state | 2040 02 25 22 | FR DO MANY AND CLUBS DURING | <i>c</i> | - | D. Ch | Total (constantion) | estimate number of vendors/users who will need to access ECC; |
| 84 service entry sneet process | 2019-03-25 22: | :53 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 6 | 2 | 3 Snivji, Zaneer | Treat (or Reduction) | - leverage BCH II as required to engage in commercial discussions with SAP. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1. Data team completes initial loads in S07/SD7. |
| | | | | | | | Determine the conversion window within the cutover window (based on how long all of the other table is the subsection) |
| | | | | | | | tasks in the cutover plan take). |
| | | | | | | | Extrapolate performance in S07/S07 to better determine impact and probability of risk. |
| | | | | | | | Arrange for Basis support to monitor test runs to look for opportunities for performance |
| | | | | | | | improvements. |
| | | | | | | | 6. Determine when a full size environment will be available for performance testing conversion and |
| 101 Performance of conversion during cutover weekend | 2019-06-21 14 | :07 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 6 | 2 | 3 Hunter, Ross | Treat (or Reduction) | advance this schedule if determined necessary by any of the tasks above. |
| User interface for entering materials from Outline Agreement might not be | | | | | | | UAT completed successfully. To be addressed in training. Will continue to monitor in production to |
| 104 acceptable for end users | 2019-09-10 15 | :13 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 6 | 2 | 3 Setiawan, Ben | Treat (or Reduction) | assess impact, if any. |
| | | | | | | | |
| Training materials not developed in time for initially plapped training delivery | | | | | | | Training material development prioritized and included in CO-18. Submission of initial set of one go- |
| 105 dates | 2019-09-25 15 | :19 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 6 | 3 | 2 Hunter, Ross | Treat (or Reduction) | live materials completed on schedule. Remaining materials continue to progress on schedule. |
| | | | | - | | | Given the dependency (timelines, testing, etc.), we'd recommend Ivette and Michel reach directly out |
| | | | | | | | to the project. The project is the MAM Project (PM: Peter Martens). |
| | | | | | | | << Update: These applications are mission critical and used hourly. This will reflect negatively on the |
| | | | | | | | project image. That said, there is a work around to restart the application (although quite |
| | | | | | | | inconvient)>> |
| 106 Mobile Technology Infinite Load Issues | 2019-11-05 10 | :49 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 6 | 2 | 3 Maurivard, Michel | Treat (or Reduction) | |
| | | | | | | | Deliverables that are mandatory for GL prioritized and non-mandatory deliverables deferred to post |
| | | | | | | | go live per CU-18. Some residual risk that priority deliverables will still not be completed. Continue to |
| | | | | | | | monitor progress. |
| 107 Not all deliverables might be completed to Pass Gate 4 | 2019-11-27.17 | 109 30 Mitigation Strategy Defined | 6 | 2 | 3 Purvis Stoven | Treat (or Reduction) | |
| 107 Not an denverables might be completed to Pass date 4 | 2015-11-2/ 1/: | .05 50. Witigation Strategy Dellhed | U | 2 | 5 Fulvis, steven | ineat (or neduction) | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Regression testing completed successfully. |
| an particular to the second state for stress to property | | | | | | | An integrated project plan has been created by SI, Trinoor and BC Hydro sustainment team to ensure |
| 20 Residual risk to "turn off" supply chain functions in PassPort. | 2018-02-20 10 | :37 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 4 | 2 | Z Peachey, Lanya | reat (or Reduction) | a comprehensive testing and conversion approach. Plans progressing on track. Continue to monitor. |

| ID Title | Created | Current Status | Risk Score Impac | t Likelihood | l Risk Owner | Treatment Strategy | Risk Response/Mitigation |
|---|-----------------|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 26 Repetitive impact to using relay / job descriptions as a result of the project | 2019 02 25 11.0 | 16 20 Milliontian Stratemy Defined | | 2 | 2 Johnson Vision | Tract (or Reduction) | Updated impact assessment completed in Design Stage confirmed there is not likely to be any significant change to union roles or job descriptions as a result of the project. Will keep risk open and continue to monitor as impacts to specific roles continue to be detailed through Realization. Impacted roles will be reviewed as part of the Transition Planning effort via the Transition Planning areas. HR representation will be on the teams to lead any effort to communicate with the union and make updates to the Descriptione: |
| 26 Potential impact to union roles / job descriptions as a result of the project | 2018-02-20 11:0 | 6 30. Witigation Strategy Delined | 4 | 2 | 2 Johnson, valerie | Treat (or Reduction) | updates to Job Descriptions. |
| Level of continued support for scheduled and ad-hoc excel exports on SCA data in 34 Passport & SAP | 2018-05-01 9:3 | 0 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 4 | 2 | 2 Setiawan, Ben | Terminate (or Avoidance) | This risk could be mitigated by a combination of terminate & treat, ensuring all required data is captured in ECC, SharePoint, etc and extracted to the BW with appropriate end user tools or an IT support model for ensuring access to the data when needed. |
| | | | | | | | |
| 46 SCA Regression Testing not final testing cycle | 2018-05-29 16:1 | 3 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 4 | 2 | 2 Rico, Ivette | Treat (or Reduction) | SCA project will ask for a freeze of the Pre-Prod environment to ensure other projects are not going live at the same time or impacting SCA. This will greatly reduce the risk of other non-SCA changes impacting SCA after IT Cycle testing where current non-SCA regression testing is planned. |
| Residual risk - Fail to reclassify of "SAP Personnel Number" to become non private information may result in Partner Number on PO/OA transactions need to be 80 masked. | 2019-03-11 12:5 | 4 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 4 | 2 | 2 Setiawan, Ben | Treat (or Reduction) | Plans in place to remove treatment of personnel number as confidential. Some minor residual risk that may not be fully complete prior to go-live. Will contiue to monitor and develop temporary workarounds if necessary. |
| 82 Inefficient Partner Assignment if System Performance is Poor | 2019-03-24 16:2 | 2 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 4 | 2 | 2 Barnard, Tys | Treat (or Reduction) | Ensure system performance is enough to prevent bottlenecks such as this one. |
| Missing data elements in SCA/BW data model to meet SCA reporting 90 requirements | 2019-05-28 23:1 | 1 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 4 | 2 | 2 Smith, Hugh (SAP PM) | Treat (or Reduction) | Will continue to monitor development of reporting specs and data model to minimize likelihood of missing key data elements from the reporting model. Deliverable RD51 also added to provide additional understanding / review / sign-off of the BW data model. |
| Planning for business tasks at cutover is behind which may impact quality of 111 cutover | 2020-01-06 14:3 | 0 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 4 | 2 | 2 Rico, lvette | Treat (or Reduction) | Cutover planning steps prioritized and proceeding well. Business cut over key dates have been identified and communicated to the Business. Broader communication to BCH and Suppliers is planned. Continue to monitor. |
| 74 No Mass Upload Tool for Updating Material Group on Service Masters | 2018-12-14 14:4 | 0 30. Mitigation Strategy Defined | 3 | 1 | 3 Wong, Brian | Tolerate (or Acceptance) | Will continue to monitor if proposed solution causes significant issues for the business. Although it wouldn't be ideal. The current LSMW can update values on Service Masters. It would require a request to IT to make a mass change. But it could be done. |
| 12 Extent of use of Service Masters and Services in Design | 2018-02-05 13:2 | 9 40. Closed | 16 | 4 | 4 Trask. Jon | Terminate (or Avoidance) | Service masters and use in services will be determined in purchasing workshops, currently mitigating other service related risks through elevation and visibility. |
| | | | | | | | Activity focus on critical path activities leading towards Playback and Extend Design duration for 2 weeks to allow completion at current high intensity |
| 41 Schedule/Quality Risk not to make to Playback in time/quality | 2018-05-17 23:3 | 1 40. Closed | 16 | 4 | 4 Buehner, Carsten | Tolerate (or Acceptance) | |
| 44 design | 2018-05-22 9:0 | 16 40. Closed | 16 | 4 | 4 Shivji, Zaheer | Treat (or Reduction) | Risk has passed. RICEFWU scope confirmed. |
| 25 BC Hydro Dashboard tool running out of support and not user friendly | 2018-02-26 10:1 | .0 40. Closed | 15 | 3 | 5 Buehner, Carsten | Tolerate (or Acceptance) | The mandate for the project is not to change existing BC Hydro reporting tools and strategy |
| 66 IT sourcing award in June 2019 conficts with SCA schedule | 2018-11-06 17:1 | 8 40. Closed | 15 | 3 | 5 Dixon. Kiernan | Tolerate (or Acceptance) | Kiernan, Nicole and Hanif will get the right people from Category management and IT to identify all possibilities of expediting the sourcing process in related areas. Plan B: Start the design based on current supplier (Compugen) and twist it later on once the real supplier is confirmed. |
| 93 Technical build not completed before ITC1 | 2019-05-29 9:5 | .5 40, Closed | 15 | 3 | 5 Hunter, Ross | Terminate (or Avoidance) | Close design decisions Ramp up build throughput (capacity, collaboration across teams) Other mitigating actions: PwC visit to offshore office to manage delivery closer. Re-planning exercise going on now to include CRs and forecast which objects will be completed by Jul 19 and which will not be ready for Jul 29 (official start of ITC1) |
| 98 Ariba Timeline | 2019-05-29 17:2 | 4 40. Closed | 15 | 3 | 5 Dixon, Kiernan | Treat (or Reduction) | Escalated to issue 75 as items not delivered per schedule. |
| 13 Meaningful engagement of Business before Workshons | 2018-02-05 13-3 | 9 40 Closed | 12 | 3 | 4 Trask Ion | Tolerate (or Acceptance) | Wayne has weekly meeting with Design Group; update meeting was conducted with Field operations group steering committee; conversations had to elevate with working group members (PCM & Generation); ongoing involvement with SME's by teams; regular bi-weekly working group meeting; 2 cycle workshop methodology with mini-playbacks and playbacks; change management team involvement is exestions: |
| 22 mm 0 m 0 0 mm 1 mm 1 mm 1 mm 1 mm 1 m | 2010 02 05 15.5 | 5 10. Clock | | | | rolerate (or receptance) | Identify that scope does not include replacement of SCW, workshops must consider interface or integration in solution. We will not replace SCW with SAP/Ariba. Specific workshops in scheduled in Cycle 2 to review and develop solution for how SCW Ariba and ECC |
| 21 SCW and SCA Scope | 2018-02-20 11:5 | 6 40. Closed | 12 | 3 | 4 Trask, Jon | Treat (or Reduction) | interact. |
| | | | | | | | Clarification of scope in Leads meeting, workshops to consider implications of unifier in SAP design |
| 22 Unifier and SCA Scope | 2018-02-20 12:0 | 12 40. Closed | 12 | 3 | 4 Trask, Jon | Treat (or Reduction) | Specific workshops in scheduled in Cycle 2 to review and develop solution how projects related contracts will be managed going forward between ECC, SCW and Unifier (decommissioning of Unifier being contemplated) |
| 27 Test Tool version, schedule and support dependant on BCH IT PMO team | 2018-02-28 9:3 | 2 40. Closed | 12 | 3 | 4 Charbonneau, Kim | Terminate (or Avoidance) | communication with them on status of 12.x upgrade. Investigate plan B to determine if implementing stand-alone SCA HP ALM is feasible and Plan C to stay on v11.x and not upgrade. The project will continue to monitor, Consider elevating one of the Business Solution Leads to take on |
| 28 BCH Business Lead playing dual roles on the project (old risk 77) | 2018-02-28 12:0 | 0 40. Closed | 12 | 4 | 3 Smith, Hugh (SAP PM) | Treat (or Reduction) | some of the Business Lead role. |
| 42 Resource Risk related to extended high work intensity | 2018-05-17 23:3 | 6 40. Closed | 12 | 4 | 3 Buehner, Carsten | Treat (or Reduction) | Extend Design duration further (1-2 weeks) to allow for some relief on intensity |
| 60 PS Single Network Header Usage | 2018-10-18 9-4 | 5 40. Closed | 12 | 3 | 4 Jongeneel, Fred | Tolerate (or Acceptance) | Explore impact on allowing multiple network headers - in particular for potential risk with the P6 and BW interfaces as well as change impact for end users. |
| 65 BW migration on HANA may result in impact to SCA reporting development | 2018-11-02 8:4 | 1 40. Closed | 12 | 3 | 4 Gupta, Abhinav | Treat (or Reduction) | Immediate next step is to assess the impact and come up with a mitigation strategy |

| ID Title | Created | Current Status | Risk Score | Impact | Likelihood | Risk Owner | Treatment Strategy | Risk Response/Mitigation |
|---|-----------------|----------------|------------|--------|------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 68 Functional Team Resource Constraints for BW Reports | 2018-11-21 16:3 | 31 40. Closed | 12 | | 3 | 4 Rico, Ivette | Tolerate (or Acceptance) | Ivette to determine. |
| L3 Process Review time is taking longer than expected, impacting project 75 schedule | 2019-01-16 17:1 | 19 40. Closed | 12 | | 3 | 4 Brandes, Michael | Treat (or Reduction) | Darren is scheduling meetings this week for MMQ to clarify requirements including finance for repair and refurbishment. Wayne is bringing in some additional Distribution business resources to assist with the review and approval of Distribution flows. Stations flows have been sent to SWPE project for feedback. Plan still needed for other business areas which are outstanding (e.g. T lines). Issue triageneed (reference issue 80) Plan to be undated to acrommodate delivery of renorting no |
| 97 Reporting Timeline | 2019-05-29 10:0 | 2 40. Closed | 12 | | 3 | 4 Hunter, Ross | Treat (or Reduction) | later timeline. |
| | | | | | | | | PROPOSAL: 1) Leverage the help from the SAP representatives for BC Hydro to assist in the stabilization of our solution by creating another "Mission Critical" ERP+Ariba esolution team to resolve our issues faster. 2) Adjust our solution so that PO's that have gone critically wrong can exit the Ariba processes, so their processing can be completed as regular non-Ariba PO's. For example, by allowing Sustainment to |
| 108 Onstable Solution due to high Number of Artibaltssues | 2019-11-27 22:5 | 40. Closed | 12 | | 3 | 4 Luna, Leonardo | Treat (or Reduction) | The system will be design to determine PO Tax code as accurate as possible based on the tax indicator on vendor, plant, and material master/service master/material group. The system will display a |
| More time and effort to be involved in 'Puchasing Tax Determination' solution 77 design | 2019-02-07 14:2 | 2 40. Closed | 10 | | 2 | 5 Yang, Robbin | Treat (or Reduction) | warning on PO creation/change if the PO belongs to generation plants so the user can check the tax code and enter the right one. See Decision# 117. |
| Requirements for approvals design may not be fully reflected in the current 102 design | 2019-06-28 11:2 | 24 40. Closed | 10 | | 2 | 5 Shivji, Zaheer | Treat (or Reduction) | Discussion required Rick has now passed - Schedule extended per Steering Committee approval (PLCN's 27-28, and 29) to |
| 14 Quality Impact due to Agressive Schedule | 2018-02-08 20:5 | 4 40. Closed | 9 | | 3 | 3 Luna. Leonardo | Tolerate (or Acceptance) | resolve resulting quality impacts of aggressive schedule. |
| 23 Aggresive schedule of Design Stage | 2018-02-22 10:4 | 15 40. Closed | 9 | | 3 | 3 Smith, Hugh (SAP PM) | Treat (or Reduction) | Ensure progress tracking and management processes in place. Use escalation processes as needed to resolve any issues / delays that may arise. Schedule extended per Steering Committee approval to reduce workload on team and allow time for deliverable quality. |
| 29 Inability to properly limit access to supply chain information | 2018-03-19 11:3 | 88 40. Closed | 9 | | 3 | 3 Simpson, George | Treat (or Reduction) | TBD |
| 32 Extent of Fiori (or similar) user interface ehancement required | 2018-04-27 16:0 | 05 40. Closed | 9 | | 3 | 3 Gupta, Abhinav | Treat (or Reduction) | Group. |
| Lack of clarity / pre-socilaization with Working Group on design approval process | | | | | | | | To conduct sessions with WG during May to present C2 Playback Methodology, present Approval Flow and Deliverables Templates (Integrated Design Report). Update June 04 - WG session scheduled June 06. Risk has passed. Additional session completed to enable successful completion of Playback and approval of the IDR. |
| 35 may slow approval timing | 2018-05-04 9:1 | 10 40. Closed | 9 | | 3 | 3 Yang, Robbin | Treat (or Reduction) | |
| Impact of the Passport mechanism for Average Unit Pricing calculation versus the 45 SAP Moving Average Pricing | 2018-05-22 11:5 | 57 40. Closed | 9 | | 3 | 3 Martell, Wayne | Treat (or Reduction) | Technical and Functional Team will be assembled to run Use Case simulations between SAP and the Passport to validate the impacts, magnitude of change, configuration options and prepare a findings report that will be assessed by BCH to assess the risk and next actions. |
| Plan to use the data leads as the project cutover leads not BC Hydro's standard 49 approach | 2018-06-07 15:4 | 13 40. Closed | 9 | | 3 | 3 Praveen, Roch | Treat (or Reduction) | Risk has passed. Plan has been updated such that the Integration Manager will transition into the role of cutover lead. |
| 51 Solutioning for IT will extend beyond Design for Telus TSR replacement project | 2018-06-15 22:3 | 0 40. Closed | 9 | | 3 | 3 Luna, Leonardo | Treat (or Reduction) | Additional review sessions held with IT to confirm solution meets additional requirements. Risk has passed. |
| 57 Overall project budget may exceed upper bound cost estimate of 79.3M | 2018-08-14 12:4 | 12 40. Closed | 9 | | 3 | 3 Smith, Hugh (SAP PM) | Treat (or Reduction) | Project team preparing various scenarios for review with Steering Committee. Continue to work through detailed project plans with PwC to confirm project estimates. Process completed and cost estimates aligned. |
| Plans for data conversion, data clean-up and data creation not fully detailed as of | | | | | | | | High level plan for developing a plan: - Facilitate series of conversion workshops with functional and business teams with the objective to document the approach for each object and uncover hidden assumptions [COMPLETE] - Present high level approach for each object as a slide (for working group signoff in place of approving DTO] [COMPLETE] - Develop conversion estimates, schedule, and resource plan for approved scope. [COMPLETE] - Identify data quality issues that impact the project based on the approved conversion scope. [COMPLETE] - In consultation with the business develop data remediation plans for issues impacting the project - plan exists - approval from accountable WG members in in progress [IN PROGRESS] Most current data prep items (>75% by record count) will be reviewed with the accountable WG member by the end of the month. Initial dashboard showing progress against data prep items will be published by the end of Jan, as originally communicated to WG. Once the dashboard is published and |
| 58 the end of Design Stage Records Managements requirement might require more complex technical | 2018-10-02 12:4 | 10 40. Closed | 9 | | 3 | 3 Turnbull, Greg | Treat (or Reduction) | work is underway this risk should be closed. |
| 70 solution | 2018-11-22 16:3 | 31 40. Closed | 9 | | 3 | 3 Rico, Ivette | Treat (or Reduction) | Will have a meeting with Records Management |
| The design of Purchasing solution in not clearly understood by different 79 stakeholders involved | 2019-03-06 10:0 | 12 40. Closed | 9 | | 3 | 3 Korsei, Marvna | Treat (or Reduction) | Review of targeted areas of the design by Senior Procurement Manager (Rob Dodman) during March and April 2) Design walk-through sessions with Rob Dodman, Linda Beardsell, Maryna, Zaheer and Purchasing team held on April 12, April 26 & May 3 Review of Purc decisions at the Open design workshops with the broader SCA team 3) Integrated L3 review sessions with the broader SCA team Horlywohement of the Business Lead, and external stakeholders (e.g. Linda) into the design or implementation issues as required. |

| ID Title | Created | Current Status | Risk Score I | mpact | Likelihood | Risk Owner | Treatment Strategy | Risk Response/Mitigation |
|---|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | Possible mitigation options, individual or combined: Keep process as-is: SES approvers will be responsible of catching double-entries - this is the whole point of the SES approval process. CON: approvers may not be able to catch this unless they're aware of what has been entered so far.Business areas should be responsible of running reports, such as the ME2S-Planned/Actual Comparison, to check their planned versus actual charges, and do a check for duplicates. CON: It is after the fact, it is unlikely business areas will run this, and if a duplicate is found, it will require reversal efforts. Ask suppliers to always provide a unique reference number in their entry sheets, entered by them or submitted to a BCH administrator via email, and add a custom validation in the SES process to is use an error or a warning. CON: additional custom development, and suppliers can easily ignore this rule. Create an automatic notification to the SES creators and approvers when similar Comparison to the Create an automatic notification to the SES process to favore and approvers when similar to supplice the provide of the supplice to a bott of the SES process to grant and approvers when similar to supplice this rule. Constant constant the provide and the supplice to a easily ignore this rule. Create an automatic notification to the SES procestors and approvers when similar to supplice the supplice to a supering. Constant the provide and the base of the supplice to and easily in the supplice to the supplice to the supplice to a supplice to an easily in the superior to the supplice to the supplice to a supplice to an easily in the supplice to a superior easily and the supplice to a supplice to a supplice to an easily optice this rule. Constant explicit the supplice to a easily optice this rule. Constant is the supplice to a easily optice the supplice to a supplice to a easily and the supplice to a supplice to a easily and the supplice to a easily and the supplice to a supplice to a easily and the supplice t |
| 91 Overpayment due to Duplicate Service Entry Sheets | 2019-05-28 23 | :30 40. Closed | 9 | | 3 | 3 Garsault, Olivier | Treat (or Reduction) | become a nuisance. |
| | | | | | | | | SCA will support MRO target state (process and roles) with a set of solutions as outlined below. A. Clarity has been established regarding target business process for MRO materials. Anticipated future state is based on the process currently piloted in Vernon (referred as 'MRO Pilot') and assumes the following: 1) Field Store keeper will manage MRO materials for all BC Hydro organization, ordering from MRO Supplier directly as required: • to maintain a standard compliment of materials "On Hand" [so-called 'Working Stock MRO'], while • non-standard items will be ordered 'On Demand' on behalf of requestors (multiple business users). A back office "cost allocation" would happen via IV cost allocation process afterwards. 2) Materials will be delivered by MRO supplier directly to the Field Store. B. Upon such clarifications provided by the Category Management, the following SCA solutions were |
| | | | | | | | | discussed and deemed to be optimal in order to support future management of MRO materials: 1) Ordering : • A range of standard ECC purchasing channels (PO, Non-PO invoices, Framework PO) will support transactional activities in ECC for MRO and will provide certain flexibility to accommodate: (B anticipated MRO business processes as per 'MRO Pilot' initiative and (B ordering model established under potential future contract in Q4 F19 – Q1 F20 (upon RFP completion) • Ariba Punch-out catalogues will support ordering activities with Vendor catalogues integrated into ECC. This will allow F5 Keepers to replensin MRO materials stock at the Field Store or satisfy ad-hoc requirements by leveraging suppler online catalogues through ECC instead of placing orders via phone or by logging into the supplier's website. • If non-PO channel is chosen, ability to pay with the credit card will remain post SCA Go-Live (currently MRO is paid mostly by the credit card) |
| 38 No Solution for MRO | 2018-05-14 3 | :19 40. Closed | 8 | | 2 | 4 Yang, Robbin | Treat (or Reduction) | FS Keepers will perform a standard "PO receipt" process at Field Stores, upon deliveries performed |
| 39 Unexpected Project Costs from Implementation of Online Catalogues via Ariba | 2018-05-14 3 | :31 40. Closed | 8 | | 2 | 4 Shivji, Zaheer | Treat (or Reduction) | Risk has passed. Use of Ariba confirmed and consistent with project budget. |
| 69 BW Rework and New Reports Post SCA Go Live | 2018-11-21 16 | :38 40. Closed | 8 | | 2 | 4 Rico, Ivette | Treat (or Reduction) | After completing the Reporting Requirements review we will evaluate the priorities and work only on "Must have" reports (Priority 1 and 2) to reduce potential rework after Go Live. |
| | | | | | | | | Setup interfaces as per current approach (no issues), and if MMQ later decides to make inbound deliveries relevant for picking, we'll investigate where it breaks and how we can resolve the issue. In the meantime, we'll also ask Ariba to explain why the deliveries must be set as not relevant for picking for the ASN interface to work. |
| 78 Picking Relevant | 2019-02-22 0 | :00 40. Closed | 8 | | 2 | 4 Gebert, Darren | Tolerate (or Acceptance) | We could then log an SAP incident if regular ASN's do not work. |
| | | | | | | | | MMQ BSL is currently in conversation with the Proposal lead (Steve Quinn) and will be listed as optional attendee on all upcoming proposal review/assessment meetings. |
| 81 Customer Build Program - BCH provides Materials to ESPs directly | 2019-03-20 17 | :58 40. Closed | 8 | | 2 | 4 Gebert, Darren | Treat (or Reduction) | Complete initial rough assessment of proposal's impact to current SCA design/solutions |
| 86 scheduling compliance | 2019-03-29 13 | :06 40. Closed | 8 | | 2 | 4 Sveinson, Laurie | Treat (or Reduction) | Plan. |
| Training Risk - "Train the BCH Trainer" Effectiveness for Demand/MRP Related 100 Solutions | 2019-06-18 16 | :50 40. Closed | 8 | | 2 | 4 Gebert, Darren | Treat (or Reduction) | Continued Knowledge Transfer Confirmed Course Outline and Delivery Approach |
| 110 UAT timeline might need to be extended | 2019-12-18 16 | :26 40. Closed | 8 | | 2 | 4 Rico, lvette | Treat (or Reduction) | Review the scope with Work Management. To mitigate this risk, we added one more week to UAT schedule (increased from 3 to 4 weeks) |
| 9 Ability to identify and confirm Business SME's for planned Kick-Off | 2018-01-29 18 | :56 40. Closed | 6 | | 2 | 3 Shivji, Zaheer | Treat (or Reduction) | PMO considered options and impacts and collectively moved the Kick-Off to 29 Jan 2018. |
| Scope Risk related to potential increase of MDG with impact on MDG and broader | 7 2019 02 16 0 | :00 40 Clocod | c | | 2 | 2 Schollokops Harold | Torminato (or Avaidanco) | Initial investigations underway, focus topic in Design |
| 24 CDC - Central Distribution Center Decision is made but not implemented yet | 2018-02-10 9 | :24 40 Closed | 6 | | 2 | 3 Peachey Tanya | Treat (or Reduction) | Risk has passed. Enterprise structure confirmed through Design Stage. Included in Implementation |
| Timing risk of completing ITDSP Gate 3 annoused may become bottleneck on Imn | | | | | | | | Complete Gate 3 approval in two stages. Initially complete approval of as many items as possible prior to special Board meeting then plan for a follow-up approval of any outstanding items . Final Gate 3 approval will take place after the special Board meeting and after the Phase Two regulatory anolication has been filed. Risk has passed. Gate 3 currescript up and Schember 13 2019 |
| 33 Phase approvals | 2018-04-30 12 | :55 40. Closed | 6 | | 3 | 2 Schellekens, Harold | Treat (or Reduction) | ahead of special Board Meeting on September 27, 2018. |
| 40 Schedule and scope for UAT and Regression | 2018-05-179 | :36 40. Closed | 6 | | 2 | 3 Charbonneau, Kim | Treat (or Reduction) | is not impacted. |
| 47 RICEFW Tracker usage (KPMG item May 18, 2018) | 2018-06-01 14 | :48 40. Closed | 6 | | 2 | 3 Schellekens, Harold | Treat (or Reduction) | Risk has passed per KPMG Design Review Report. |

| ID Title | Created | Current Status | Risk Score Impa | t Likeliho | ood Risk Owner | Treatment Strategy | Risk Response/Mitigation |
|--|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | The Fit/Gap (Requirement) Masterlist is a Deliverable for Design stage closure, disposition of each |
| | | | | | | | requirement with an appropriate closing status, assessment comment and a business lead review a |
| Proper disposition / documentation of items within Fit / Gap log (KPMG item May | | 2 40 Church | <i>c</i> | - | a very petitie | Tool (Sector Sector | defined and KPI tracked key activity. |
| 48 16, 2016) Potential conflict on OM configuration/design for meters (devices) between SCA | 2018-06-01 14:5 | 52 40. Closed | 6 | 2 | 3 Yang, Kobbin | Treat (or Reduction) | Related to risk 30. Projects to continue to coordinate timing and activities to minimize mutual |
| 50 and Meter Tracking (MTS) projects during realization phase | 2018-06-13 18:2 | 27 40. Closed | 6 | 2 | 3 Rico, Ivette | Treat (or Reduction) | impacts. SCA project takes priority in case of significant conflict. |
| | | | | | | | PMO: Business has agreed to assign multiple part time resources (Greg Kowal to act as point person |
| 61 QM Key User Availability during Realization Phase | 2018-10-22 14:3 | 80 40. Closed | 6 | 2 | 3 Rico, Ivette | Treat (or Reduction) | to coordinate the resources). |
| | | | | | | | Each BSL has been asked their strategy to deal with potential conflicts including identifying other |
| | | | | | | | activities |
| | | | | | | | Change Advisors have been assigned to each workstream to establish well planned focused activities |
| | | | | | | | to maximize BSL impact |
| | | | | | | | Establish Influencer/Relationship Steward tactic to identify influential business resources in each |
| | | | | | | | impacted area to act as conduits for communication & engagement. Influencers are actively |
| 63 Capacity of Business Solution Leads | 2018-10-25 12.1 | 7 40 Closed | 6 | 2 | 3 Shivii Zaheer | Treat (or Reduction) | Stakeholders |
| | 2010 10 25 12.1 | | | - | 5 Shivy, Editeer | incut (or neutron) | Simplify the vendor setup as much as possible by:Allow A/P to enter the Ariba start date directly in |
| | | | | | | | ECC.Do not make this an MDG field that will require a CRBuild the system enhancements towards the |
| | | | | | | | automation of the Ariba-vendor setup (e.g. automatically detect when a vendor is Ariba or not using |
| | | | | | | | the Ariba start date)Adjust the Ariba configuration in ECC as generic as possible so that no vendor- |
| 64 A/P workload may increase as a result of the future Ariba scope | 2018-10-20 18-5 | 8 40 Closed | 6 | 2 | 3 Juna Leonardo | Treat (or Reduction) | specific configuration is needed (e.g. setup general output conditions that enhancements will override just for Ariba vendors) |
| Additional BW net new requirements, could introduce additional scope for | 2010-10-25 10.5 | | 0 | 2 | 5 Euria, Econardo | Treat (of Reduction) | just for viriou vertoors). |
| 71 custom development | 2018-11-22 17:2 | 6 40. Closed | 6 | 2 | 3 Brandes, Michael | Treat (or Reduction) | PwC will confirm the requirements by end of RM2 |
| Lack of functional specs will impact dev. teams ability to meet RM2 development | | | | | | | Proposed mitigation would be to get all specs. for RM2 approved by Dec. 14th - this is the last date for |
| 72 targets | 2018-12-07 13:3 | 1 40. Closed | 6 | 2 | 3 Brandes, Michael | Treat (or Reduction) | the tech team to have all RM2 specs approved with CRs |
| | | | | | | | Summarize the changes that I-Lines would need to make to its current practices and present to business stakeholder (Bill Faris). If not willing to make the changes by F21 escalate to Steering |
| | | | | | | | Committee for resolution. |
| | | | | | | | Since it is not feasible to make the changes part way through a fiscal year, for the remainder of F20, |
| | | | | | | | accept that this risk will materialize and include the appropriate mitigating activities as part of the |
| | | | | | | | Readiness and Transition Planning activities for T-Lines and Materials Management. |
| Transmission Line workflow will not operate correctly if business does not agree | | | | | | | Risk has now passed. Future state process agreed to with Transmission and plans incorportaed into change menegement and engangement activities |
| 83 to change some current practices | 2019-03-25 14:1 | 15 40. Closed | 6 | 2 | 3 Martell, Wayne | Treat (or Reduction) | change menegement and engangement activities. |
| | | | | | | | Project team summarizing list of inputs required from Finance, along with estimate of effort and |
| | | | | | | | timing. |
| 95 Loss of knowledge continuity due to illegas of Signates Lond | 2010 02 27 12-2 | E 40 Clocod | c | 2 | 2 Shivii Zaboor | Tract (or Reduction) | Project team will work with Finance Directors to identify resource(s) for each activity. |
| as coss of knowledge continuity due to inness of Finance Lead | 2019-03-27 13.2 | 5 40. Closed | 0 | 2 | 5 Shivji, Zaheel | freat (of Reduction) | Prep for, engage right participants, closely manage discussion, push for clear decisions |
| | | | | | | | |
| 92 Detailed design is not completed by April 29th | 2019-05-29 9:5 | 1 40. Closed | 6 | 2 | 3 Peachey, Tanya | Treat (or Reduction) | |
| With only one planned comprehensive test cycle (ITC2) we may fall short of Q4 | 2040.05.20.0.5 | 7 40 61 | <i>c</i> | - | A. H H A | Total (constanting) | Risk has passed. Start of ITC2 delayed by 6 weeks while propject ensured entry critera met. ITC2 and |
| 94 Gate | 2019-05-29 9:5 | a7 40. Closed | Ь | 3 | 2 Hunter, Koss | Treat (or Reduction) | UAL completed successfully. Risk has materially passed. Project continues to work to undated timeline for completing ITC1 |
| | | | | | | | activities. Identified defects being resolved based on priority. While some process / design items |
| 96 Quality Issues (incl. design) may show up in ITC1 | 2019-05-29 10:0 | 00 40. Closed | 6 | 3 | 2 Hunter, Ross | Treat (or Reduction) | logged, no signicant design gaps were identified. |
| | | | | | | | |
| oo laakilikuta suffisiaatkusestaist saassa ta Cusaku Chain data in DW/ | | 10 40 Church | <i>c</i> | - | a. ch: 11 7-1 | Total (constanting) | Risk has passed. Reviewed proposed detailed design with key stakeholders and confirmed that |
| 99 Inability to sufficiently restrict access to supply chain data in BW | 2019-05-31 10:1 | 18 40. Closed | 6 | 3 | 2 Shivji, Zaheer | Treat (or Reduction) | approvach is acceptable. UR 1/6 logged to track addition of new auth objects to control table. Project to review technology implications of moving forward with current SCA design or look at other |
| | | | | | | | optrions. |
| | | | | | | | Risk has passed. Plan established with sustainment group as to how to proceed with contractor |
| 103 Existing Contractor Portal Technology Version | 2019-07-24 9:2 | 25 40. Closed | 6 | 2 | 3 Barnard, Tys | Treat (or Reduction) | portal development. |
| 109 WiFi Printers not connected to BCH Network at 1MDC | 2019-12-10 15:4 | 1 40. Closed | 6 | 3 | 2 Rico, Ivette | Treat (or Reduction) | Work completed to set up WIFI printers. Risk has passed. |
| 10 BCH Work Management Business Lead not committed full time yet | 2018-01-29 19:0 | JU 4U. Closed | 4 | 2 | 2 Snivji, Zaneer | Treat (or Reduction) | Confirm the status and pending outcomes with Leadership. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Seek Executive approval to proceed with some Implementation Phase activities ahead of receiving the |
| | | | | | | | BCUC decision / approval of Implementation Phase funding, assuming there is a high level of |
| | | | | | | | confidence that a positive decision will be received. Approach approved by ET April 24, 2018. |
| | | | | | | | Board resolution approved Sept 27, 2018 to allow project to begin imp activities while regulatory |
| Start of Implementation Phase may be delayed due to phase funding approval | | | | | | | Residual risk now past as the commission has issued its decision on the Implementation Phase |
| 15 delay | 2018-02-15 10:2 | 2 40. Closed | 4 | 4 | 1 Smith, Hugh (SAP PM) | Treat (or Reduction) | funding. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Introductory marking hold between CCA and OID ansight management offices in Ortober 2010 |
| | | | | | | | Regular touchooints arranged between SCA and OIP Business Leads. Solution Leads and Project |
| | | | | | | | Managers. Will continue to monitor key decisions to identify areas of overlap or conflict. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Previous mitigation plan (pre Oct 2018): Confirmed approach that SCA is priority over potentially |
| 18. Other Project Risk: Operations Integration Program | 2018-02 10 22-4 | 19 40 Closed | 4 | 2 | 2 Smith Hugh (CAD DAA) | Treat (or Reduction) | contricting project plans between the projects. Senior Operations representative involved with the Operating Model added to the SCA Working Group to ensure alignment of future state designs. |
| To a series relation obligations intelligible in the light | 2010-02-10 22.4 | | 4 | 4 | 2 Smith, Hugh (SAP FIVI) | near (or neduction) | approximation of the second se |

| ID Title | Created | Current Status | Risk Score | Impact | Likelihood | Risk Owner | Treatment Strategy | Risk Response/Mitigation |
|---|--------------|---------------------|------------|--------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | Regular communications between CLRA and SCA projects. Interim SCA Tech PM to move to managing |
| | | | | | | | | the CLRA VMS project. Sustainment specialist working part time on both projects to ensure |
| 19 Other project risk: CLRA VMS development and timing risk | 2018-02-19 | 22:57 40. Closed | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 Rico, lvette | Treat (or Reduction) | alignment of design and timing. |
| | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · | Current PDW reports will continue to operate for some period post go live while users are |
| | | | | | | | | transitioned to new SAP and BW reports. Custom reports to be developed post SCA go-live to replace |
| 31 Access to historic PDW for Supply Chain Reports | 2018-04-25 | 9:53 40. Closed | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 Setiawan, Ben | Terminate (or Avoidance) | "copy" of PassPort system utilized for supply chain historical data access. |
| | | | | | | | | Risk has materially passed. Tania Cernezel has been assigned to complete design process. Will |
| 52 Absence of Overall Plan and Owner for Material/Service Group | 2018-06-19 1 | 10:58 40. Closed | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 Peachey, Tanya | Treat (or Reduction) | continue to monitor for initial months of Realization. |
| | | | | | | | , | Assign a single owner from the SCA project who will be accountable for building an overall plan that |
| | | | | | | | | includes all impacted activities, groups and objects, with a clear timeline and identification of critical |
| | | | | | | | | path. |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Risk materially passed. Tania Cernezel assigned to complete this activity. Will continue to monitor |
| 53 Absence of Overall Plan and Owner for Service Master | 2018-06-19 1 | 12:49 40. Closed | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 Peachey, Tanya | Treat (or Reduction) | through early Realization phase. |
| | | | | | | | | Internal team end-to-end L3 reviews late November: I will work with the BAs to organize sessions |
| | | | | | | | | to walk through the process flows end to end with their own team members to ensure the proper |
| | | | | | | | | Security Roles (RDD) are noted in the swim lanes, RICEFWU are noted where relevant, process steps |
| | | | | | | | | and sequence are correct and the steps are understood by the team and ready to be incorporated |
| | | | | | | | | into PDD, test scripts and training materials. They will also validate that inputs/outputs to other team |
| | | | | | | | | L3 flows are consistently connected |
| | | | | | | | | Integrated team end-to-end L3 reviews in February: integration team will select a set of integrated |
| | | | | | | | | process flows to review in cross team sessions over several days to ensure integration between |
| | | | | | | | | process maps is reviewed |
| | | | | | | | | Additional Integrated L3 sessions held in May 2019 as part of the Open Design closure sessions. Team |
| | | | | | | | | feels key touch points have been reviewed and further sessions in advance of integration testing are |
| | | | | | | | | not required. |
| | | | | | | | | Integration test scripts will be created which test processes end-to-end and by their very nature will |
| | | | | | | | | test cross team processes, inputs and outputs |
| | | | | | | | | Will also be setting up a regular "integration" touch base meeting with the BAs to make sure they are |
| | | | | | | | | aligned, integrated and producing consistent Process deliverables. |
| Time in design to fully work through integrated process designs (KPMG item June | | | | | | | | Risk has now passed. All review activities completed successfully. |
| 54 15) | 2018-06-22 | 9:17 40. Closed | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 Peachey, Tanya | Treat (or Reduction) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 59 Material Master MDRS additional activities planned to be finished by Oct 26 | 2018-10-13 | 18:58 40. Closed | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 Ortega cardenas, Mario | Tolerate (or Acceptance) | Close follow up on decision making and MDRS Documentaiton |
| | | | | | | | | Currently MRP / Demand Management Activities and Master Data has been split among different |
| 62 Demand Management / MRP Consultant is required | 2018-10-23 | 18:35 40. Closed | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 Brandes, Michael | Treat (or Reduction) | members of the teams in order to perform baseline configuration. |
| Data team resource plan may be insufficent towards the end of the project risking | | | | | | | | No immediate action will be taken to mitigate this risk. Risk will be re-evaluated closer to ITC1 when |
| 73 quality and schedule | 2018-12-13 | 8:26 40. Closed | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 Brandes, Michael | Tolerate (or Acceptance) | the resourcing of the Data team is expected to change. |
| SCA project depends on HR mini master for contractors being loaded through | | | | | | | | |
| 87 systainment | 2019-04-16 | 11:42 40. Closed | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 Setiawan, Ben | Tolerate (or Acceptance) | Monitor. |
| BW loads taken 10 to 12 hour today. 60% additional load is coming because of | | | | | | | | To be tested through system performance testing per current plan and resolved as required. |
| 36 SCA | 2018-05-10 | 9:42 40. Closed | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 Cachero, Wendy | Treat (or Reduction) | |
| 11 ECC SAP Access to Prep for SAP 101 Demo | 2018-01-29 1 | 19:07 40. Closed | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 Trask, Jon | Treat (or Reduction) | Assign Access to SAP Sandbox for Functional Consultants within 5 Days. |
| | | | | | | | | Risk has passed. Training delivery plans being rewored to accommodate need to deliver remotely. |
| 95 Pre go-live training cannot be conducted in the planned 4 weeks | 2019-05-29 | 9:59 40. Closed | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 Watt, Daniel | Treat (or Reduction) | Timelines to be adjusted accordingly. |
| Scope Risk related to potential increase of Ariba use with impact on Unifier and SC | | | | | | | | Duplicate. Risk cancelled. |
| 16 Workspace (closed as duplicate) | 2018-02-16 | 9:06 70. Cancelled | 12 | 2 | 4 | 3 Schellekens, Harold | Terminate (or Avoidance) | |
| 55 Data conversion scope risk related to other BCH projects (business or IT) | 2018-06-27 2 | 23:22 70. Cancelled | g | Ð | 3 | 3 Praveen, Roch | Treat (or Reduction) | Duplicate of risk 30 |
| | | | | | | | | Mitigations overlap with risk 52 and 53 (which are more immediate). Will cancel this risk and track |
| | | | | | | | | through those items. |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | The Purchasing and Contracts Team, Data Team and the various Business Teams(SME's) have to |
| | | | | | | | | develop: |
| | | | | | | | | - Standards/Display format (E.g. Taxonomy, Upper Case/ Lower Case or mixture of both) for the |
| | | | | | | | | Required and Optional fields on the Service Master |
| | | | | | | | | - Material/Service Groups |
| | | | | | | | | - The list of Service masters to be uploaded for Go-Live |
| Unrealized Benefits & Procurement Inefficiencies due to Missing Service Master | | | | | | | | - Service Master upload tools for Go-Live |
| 43 Standards | 2018-05-21 2 | 23:10 70. Cancelled | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 Praveen, Roch | Treat (or Reduction) | - A Service Master maintenance process for the Sustainment team |
| | | | | | | | | The general topic of CLRA and SCA has been discussed for a long time, and is well known. There have |
| | | | | | | | | been meetings with CLRA team and Robbin Yang, and CLRA team and Leo/Kiernan/Anurag. This |
| | | | | | | | | coordination and collaboration needs to continue into the level of detail that is now available for both |
| 56 SCA Project Delays due to CLRA Deliverables | 2018-06-30 | 1.58 70 Cancelled | ۵ | 1 | 2 | 2 Schellekens Harold | Treat (or Reduction) | projects as they near DEE completion. |



BC Hydro Supply Chain Applications Project

Progress Report No. 2

Appendix D

Project Schedule

Supply Chain Applications Project - Project Stages and Major Milestones





BC Hydro Supply Chain Applications Project

Progress Report No. 2

Appendix E

System Integrator Additional Information

PUBLIC

REDACTED

REDACTED

REDACTED