

Columbia River Project Water Use Plan

Columbia River White Sturgeon Management Plan

Lower Columbia River Juvenile Sturgeon Detection Program: 2016 Investigations Data Report

Implementation Year 9

Reference: CLBMON-29

BC Hydro and Power Authority

Study Period: January 2016 to December 2016

Prepared by:

BC Hydro Water License Requirements Castlegar, BC

July 2017

Recommended Citation: BC Hydro. 2017 Lower Columbia River Juvenile Detection Program (CLBMON-29). Year 9 Data Report. Report by BC Hydro, Castlegar, BC, 67 pp.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The population of White Sturgeon (Acipenser transmontanus) in the lower Columbia River (LCR) Canada was listed as one of four endangered populations under the Species at Risk Act (SARA) in 2006. Despite evidence of limited natural recruitment in the LCR, the level of annual recruitment is considered insufficient to maintain a self-sustaining population. Accordingly, the population was forecast to become functionally extinct by 2044 in the absence of effective recovery measures. Recovery was directly initiated in 2001 through the release of hatchery-reared juveniles as a stopgap measure until recruitment failure could be addressed. It was identified during the development of the Columba Water Use Plan (WUP) that direct management responses for White Sturgeon were limited to non-operational habitat improvements designed to improve spawning success and juvenile survival. However, life history data (e.g., abundance, growth, survival) were lacking, and habitat suitability and availability across larval and juvenile life stages were unknown. Accordingly, larval and juvenile monitoring in the LCR over a longer period was deemed critical to addressing management questions related to recruitment and success of the Conservation Aquaculture Program.

For early life stage monitoring, sampling was conducted passively using drift nets in order to determine the distribution of White Sturgeon yolk-sac larvae in the LCR and assist in identifying spawning locations and areas of habitat use. In 2016, drift net sampling was conducted at monitoring sites downstream of Arrow Lakes Generating Station (ALH; rkm 0.1), Kinnaird (rkm 12.8 – 18.2) and downstream of the Pend d'Oreille confluence (Waneta; rkm 56.0). Based on development stages of captured yolk-sac larvae, spawning was estimated to have occurred over a period between June 3 and June 24 at Waneta and July 3 and July 30 at Kinnaird. No yolk sac larvae were collected at ALH. The majority of yolk-sac larvae samples captured in 2016 were at an early developmental stage. However, of the developmentally staged samples, 19% were further developed and transitioning to exogenous feeding suggesting some suitable habitat exists for yolk-sac larvae to hide in until they reach developmental stages where drift would naturally occur.

Recent genetic work determined that the number of adults spawning in the LCR was more than 10-fold the number spawned to produce progeny released from the Conservation Aquaculture Program. In efforts to increase genetic diversity among stocked juvenile White Sturgeon, a streamside incubation facility (SIF) was developed in 2014 for the purpose of incubating naturally produced eggs collected in the LCR in order to increase number of adults contributing to stocked offspring to increase effective breeding numbers and maintain genetic diversity within the population. The program has been successful in each of 2014, 2015 and 2016 with wild embryos and larvae incubated in the SIF and subsequently reared at the Kootenay Trout Hatchery for release as juveniles the following spring.

An annual juvenile White Sturgeon program was initiated in 2008 to describe important parameters related to growth, survival, and distribution in the Canadian portion of the LCR. Monitoring is focused on hatchery origin juveniles as wild juvenile age classes are lacking. Releases of hatchery origin juveniles have occurred from 2002-2017 with 149,685 individuals stocked into the lower Columbia River and into Lake Roosevelt in the United States. In 2013, juvenile monitoring was established as part of a five-year population assessment initiated to estimate survival rate and abundance of the White Sturgeon population within the transboundary reach of the LCR. Additionally, data from this program will be used to determine juvenile growth rates, fish condition, age class structuring, and density dependent responses. This program is standardized throughout the Transboundary section of the Columbia River, incorporating all habitats within Canada and the US. While wild juvenile sturgeon are encountered, captures from 2013-2016 have been predominantly hatchery-released fish with wild juveniles representing <1% of the total catch. Survival analyses completed using juvenile capture data have indicated that survival has been higher than originally predicted, and is associated with size at release, with fish released at larger body sizes (e.g. >300 g) having the highest survival. These survival rates have resulted in an abundance estimate for hatchery origin White Sturgeon of over 30,000 individuals (BC Hydro 2016c). Survival estimates have been used to modify release targets for wild origin progeny, with fish reared to a minimum 200 g prior to release into the LCR to improve survival. Additionally, monitoring results are helping to facilitate discussions around stocking numbers going forward as part of the larger recovery initiative.

Results from this long- term monitoring program will contribute to knowledge regarding larval and juvenile stages to better understand potential causes of recruitment failure and help inform recovery measures moving forward. The state of knowledge pertaining to the various management questions associated with this monitoring project are summarized in Table ES1.

Table ES1. CLBMON #29 Status of Lower Columbia River Juvenile White SturgeonMonitoring Program Management Questions.

Management Question	Status			
what are the relative abundance, survival rates, and distribution locations of larvae and juvenile White Sturgeon in the lower Columbia River under current operating parameters?	 Larval Stage: Relative abundance and survival of larval sturgeon will be difficult to address given limitations related to effectively sampling this life stage. However, data pertaining to timing, locations, and frequency of spawning in the lower Columbia River (LCR) has been collected. Larvae have been collected near the HLK/ALH spawning area, downstream of Kinnaird, and from the Waneta spawning site downstream into the US portion of the LCR. Larval catch has predominantly consisted of young (1-3 days post hatch) individuals; however older feeding age larvae (>10 days post hatch) have been collected at all spawning locations. Further, large numbers on the US side of the Columbia River suggesting that hiding habitat exists from the Canadian/US border downstream to North Port, Washington. 			
	 Juvenile Stage. Survivation hatchery origin juveniles has been higher than originally predicted. This has resulted in a large hatchery population estimated at more than 30,000 individuals. A recent review of White Sturgeon capture data has identified high variability in maternal family representation of hatchery-origin juveniles in both the Canadian and U.S. portions of the Transboundary Reach of the Columbia River. Unequal family representation presents a substantial genetic risk to the long term viability of the White Sturgeon population in the Transboundary Reach, and the UCWSRI TWG are working on conservation measures to address this issue. One measure has been implemented is the Conservation Aquaculture Program transitioning (2011 in WA and 2015 in BC) entirely to collecting naturally produced eggs and larvae for hatchery rearing - an approach that has demonstrated genetic benefits over brood stock based aquaculture programs. Juvenile Stage: With continued sampling in the coming years, abundance and survival 			

Management Question	Status			
	rates will be able to be revised. Distribution of juveniles has been assessed extensively throughout the LCR, and is restricted primarily to slower moving habitats like eddy's and deeper runs. While these habitats are available primarily in the upper (Robson to Genelle) or lower (Beaver Creek to Waneta) sections of the river, hatchery origin fish are captured throughout the entire LCR.			
What are the physical and hydraulic properties of this habitat that define its suitability as juvenile sturgeon habitat?	 Juveniles are selecting deeper (>10 m), slow moving (< 1.0 m/s), habitats with smaller substrates (e.g., sand, small gravel). These habitats are widely distributed through the upper reaches (e.g., Robson) and are restricted to eddy habitats downstream of the Kootenay River confluence to the US border. 			
How do normal river operations affect larval habitat conditions in the lower Columbia River?	- At the present time more data are required to address this question. Spawning has been identified at several locations but the quantity and quality of spawning habitat is currently unknown. Based on the capture of primarily yolk-sac larvae within a few days of hatch, the spawning habitat throughout the LCR was presumed to be poor for hiding after hatching from the egg. However, increased drift net effort in 2015 and 2016 compared to all previous sampling years downstream of the Waneta spawning site indicated that a percentage of larvae hide until feeding age before initiating dispersal downstream. Additionally, older feeding larvae are collected in large numbers on the US side of the Columbia River suggesting that hiding habitat exists from the Canadian/US border downstream to North Port, Washington. Additional years of data collection will help further clarify habitat conditions.			
How do normal river operations affect juvenile habitat conditions in the lower Columbia River during dispersal and on a	 The distribution of juvenile White Sturgeon in the LCR is restricted to deeper, slower moving, habitats. These habitats are currently not limited by the operational regime of the river, irrespective of the time of year. Additional data will help to further address this question over a longer time 			

Management Question	Status
seasonal basis?	period that includes more operational scenarios and reflects the increasing densities of juvenile sturgeon in the lower Columbia River.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The 2016 study years of the lower Columbia River Juvenile Sturgeon Detection Program (CLBMON-29) were funded by BC Hydro Water Licence Requirements White Sturgeon Management Program in Castlegar, B.C. BC Hydro would like to thank the following individuals for their contributions to the program:

BC Hydro

James Crossman Katy Jay Dean Den Biesen

Colville Confederated Tribes

Jason McLellan

Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder)

Sima Usvyatsov

Freshwater Fisheries Society of BC

Chad Fritz Mike Keehn Aaron Wolff

Spokane Tribe of Indians

Andy Miller

Terraquatic Resource Management

Marco Marrello

TADLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Management Questions	3
1.2 Management Hypothesis	3
1.3 Objectives and Scope	4
1.3.1 Conservation Aquaculture Program	5
1.3.2 Larval Stage	5
1.3.2.1 Yolk-sac Larval Assessment	5
1.3.3 Juvenile Stage	5
1.3.3.1 Juvenile Population Assessment	5
1.3.3.2 Sex and Stage of Maturity	5
1.3.4 Habitat Mapping	6
1.4 Study Area and Study Period	6
2 METHODOLGY	8
2.1 Physical Parameters	8
2.1.1 Discharge	8
2.1.2 Water Temperature	8
2.2 Larval Stage	8
2.2.1 Yolk Sac Larval Assessment	8
2.2.1.1 Study Design	8
2.2.1.2 Sampling Methods	11
2.2.1.3 Larval Sampling	12
2.2.1.4 Developmental Staging and Estimation of Fertilization Date	12
2.3 Juvenile Stage	13
2.3.1 Conservation Aquaculture Program	13
2.3.2 Juvenile Population Monitoring, Abundance, and Characteristics	13
2.3.2.1 Study Design	13
2.3.2.2 Juvenile Capture	15
2.3.2.3 Fish Handling, Biological Processing, and Release	16
2.3.2.4 Data Analysis	17
2.3.3 Juvenile Survival and Abundance Analyses	18
2.3.4 Sex and Stage of Maturity	18
2.3.4.1 Study Design	18
2.3.4.2 Juvenile Capture	19
2.3.4.3 Sex Determination, Stage of Maturity, and Biopsy Sampling	20
2.3.4.4 Data Analyses	21
2.3.4.5 Histological Analyses	21
2.4 Habitat Mapping	22
3 MONITORING RESULTS	22
3.1 Physical Parameters	22
3.1.1 Discharge	22
3.1.2 Water Temperature	24
3.2 Larval Stage	26
3.2.1 Yolk Sac Larval Assessment	26
3.2.1.1 Larval Sampling Effort and Collection	26

	3	3.2.1.2	Developmental Staging and Estimated Spawning Dates	
	3.3	Juvenile	e Stage	
	3.3	.1 Co	nservation Aquaculture Program	
	3.3	.2 Ju	renile Population Assessment	
	3	3.3.2.1	Juvenile Sampling Effort and Captures	
	3	3.3.2.2	Fork Length, Weight, Relative Weight, and Growth	43
	3.3	.3 Ju\	enile Survival and Abundance Estimates	53
	3.3	.4 Se	c and Stage of Maturity	53
	3	3.3.4.1	Fish Capture and Biopsy Sampling	53
	3	3.3.4.2	Histological Sample Analysis	55
	3.4	Habitat	Mapping	55
4	DIS	SCUSSIC)N	56
	4.1	Yolk Sa	c Larval Assessment	56
	4.2	Juvenile	Population Assessment	57
	4.3	Assignr	nent of Sex and Stage of Maturity	59
	4.4	Habitat	Mapping	59
5	RE	CCOME	NDATIONS	60
	5.1	Larval S	Sampling	60
	5.2	Juvenile	e Sampling	60
	5.3	Habitat	Mapping	61
6	RE	FERENC	ES	62

LIST OF TABLES

 Table 1. Number of drift nets deployed at each spawning site in 2016......11

 Table 2. Stage of female gonad development identified through visual examination....21

 Table 3. Stage of male gonad development identified through visual examination......21

 Table 4. Minimum and maximum discharge (cubic meters per second, cms; cubic feet
 Table 5. Mean (± SD) daily, minimum, and maximum water temperatures (°C) recorded within the Lower Columbia River during 2016. Data was recorded at locations of Hugh L. Keenleyside (rkm 0.1), Kootenay Eddy (rkm 10.5), Kinnaird (rkm 13.4), Genelle Eddy (rkm 26.0), Rivervale (rkm 35.8) and Waneta Eddy (rkm 56.0)......24
 Table 6.
 White Sturgeon egg and larval collection and sampling effort at Lower
 Columbia River monitoring locations of Waneta (rkm 56.0), downstream of Kinnaird (rkm 12.8 to rkm 19.2), Kootenay (rkm 10.5), downstream Arrow Lakes Generating Station (ALH; rkm 6.0), ALH (rkm 0.1), and HLK (rkm 0.1) for years 2008 through 2016......27
 Table 7.
 Developmental stages of White Sturgeon larvae collected at multiple locations

 Table 8.
 Numbers of hatchery-origin juvenile White Sturgeon released into the
 Transboundary Reach of the Columbia River [Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam (HLK) to Grand Coulee Dam (GCD) in WA, USA] from 2002-2017. Bolded numbers indicate releases of progeny collected in the wild as embryos or larvae and reared in the hatchery. Release numbers are presented by release year and indicated whether they occurred in the fall Table 9. Total hatchery-origin White Sturgeon capture during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessments in the Lower Columbia River Canada. Individuals less than 150 cm fork length with no PIT tag administered by the hatchery or lateral scutes removed were considered wild fish as a product of natural reproduction and of unknown
 Table 10. Total juvenile White Sturgeon captured by brood year class within the Lower
 Columbia River for each sampling zone during the 2016spring and fall stock assessments. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scutes and PIT tag. Individuals with no PIT tag administered by the hatchery or lateral scutes removed were considered wild fish as a product of natural reproduction and of unknown age. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Border......36
 Table 11. Mean ± SD fork length (cm) by brood year class of juvenile White Sturgeon
 captured in the Lower Columbia River during the 2013, 2014.2015, and 2016 stock assessments. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scutes and PIT tag. Individuals with no PIT tag administered by the hatchery or lateral scutes removed were considered wild fish as a product of natural Table 12. Mean (±SD) fork length (FL; cm) of juvenile White Sturgeon captured in the 5 sampling zones of the Lower Columbia River during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessments. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh **Table 13.** Mean (±SD) weight (kg) of juvenile White Sturgeon captured in the Lower Columbia River during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessments. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scutes and PIT tag. Individuals with no PIT tag administered by the hatchery or lateral scutes removed were considered wild fish as a product of natural reproduction and of unknown

Table 14. Mean (±SD) weight (kg) of juvenile White Sturgeon captured in the sampling zones of the Lower Columbia River during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessments. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. **Table 15.** Mean (\pm SD) relative weight (W_r) of juvenile White Sturgeon by brood year class captured in the Lower Columbia River during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessments. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scutes and PIT tag. Individuals with no PIT tag administered by the hatchery or lateral scutes removed were considered wild fish as a product of natural **Table 16.** Mean relative weight (W_t) of juvenile White Sturgeon by sampling zone in the Lower Columbia River captured during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessment. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L.
 Table 17.
 Samples collected for hatchery-origin White Sturgeon for assignment of sex
 and stage of maturity including gonad biopsies and plasma for plasma sex steroid work.

Table 18. Assignment of sex for hatchery-origin White Sturgeon by year class. Fishwere captured in the Lower Columbia River in 2015 (fall) and 2016 (spring and fall). Yearclass of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scutesand PIT tag..54

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Overview of the study area in the lower Columbia River between Hugh L.
Keenleyside Dam (HLk, rkm 0.1) and the Canada/US border (rkm 57.0)7
Figure 2. Drift net deployment sites in the lower Columbia River including: A) Arrow
Lakes Generating Station (rkm 0.1), B) downstream of Kinnaird (rkm 12.8 to rkm 18.2),
and C) Waneta (rkm 56.0)10
Figure 3. Study area for White Sturgeon stock assessment survey occurring from 2013-
2017 in the Transboundary Reach of the Columbia River. Upstream extent of the study
area is Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam in Canada, and the downstream extent of the study
area ends at Gifford, Washington, USA14
Figure 4. Lower Columbia River showing the two zones (1 and 5) of the river where
juvenile White Sturgeon were sampled in 2015 and 2016 for sex and stage of maturity
work
Figure 5. Mean daily discharge measured from Arrow Reservoir, Kootenay River,
Birchbank, and the Canada/U.S. International Border on the Lower Columbia River from
January 01, 2016 – December 31, 2016. The solid and dashed vertical bars represent
the first and last estimated spawning dates detected at Waneta and Kinnaird,
respectively. Estimated spawning dates are based on the developmental stage of
collected embryos (BC Hydro 2017) and/or larvae. No embryos or larvae were collected
downstream of Arrow Lakes Generating Station23
Figure 6. Mean daily water temperature (°C) of the Lower Columbia River in 2016.
Data was recorded at locations of HLK (rkm 0.1), Kootenay (rkm 10.5), Kinnaird (rkm
13.4), Genelle (rkm 26.0), Rivervale (rkm 35.8) and Waneta (rkm 56.0). Missing data is
due to lost or damaged temperature loggers. Vertical solid and dashed lines represent
estimated first and last spawning dates at Waneta and Kinnaird, respectively. Estimated
spawning duration is based on the developmental stage of collected fertilized eggs (BC
Hydro 2017) and/or larvae. No embryos or larvae were collected downstream of Arrow
Lakes Generating Station25
Figure 7. Drift net sampling locations and corresponding numbers of larvae collected
downstream of Kinnaird (rkm 13.4 – 19.2) in the Lower Columbia River from 2010
through 2016 sampling seasons
Figure 8. Fork length (cm) at release (approximately 9 months of age) of 2010 through
2016 year class juvenile White Sturgeon of hatchery (H) and wild (W) origins
Figure 10. Percent of the total number of hatchery-origin White Sturgeon captured
within the Lower Columbia River Canada that were originally released in either the US or
Canada. The proportion of US and Canadian origin fish are presented for each of 2013,
2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessments
Figure 11. The total number of hatchery-origin White Sturgeon captured within the
Lower Columbia River during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessments. Only
the 7 most abundant year classes recaptured are presented
Figure 12. The proportion of the total catch of hatchery-origin White Sturgeon by year
class within the Lower Columbia River during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock
assessment surveys. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external
mark of removed lateral scutes and PIT tag
Figure 13. Proportion of total juvenile White Sturgeon captured within the Lower
Columbia River for each sampling zone during the 2013, 2014, and 2015 stock
assessments. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L.
Figure 14 Juvenile White Sturgeon distribution in zone 1 of the Lower Columbia Diver
Figure 14. Juvenile vinite Sturgeon distribution in Zone 1 of the Lower Columbia River
based on locations of fish capture during 2016. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km

increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Figure 15. Juvenile White Sturgeon distribution in zone 2 of the Lower Columbia River based on locations of sampling effort and fish capture during 2016. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving Figure 16. Juvenile White Sturgeon distribution in zone 3 of the Lower Columbia River based on locations of sampling effort and fish capture during 2016. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Border......40 **Figure 17.** Juvenile White Sturgeon distribution in zone 4 of the Lower Columbia River based on locations of sampling effort and fish capture during 2016. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving Figure 18. Juvenile White Sturgeon distribution in zone 5 of the Lower Columbia River based on locations of sampling effort and fish capture during 2016. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Border......42 **Figure 19.** Fork length (cm), weight (kg) and relative weight (W_r) of juvenile White Sturgeon captured during stock assessments conducted in 2016. Biological data are presented as a function of year class in the Canadian portion of the Lower Columbia River. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scutes and PIT tag. Individuals with no PIT tag administered by the hatchery or lateral scutes removed were considered wild fish as a product of natural reproduction Figure 20. Length-at-age relationship and von Bertalanffy growth equation for known age hatchery-origin White Sturgeon captured in the Lower Columbia River from 2009 to Figure 21. Observed and predicted weight-length relationship and equation for juvenile White Sturgeon captured in the Lower Columbia River from 2009 through 2016......52 Figure 22. Fork length growth (cm/year) since release by brood year class for juvenile White Sturgeon captured in the Lower Columbia River in 2016. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scute and PIT tag......52 Figure 23. Growth (kg/year) in weight since release by brood year class for juvenile White Sturgeon captured in the Lower Columbia River in 2016. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scute and PIT tag......53 Figure 24. Endoscopic images of adipose tissue (A), ovigerous folds (OF) and small Figure 25. Endoscopic images of testicular tissue (T) and adipose tissue (A) in male juvenile White Sturgeon. Stage of maturity cannot be determined through visual

1 INTRODUCTION

The population of White Sturgeon (Acipenser transmontanus) in the lower Columbia River (LCR) Canada was listed as one of four endangered populations under the Species at Risk Act (SARA) in 2006. In Canada, the LCR is defined as the 57.0 km reach of the Columbia River downstream of Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam (HLK) to the United States border. An estimated 1,157 adult White Sturgeon (95% C.I. 414-1899; Irvine et al. 2007) reside within the Canadian reach, with an additional 2,003 individuals (95% C.I. 1093-3223) in the United States between the border and Grand Coulee Dam, WA (Howell and McLellan 2007). This transboundary population is suffering from recruitment failure similar to other populations of White Sturgeon residing in the Kootenay (Anders et al. 2002), Nechako (McAdam et al. 2005), and Snake (Jager et al. 2002) rivers. Despite some evidence of limited natural recruitment in the LCR, the level of recruitment annually is considered insufficient to maintain a self-sustaining population, and the population was forecast by the Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Initiative (UCWSRI) to become functionally extinct by 2044 in the absence of effective recovery measures (UCWSRI 2002; UCWSRI 2013).

The Columbia River Water Use Plan (WUP) Consultative Committee (CC; 2005) recommended giving priority to conservation and recovery of White Sturgeon. However, in recognition of its high value power generation, the Columbia River was designated to remain a working river. It was identified that direct management responses for White Sturgeon were limited to non-operational habitat improvements designed to improve spawning success and juvenile survival. In order to meet this goal, data are required to assess habitat use, suitability, and availability for all life stages of White Sturgeon residing in the LCR. These data include life history measures that are indicative of habitat quality including abundance, growth, development, condition, evidence of food availability, and survival rates. Furthermore, providing estimates of successful reproduction (e.g., egg and larval captures) at both known and suspected spawning locations in the LCR is critical to addressing management questions related to recruitment.

The WUP CC outlined a juvenile sturgeon program that would provide annual monitoring of the relative abundance and distribution of juvenile White Sturgeon in the LCR (CC 2005). The supporting rationale indicated monitoring was to provide information on the patterns of habitat use to better understand potential causes of recruitment failure and opportunities for feasible mitigative actions (CC 2005). The rationale assumed that, the probable bottleneck affecting juvenile survival could be determined with the release of hatchery-reared juvenile White Sturgeon into the system to help identify non-operational changes required for a positive effect on levels of natural recruitment of age 1+ sturgeon. As such, the B.C. Comptroller of Water Rights (CWR) issued a Water License Order directing operations of BC Hydro's projects on the Columbia River (Mattison 2007). The Order (Schedule F(1)(h)) specifies that the Juvenile Sturgeon Detection Program shall monitor the abundance, distribution, and patterns of habitat use in the LCR in relationship to discharges from HLK.

Identification of critical rearing habitat within the LCR is an important component of recovery to allow for protection or enhancement as recovery moves forward.

Monitoring White Sturgeon spawning activity helps describe the location of yolksac larvae rearing sites. Past studies have documented White Sturgeon spawning behavior immediately downstream of Arrow Lakes Generating Station (ALH, river kilometer (rkm) 0.1; BC Hydro 2013b), downstream of Kinnaird (rkm 13.0 to 19.0; Golder 2009a; BC Hydro 2013b), Pend d'Oreille River confluence (Waneta, rkm 56.0; UCWSRI 2012) and in the vicinity of Northport, WA (Howell and McLellan 2006). At the upstream locations of ALH and Kinnaird, exact locations of egg deposition remains unknown therefore continued monitoring is important to identify location of spawning and yolk-sac larvae rearing habitats.

Outside of annual monitoring programs used to collect information to guide recovery, the sole conservation strategy implemented to date for this population has been restoration through a Conservation Aquaculture Program. The objective of this strategy is to supplement the population with hatchery reared iuveniles until adequate levels of natural recruitment can be restored (UCSWRI 2012). Since 2001, an annual broodstock acquisition program has been conducted, with wild mature adults spawned in the hatchery to contribute progeny for stocking in the LCR (BC Hydro 2009). In 2014, it was advised by the Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Initiative Technical Work Group (UCWSRI TWG) to design a streamside incubation facility (SIF) to incorporate wild offspring into the stocking practices increasing representation of LCR spawning adults and levels of genetic diversity among stocked juvenile White Sturgeon (Jay et al. 2014). This has been successful for other sturgeon species (e.g. Lake Sturgeon Acipenser fulvescens, Crossman et al. 2011). Developing this facility in Canada also aligned with the US portion of the population, as collections of wild origin yolk-sac larvae serve as the source for the aquaculture program in the US. Results of this program were successful in 2014, with 1,095 wild origin juvenile White Sturgeon were successfully reared to release in the spring of 2015. In 2015, the broodstock program was suspended and all juvenile white sturgeon stocked as of 2015 year class have been of wild origin collected through the SIF program. Release criteria developed for these wild origin fish is a minimum of 200 grams in body weight to improve survival following release based on results of recent juvenile survival modeling (BC Hydro 2016c). A total of 63 and 800 wild progeny met the release size criteria and were released the following spring after capture for year classes 2015 and 2016, respectively. It was determined that the wild collection program would continue for the next several years. In total, the Conservation Aquaculture Program has been successful in releasing 149,685 hatchery reared juvenile sturgeon into the transboundary section of the Columbia River; 108,132 of which were released in the lower Columbia River in Canada (as of the spring of 2017).

Hatchery-reared juveniles released as part of the Conservation Aquaculture Program serve as an important learning tool as juvenile age classes are absent in many populations. Determining factors influencing growth and survival of these fish will not only contribute to refining the Conservation Aquaculture Program, but will provide critical insight into the ecology of this species which can be used to guide recovery efforts.

Work that has occurred over the past decade has identified that hatchery-reared juveniles have been successful in surviving after release from the hatchery (Golder 2009b). The survival of hatchery released age-0 juveniles combined with

high survival at the older life stages (Golder 2009b; Irvine et al. 2007) suggests that the recruitment bottleneck is likely the result of poor survival during earlier life stages (Gregory and Long 2008; Golder 2009b), which is similar to other systems (Ireland et al. 2002; Gross et al. 2002). As a result, recent monitoring has focused on the potential causes of mortality at the yolk-sac larvae and young-of-year life stages, and to understand underlying mechanisms resulting in recruitment failure.

This report describes the ninth (2016) year of ongoing monitoring in the LCR as a component of the WUP under the project: CLBMON-29 Lower Columbia River Juvenile Sturgeon Detection. Specific components of the study are to:

- 1. Monitor distribution of both larvae and juvenile life history stages.
- 2. Estimate growth and survival of both wild and hatchery origin White Sturgeon.
- 3. Describe sex and stage of maturity of hatchery origin White Sturgeon

1.1 Management Questions

Key management uncertainties encountered during development of the WUP related to how operations of HLK may adversely affect habitat suitability and availability for juvenile sturgeon and thus potentially contribute to recruitment failure of White Sturgeon in the LCR (Columbia River WUP CC 2005). Fundamental management questions to be addressed through the Juvenile Sturgeon Detection Program include:

- 1. What are the relative abundance, survival rates, and distribution locations of larval and juvenile White Sturgeon in the LCR under current operating parameters?
- 2. What are the physical and hydraulic properties of this habitat that define its suitability as juvenile sturgeon habitat?
- 3. How do normal river operations affect larval habitat conditions in the LCR?
- 4. How do normal river operations affect juvenile habitat conditions in the LCR during dispersal and on a seasonal basis?

1.2 Management Hypothesis

While impoundments and water management at HLK and other dams in the Columbia watershed may be correlated with declines in White Sturgeon recruitment in the LCR, the precise mechanisms remain unclear. Early life stages appear to be most adversely affected and spawning site selection and timing may impact mortality rates experienced by these early life stages. The

Juvenile Sturgeon Detection Program is designed to provide baseline information that may be used to evaluate recruitment failure hypotheses and can be used in design of future operational or physical mitigative approaches. Additionally, where feasible, the program is experimentally testing of research hypotheses to get at underlying mechanisms behind recruitment failure. This is the established process outlined at the Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Initiative Technical Working Group, and described in the groups operational plan which available at www.uppercolumbiasturgeon.org.

The following management hypotheses were used to guide the Juvenile Sturgeon Detection Program studies:

 H_0 : The operations of the Columbia River dams and reservoirs are not contributing to changes in survival among juvenile sturgeon in the lower Columbia reach.

 H_1 : Columbia River operations (HLK alone or the cumulative operations of dams affecting the LCR reach hydrograph) are affecting larval behaviour, development, growth, and habitat selection, which result in reduced survival of early life stages.

 H_2 : Columbia River operations (HLK alone or the cumulative operations of dams affecting the lower Columbia reach hydrograph) are affecting juvenile movements, growth, and selection of suitable rearing habitat, which result in reduced survival of juvenile life stages.

H₃: Columbia River operations (HLK alone or the cumulative operations of dams affecting the lower Columbia reach hydrograph) are affecting the suitability and availability of habitat parameters resulting in reduced survival of early life and juvenile stages of White Sturgeon.

1.3 Objectives and Scope

The LCR Juvenile Sturgeon Detection Program in 2015 was designed to describe life history aspects of juvenile White Sturgeon, as well as provide input to the ongoing consideration of recruitment failure hypotheses, the evaluation of the effects of future management responses, and information to guide conservation culture stocking targets.

As stated in the terms of reference for the work, the objectives of this program will have been met when:

- 1. The development, condition, drift and movement behaviours, growth, and survival of yolk-sac larvae and juvenile sturgeon are assessed with sufficient consistency to describe annual trends.
- 2. Early life stage distributions over time, including location and parameters of yolk-sac larvae and juvenile rearing habitats, are adequately defined.

- 3. Relationships between yolk-sac larvae and juvenile habitat quality and variations in discharge from upstream dams and water levels of Lake Roosevelt reservoir are quantified.
- 4. Assessment of the effects of current operations and determine feasibility of management responses are completed.

The scope of the juvenile program focuses on data collection to define yolk-sac larvae and juvenile habitat conditions, determine the effect of existing hydraulic conditions, and identify and assess the most suitable of several management responses to be considered in lieu of operational changes. The specific objectives related to the various components of this Juvenile Sturgeon Detection Program are summarized as follows:

1.3.1 Conservation Aquaculture Program

1. Wild Progeny: Collect naturally produced eggs and larvae for streamside incubation and Kootenay Sturgeon Hatchery (KSH) rearing for stocking purposes.

1.3.2 Larval Stage

1.3.2.1 Yolk-sac Larval Assessment

- 1. Identify timing and frequency of annual spawning days at Waneta, ALH, and Kinnaird sites using drift nets to collect White Sturgeon yolk-sac larvae.
- 2. Identify specific locations of unknown spawning grounds and describe yolksac larvae rearing habitat.
- 3. Assess yolk-sac larvae development, condition, behaviour, and survival.
- 4. Determine effects of current operations on yolk-sac larvae survival and rearing habitats.

1.3.3 Juvenile Stage

1.3.3.1 Juvenile Population Assessment

- 1. Assess juvenile population abundance, growth, age structure, annual survival rates, and population trajectories.
- 2. Provide relative abundance and periodic updates to population estimates of the LCR juvenile White Sturgeon populations.
- 3. Periodically compare new data describing length/weight relationships to monitor growth and conditions of all age classes.

1.3.3.2 Sex and Stage of Maturity

- 1. Identify the sex of hatchery origin White Sturgeon in the Upper Columbia River using non-lethal methods
- 2. Develop methods and a program to describe annual changes to the reproductive structure of the hatchery origin White Sturgeon. Reproductive structure can be defined as the proportion of females and males in the adult population that are capable of spawning in any given year.

1.3.4 Habitat Mapping

- 1. Assess availability and suitability of juvenile White Sturgeon habitat.
- 2. Quantify physical habitat that can be tied to early life stages and juvenile data collected as part of the Detection Program.
- 3. Describe and classify physical habitat in the LCR downstream of HLK to the Canada/US border.

Data from this program will be analyzed and evaluated on an ongoing basis to drive program decisions or to identify any emerging and imminent threats to the remaining population.

1.4 Study Area and Study Period

The study area for the 2016 monitoring program encompassed the 57 km stretch of the LCR from HLK to the Canada/US Border (Figure 1). The study area also included a small section (~2.5 km) of the Kootenay River below Brilliant Dam extending to its confluence with the LCR. Specific areas of the LCR sampled under the various components of the program are described below.



Figure 1. Overview of the study area in the lower Columbia River between Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam (HLk, rkm 0.1) and the Canada/US border (rkm 57.0).

2 METHODOLGY

The monitoring study design follows the recommendations of the UCWSRI Technical Working Group (TWG) who provided an outline for what they viewed as the components of a LCR juvenile monitoring program (UCWSRI 2006) during the development of the Columbia WUP. Further, it incorporates the guidance of the WUP Fisheries Technical Committee (FTC). The program is divided into data collection during spawn monitoring, yolk-sac larvae and juvenile assessments, and a suite of population characteristics. These are described separately below.

2.1 Physical Parameters

2.1.1 Discharge

In 2016, discharge records for the LCR at Arrow Reservoir (combined HLK and ALH discharges from Arrow Lakes Reservoir), the Kootenay River (combined discharge from Brilliant Dam and the Brilliant Expansion facility), the LCR at Birchbank (combine discharge from Arrow Lakes Reservoir and Kootenay River; rkm 29), and the LCR at the Canada/United States border (combined discharge from Birchbank and the Pend d'Oreille River; rkm 57.0) were obtained from BC Hydro power records. Discharge data were recorded at one-minute intervals and averaged hourly in cubic meters per second (cms), cubic feet per second (cfs), and in thousands of cubic feet per second (kcfs) of passage flow.

Typically, the metric discharge measurement (cms) is used to discuss and present results of volumetric flow rates in technical reports and scientific publications. However, water planners and biologists readily use the non-metric discharge measurement (cfs) to discuss flows from hydroelectric facilities. As such, both units of measure (cms and cfs) are presented and referenced within the results and discussion sections of this study report.

2.1.2 Water Temperature

For the 2016 study period, water temperatures were collected at several locations on the LCR including HLK (rkm 0.1), Kootenay River (rkm 10.5), Kinnaird (rkm 13.4), Genelle (rkm 26.0), Rivervale (rkm 35.8), and Waneta (rkm 56.0). Water temperatures were recorded hourly at each location using thermographs (Vemco Minilogs, accurate to +0.1°C).

2.2 Larval Stage

2.2.1 Yolk Sac Larval Assessment

2.2.1.1 Study Design

Sampling was conducted at several sites to determine the relative abundance and distribution of White Sturgeon yolk-sac larvae in the LCR. Sites were

selected based on previous monitoring program data collection where White Sturgeon have been confirmed to have spawned, or have been suspected to spawn.

Within the Canadian portion of the LCR, White Sturgeon reproduction occurs from mid-June through August (BC Hydro 2013a, 2013b) at two known spawning sites of Waneta (rkm 56.0) and ALH (rkm 0.1) (Figure 2). Waneta sampling is located downstream of the Pend d'Oreille River confluence immediately upstream of the Canada/US border. This site has been monitored for spawning activity since 1993 and is the main area of White Sturgeon spawning activity within the LCR, Canada (Hildebrand et al. 1999; Irvine et al. 2007; Golder 2009a). In addition, sampling occurred immediately downstream of ALH tailraces as described by Terraquatic Resource Management (2011). Sampling was also conducted downstream of Kinnaird (rkm 12.8 to rkm 18.2; Figure 2) based on previous studies (BC Hydro 2015a, 2015b), however location of exact egg deposition remains unknown.



Figure 2. Drift net deployment sites in the lower Columbia River including: A) Arrow Lakes Generating Station (rkm 0.1), B) downstream of Kinnaird (rkm 12.8 to rkm 18.2), and C) Waneta (rkm 56.0).

2.2.1.2 Sampling Methods

Drift net sampling has been used successfully to capture passively dispersing yolk-sac larvae for many sturgeon species including White Sturgeon in the LCR (BC Hydro 2015a), Lake Sturgeon (*A. fulvescens*; Auer and Baker 2002), and Shortnose Sturgeon (*A. brevirostrum*, Moser et al. 2000). Drift net sampling has been added to the spawn monitoring program in recent years and has proven to be successful at documenting spawning days and larval dispersal patterns (BC Hydro 2013b).

Spawn monitoring remained consistent with previously established locations of drift net sampling (see Golder 2009a, 2010, 2012, 2013, 2014, and Terraquatic Resource Management 2011 for details). Drift nets were deployed at ALH (n=4), Kinnaird (n=16), and Waneta (n=8; Table 1). Drift net locations at ALH, Kinnaird (rkm 18.2), and Waneta have remained consistent sampling locations since annual programs were developed in 2010, 2009, and 2007 respectively. Catch per unit effort (CPUE) was calculated for each site across years. The Waneta effort was elevated compared to previous years in an attempt to provide embryos and larvae for the SIF and to further describe the timing and frequency of spawning at that location.

Spawning Site	rkm	n
Waneta	56	8
ALH	0.1	4
Kinnaird	12.8	6
Kinnaird	13.4	2
Kinnaird	14.5	2
Kinnaird	16.9	1
Kinnaird	17.3	1
Kinnaird	18.2	4

Table 1. Number of drift nets deployed at each spawning site in 2016.

Drift net deployment and anchor system specifications were consistent among sampling locations and between sampling years in the LCR. Drift nets used during the sampling period were of standard design and altered designs. Standard drift nets consisted of a 1.3 cm rolled stainless steel frame (D shape) with a 0.6 m x 0.8 m opening trailed by a 4 m tapered plankton net (0.16 cm delta mesh size) ending with a collection cup device. Altered drift nets included 1.3 cm rolled stainless steel bars welded vertically across the standard drift net frame at 15 cm intervals to prohibit adult and juvenile White Sturgeon from entering the drift net. Standard drift nets were only deployed for short-sets (2 to 4 hour periods). Altered drift nets were deployed for long- (24-hour periods) and short sets.

Drift net anchor systems were comprised of two lead steel claw river anchor (30 kg) attached by approximately 6 m of 3/8 galvanized chain. One 30 m section of 0.95 cm diameter braided rope was extended between the upstream anchor and

a buoy at the surface of the river providing a means to remove the entire anchor system. A second rope was attached between the downstream anchor and the front of the drift net. A third 0.95 cm braided rope was attached to the top of the drift net frame to a surface buoy for deployment and retrieval purposes without dislodging the anchor system.

Drift nets were deployed to stand perpendicular to the river bottom and collect drifting larvae in the tapered plankton net. Upon retrieval, drift nets were brought to the surface by means of the drift net buoy line. Once at the surface, drift nets were detached from the anchor system and brought into the boat for sample collection. Collection cups were removed from the plankton net, and contents were rinsed into 19 L buckets containing river water. Contents remaining in the drift nets were also rinsed into the same collection bucket. Collection cups were reattached and drift nets were redeployed. Collection contents were diluted with river water and small aliquots were transferred into white plastic trays to improve contrast when searching for White Sturgeon larvae. White Sturgeon larvae were enumerated by net for each sampling location and session. Deployment and retrieval times, water temperatures (°C), and water depths (m) for each sampling location were recorded.

2.2.1.3 Larval Sampling

All live yolk-sac larvae were transported to the SIF (see BC Hydro 2015b). No live samples were sacrificed for preservation as practiced in previous years (BC Hydro 2015a). Dead larval samples collected at all locations were preserved for possible future genetic analyses.

2.2.1.4 Developmental Staging and Estimation of Fertilization Date

Preserved yolk-sac larvae were randomly examined with respect to date, stage, and site (to reduce observer bias) using a digital compound microscope (Nikon SMZ-745t Stereo Microscope with 10X eyepiece) and assigned a developmental stage. Enumeration of stages corresponded to the yolk-sac larvae classification by Dettlaff et al. (1993), including stages 36 (hatch) through 45 (exogenous feeding). No preserved samples had developed beyond stage 45.

Fertilization dates for collected yolk-sac larvae were estimated by backcalculation from the recorded date and time of preservation based on developmental stage and mean incubation water temperature (BC hydro 2016b). The estimated age was subtracted from the preservation date and time to determine the estimated date and time of fertilization (i.e., spawning date). Calculated fertilization dates provided an estimation of spawning duration for each spawning site. However, the accuracy of developmental staging as a method to delineate spawning days and estimate time of spawning can be affected by individual White Sturgeon spawning behaviour, yolk-sac larvae maturation rates, and more importantly, the fluctuation in daily thermal regimes (Parsley et al. 2010).

2.3 Juvenile Stage

2.3.1 Conservation Aquaculture Program

Design of the LCR Streamside Incubation Facility (SIF) was based on the culture techniques used in the hatchery program (FFSBC 2015). The facility was placed near the Waneta spawning location on the banks of the LCR, as this is the primary spawning location where it was envisioned most of the eggs would originate from. Eggs collected from the LCR were transferred to the SIF for incubation in hatching jars (MacDonald Type; J30, Dynamic Agua-Supply Ltd., Surrey, BC). Five jars were available for each collection location (i.e., upstream, downstream) and eggs of similar developmental stages were grouped together. Water was flow through from the LCR and flows were maintained to ensure adequate egg separation and oxygenation (~5 L/min). Upon hatch, yolk-sac larvae were flushed from the hatching jars directly into rearing troughs associated with each hatching jar and supplied with artificial substrate (1" diameter sinking Bio-Spheres; Dynamic Aqua-Supply Ltd. Surrey, BC) allowing yolk-sac larvae to burrow into interstitial spaces mimicking behaviour documented in the wild (McAdam 2011). To reduce sediment in the incubation jars and tanks, water was filtered (254 micron; Spin-Down Separator, Denton, TX) and tanks were cleaned twice a week by purging to remove sediment and waste. All yolk-sac larvae were transported to the KSH within 7 days of hatch in bags of ambient river water filled with oxygen. Juveniles were reared at the KSH until date of release into the LCR (see FFSBC 2017 for details). Temperature loggers inside the facility recorded air, LCR water, and facility tank water temperatures.

2.3.2 Juvenile Population Monitoring, Abundance, and Characteristics

Starting in 2013, a systematic stock assessment program to address uncertainties in the current population abundance and survival estimates was developed between Canadian and US recovery partners. This study represents the first systematic population estimate for the entire Transboundary Reach (TBR). The design of the stock assessment includes two annual surveys, one in the spring and one in the fall, and will continue for five years, ending in 2017. Results presented here include data collected in the Canadian and US portions of the LCR.

2.3.2.1 Study Design

The study area for the stock assessment program started at HLK, Canada, and extended downstream to Gifford, Washington, USA (Figure 3). Identifying the distribution of juvenile White Sturgeon was an important component to the CLBMON-29 program as previous sampling efforts were limited to specific spatial areas of the LCR (Golder 2006a). Therefore, the LCR study area was stratified into 5 equal zones (11.2 rkm in length), and sampling effort was consistent at 1.6 hooks per hectare of river throughout the entire study area. We used a generalized random-tessellation stratified (GRTS) design developed by Stevens and Olsen (2004) to randomly assign sampling locations spatially balanced within each river zone. This was conducted with the statistical package R (Program R,

version 2.9.0) using the library packages spsurvey and sp, provided by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). The library package spsurvey allows a user to input data/criteria needed for a GRTS sampling design. We developed shapefiles (i.e. geo-referenced maps) for each river zone using ArcMap (version 10.0, Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc. (ESRI)). Each river zone shapefile was imported into spsurvey and sampling sites were randomly generated. The locations of each sampling site were output as coordinates in Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) format for visual display on maps and for importing into handheld global positioning system (GPS) devices used for field application. Sites were sampled in ascending order until the required effort had been expended (further detail provided below).



Figure 3. Study area for White Sturgeon stock assessment survey occurring from 2013-2017 in the Transboundary Reach of the Columbia River. Upstream extent of the study area is Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam in Canada, and the downstream extent of the study area ends at Gifford, Washington, USA.

2.3.2.2 Juvenile Capture

The requirement for a consistent, well-documented approach to White Sturgeon collection activities is a necessary component of the Upper Columbia River White Sturgeon Recovery Plan (UCSWRI 2012). The document, entitled "Upper Columbia River Adult White Sturgeon Capture, Transportation, and Handling Manual" provides a very detailed and standardized methodology for the capture and handling of White Sturgeon (Golder 2006b). Set lines were the only method used to capture White Sturgeon during the stock assessment and have been successfully used in the LCR for the past few decades (Irvine et al. 2007).

A medium line configuration was the standard used for set lines, similar to that used by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) to capture White Sturgeon in the United States portion of the Columbia River (Nigro et al. 1988). Medium lines measured 84.0 m in length and consisted of a 0.95 cm diameter nylon mainline with 12 circle halibut hooks attached at 6.0 m intervals. Hooks were attached to the mainline using a 0.95 cm swivel snap and a 0.7 m long ganglion line tied between the swivel and the hook. Four different Halibut hook sizes were used to select for different size classes of White Sturgeon. Hook sizes included 14/0, 16.0, 18/0 and 20/0 that a known to select for both adult and juvenile White Sturgeon. Hooks were systematically attached to the mainline in 3 sets of each hook size in descending order of size. The barbs on all hooks were removed to reduce the severity of hook-related injuries and to facilitate fish recovery and release. All set line hooks were baited with pickled squid obtained from Gilmore Fish Smokehouse, Dallesport, WA USA.

Set lines were deployed from a boat at preselected sampling locations and set configuration was based on the physical parameters (i.e., depths and water flow) of the site. Set line configuration consisted of either deploying the line parallel to the shore in faster flowing water or perpendicular to the shore in slower moving water. This was conducted to ensure that fish were able to orientate themselves into the current and rest on the bottom of the river, minimizing stress. Prior to each set, water depth (m) was measured by an echo sounder, and this information was used to select a float line of appropriate length. Anchors were attached to each end of the mainline and a float line was attached to the back anchor of the mainline. The set line was secured to shore with a shore line of suitable length to ensure that the set line was deployed in water depths greater than 2 m. Set lines were deployed and remained in overnight at each selected site.

The set line retrieval procedure involved lifting the back anchor using the float line until the mainline was retrieved. The boat was then propelled along the mainline and each hook line was removed. If a fish was captured on a hook, the boat was stopped while the fish was removed. White Sturgeon removed from the set line were tethered between two anchor points to the port or starboard side of the boat. While tethered, the entire body of the fish was submerged. Once all fish were removed from the set line, the boat was idled into shore or anchored within a nearby back eddy and White Sturgeon were individually brought aboard for biological processing (described in Section 2.3.3). Catch per unit effort (CPUE) was calculated as the total number of fish captured per set line hour.

2.3.2.3 Fish Handling, Biological Processing, and Release

Captured White Sturgeon were individually guided into a 2.5 m by 1.0 m stretcher that was raised into the boat using a winch and davit assembly. The stretcher was secured on the boat and fresh river water was continuously pumped over the gills during the processing period. A hood on one end of the stretcher protected the head of the White Sturgeon from exposure to direct sunlight and also retained a sufficient amount of water allowing the fish to respire during processing.

All individuals were assessed for external markings (removed scutes; see FFSBC 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 for juvenile marking details) and the presence of a PIT tag (400 kHz PIT tags or 134.2 kHz ISO PIT tag; Biosonics Inc.) indicating previous capture. We followed the assumption that juvenile White Sturgeon captured without external markings were of wild origin. Untagged fish were considered to be new captures (i.e., not previously handled by researchers) and had PIT tags injected subdermally in the tissue layer between the ventral edge of the dorsal fin and the right mid-dorsal line. Prior to insertion, both the tag and tagging syringe were immersed in an antiseptic solution (Germaphene). Care was taken to angle the syringe needle so the tag was deposited in the subcutaneous layer and not the muscle tissue. The 2nd left lateral scute was removed from new captures (or recaptured White Sturgeon if present) using a sterilized scalpel in a manner consistent with the marking strategy employed by WDFW and ODFW.

White Sturgeon were measured for fork length (\pm 0.5 cm) and weight (\pm 2.2 kg). All life history data were recorded in the field on standardized data forms and later entered into an electronic database.

Tissues samples were taken from every wild fish captured for future genetic analysis. A small piece of tissue (approximately 1.5 cm by 1.5 cm) from the tip of the dorsal fin was removed using surgical scissors, split into two sub samples, and archived in labelled scale envelopes. Blood samples were collected from all fish captured via the caudal vein to determine ploidy levels (see BC Hydro 2015b for details). Fish were held ventral side up in the stretcher and a blood sample was taken midline just posterior of anal fin. A hypodermic needle (25 gauge) was inserted into the musculature perpendicular to the ventral surface until the spine was reached or blood entered the syringe. Blood was extracted until a sufficient amount was collected (approximately 2 ml) and a blood smear was made immediately after extraction. For each blood smear, a drop of blood was placed on an untreated slide and smeared by placing the end of another slide at an angle and dragging the blood toward the end of the sample slide. Slides were labeled with the fish ID number, air dried, and stored for later analyses by the FFSBC Fish Health Lab. For autopolyploidy assessment see FFSBC (2014, 2015, 2016, 2017).

Once all biological data was collected, White Sturgeon were returned to the water following processing and remained in the stretcher until they swam away under their own volition.

2.3.2.4 Data Analysis

Catch per unit effort (CPUE) was calculated as total White Sturgeon captures per effort hour. Proportion of total capture was calculated by means of brood year class and sampling zone. Spatial distribution of juvenile White Sturgeon in the LCR was assessed qualitatively by visual examination of capture locations and quantitatively by comparison of CPUE among sampling zones within each year.

Biological data collected and analyzed in this report included fork length (FL; cm), weight (kg), and relative weight (W_r). Relative weight is a measure of fish plumpness allowing comparison between fish of different lengths, inherent changes in body forms, and populations (Wege and Anderson 1978). Relative weight was calculated with the following formula:

$$(W_r) = (W/W_S)^*100$$

where *W* is the actual fish weight (kg), and W_S is a standard weight for fish of the same length (Wege and Anderson 1978). We determined W_r for captured juveniles according to the White Sturgeon standard weight-length equation developed by Beamesderfer (1993):

$$W_{\rm S} = 2.735 {\rm E}^{-6} * L^{3.232}$$

where $W_{\rm S}$ is standardized weight and *L* is fork length (FL; cm).

Total and annual growth was calculated for each age class. We used an allometric growth model (W = αL^{β}) to predict juvenile sturgeon weight from length and to develop a relationship for use in further sampling efforts. Prior to fitting the model, the equation was log-transformed on both sides to achieve a linear relationship:

$$\ln W_i = \ln(\alpha) + \beta^* \ln(L_i)$$

where W_i is the predicted weight and L_i is the fork length of the individual juvenile sturgeon used to predict W_i . We fit the model by minimizing the residual sum of squares using the solver tool in excel. After fitting the model the estimates were back transformed using the equation:

$$W_i = EXP(\alpha)^*EXP(L_i)^{\beta}$$

A von Bertalanffy growth model (Equation 9.9, Ricker 1975) was used to predict juvenile White Sturgeon length-at- age from age using the solver tool in excel to predict model parameters. The equation used was:

$$l_t = L_{\infty} \left(1 - e^{(-K(t-t_0))} \right)$$

where I is length at age t, L_{∞} is the length that a fish would achieve if it continued

to live and grow indefinitely, K is a constant determining the rate of increase or decrease in length, and t_0 is the age at which the fish would have been zero length if it grew according to the manner described in the equation (Ricker 1975).

After the completion of the stock assessment study, mark recapture data will be used to estimate population abundance, age class structure, growth rates, density dependent responses, and survival rates of hatchery released juveniles. Catch records will be analyzed across all years of stock assessment in an effort to provide recommendations to annual conservation aquaculture breeding plans and maximize the genetic diversity available for culture practices.

2.3.3 Juvenile Survival and Abundance Analyses

A key component of the Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Initiative (UCWSRI) Recovery Plan is the supplementation of the existing White Sturgeon population through broodstock collections, hatchery rearing, and stocking of juvenile White Sturgeon. The 149,685 hatchery-reared juvenile White Sturgeon released in the TBR from 2002 to 2017 have been monitored annually by Canadian and US organizations providing data regarding distribution, abundance, growth, and condition. Recent work under this monitoring program has found that survival of hatchery-origin fish from both Conservation Aquaculture Programs has been higher than originally predicted (details in BC Hydro 2016c). Currently more than 30,000 individuals are estimated to be at large in the population and additional analyses will be completed in future years to refine both survival and population abundance estimates for both the hatchery and wild components of the population.

2.3.4 Sex and Stage of Maturity

A program to determine the sex and stage of maturity for juvenile White Sturgeon was initiated in fall 2015 and continued in the spring and fall of 2016 to address uncertainties related to the proportion of hatchery origin juvenile White Sturgeon that could initiate spawning with the existing wild adults. In addition to determining sex through the use of an otoscope, endoscopy techniques were used to build a catalogue of images to track stage of maturity over time at the individual level and to help identify the sex of individuals that can't be conclusively determined in the field. Biopsy samples of the gonad were also collected for histology work to further increase confidence when assigning sex and to determine the accuracy of field based methods. Knowledge of when hatchery-released fish reach reproductive maturity is particularly important for recovery planning and confidence in assigning sex of White Sturgeon is critical for many of the LCR research projects including telemetry and population assessments.

2.3.4.1 Study Design

As a pilot study, sampling effort was distributed between upstream and downstream sections of the LCR to efficiently maximize the collection of hatchery released juvenile White Sturgeon. Based on capture results of previous stock

assessment studies (BC Hydro 2016b), sampling was conducted within two river zones: zone 1, rkm 0.1 - 11.2, and zone 5, rkm 45.8 - 57.0 (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Lower Columbia River showing the two zones (1 and 5) of the river where juvenile White Sturgeon were sampled in 2015 and 2016 for sex and stage of maturity work.

2.3.4.2 Juvenile Capture

This program used two capture methods including set lines (as described in Section 2.3.2.2) and angling. Angling has been a proven method of capture in the LCR during previous studies (BC Hydro 2015a, 2015c). Hook sizes for setlines (14/0 and 16/0) and angling (8/0) were used to target juvenile White Sturgeon.

Angling equipment consisted of a stiff action rod suitable for White Sturgeon, level wind reel spooled with 45 to 58 kg test braided nylon line, barbless hook baited with earth worms, and lead weight (510-680 g) to hold the hook on the river bottom.

At each sampling site, angling rods were deployed from an anchored boat and sampling continued until no fish were captured within 30 minutes of sampling effort. If multiple fish were captured at a time, fish were placed in a holding tank of ambient river water until processing and release. All individuals were assessed for external markings (removed scutes, see FFSBC 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 for juvenile marking details), presence of a PIT tag, fork length (\pm 0.5 cm) and weight (\pm 2.2 kg). Untagged fish were administered a PIT tag as described in Section 2.3.2.3. Fish handling, biological processing, and release were completed as described in Section 2.3.2.3.

2.3.4.3 Sex Determination, Stage of Maturity, and Biopsy Sampling

All individuals from year classes older than 2007 were selected for sex determination and biopsy sampling. Year class was determined by external markings from the removal of lateral scutes (FFSBC 2016) and verified by PIT tag. A 1.5 cm long incision was made through the ventral body wall just off the midline using a sterile scalpel. An otoscope was inserted into the incision and sex was assigned based on qualitative histology (Webb and Van Eenennaam 2015). Females were classified by the presence of ovarian tissue; bright white, yellow, or orange in colour with grainy ovigerous folds. Males were classified by the presence of testicular tissue; smooth, turgid, and whitish in colour. Stage of maturity for females and males are provided in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively.

A biopsy tool (Miltex Cup Jaw Biopsy Tool) was inserted into the body cavity via the otoscope to collect a small (2 mm³) sample of the gonad. Each sample was preserved in formalin for histological analyses. The otoscope and biopsy tool was removed and a handheld USB digital endoscope (Vividia 2.0MP) was inserted into the body cavity to capture an image of the gonads. The image was projected onto a computer screen and the endoscope was manipulated in the body cavity to ensure a clear image was obtained. Images were saved directly on the computer and further edited to improve contrast and clarity. Images were catalogued by individual fish and sex. Following endoscopy, the incision was closed using a half circle CP-2 reverse cutting-edge needle wedged to a 2-0 monofilament Polydioxanone suture. Sutures were spaced approximately 0.75 cm apart and sufficient slack was provided in the sutures to prevent tissue damage caused by swelling during the healing process.

Developmental Stage		Description	
1	Differentiation	Ovarian groove starts to develop into small, very thing ovigerous ribbon containing clusters of oogonia	
2	Pre-vitellogenic	Obvious ovigerous folds with small translucent oocytes	
3	Early vitellogenic	Ovigerous folds contain small white oocytes	
4	Mid-vitellogenic	Eggs in the ovary are seen as larger spheres, white to cream to yellowish in colour	
5	Late vitellogenic	Grey to black ovarian follicles are visible	
6	Post vitellogenic	Fully grown, black ovarian follicles	
7	Oocyte Maturation/ Ovulation	Eggs are freely flowing from vent	
8	Post-ovulatory	Ovaries contain postovulatory follicles and the next generation of oocytes are present (stage 2 or 3)	
9	Atretic	Oocytes are soft, crush easily, and have a marbled appearance	

Table 2. Stage of female gonad development identified through visual examination.

Table 3. Stage of male gonad development identified through visual examination.

Developmental Stage		Description	
1	Differentiation	Testicular tissue is a thin white thread (≤ 1mm)	
2	Pre-meiotic	Testicular tissue is a thicker white thread (1-4 mm)	
3	Onset of meiosis	Testis have whitish colour and turgid texture ranging from 0.5-2 cm	
4	Meiotic	Gonad is primarily testicular tissue (2-3 cm) with much less adipose tissue	
5	Mature	Large milky-white testis (3-8 cm) with no adipose tissue	
6	Spermiation	Release of milt	
7	Post-spermiation	Classification requires histological methods	

2.3.4.4 Data Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using R (Version 3.3.2; The R Foundation for Statistical Computing 2016). A Student's T-test was used to determine differences between assigned sex and data on FL and weight. Data were tested for normality and equal variances.

2.3.4.5 Histological Analyses

All biopsy samples were transported to a laboratory for histological analyses of sex and stage of maturity in 2017. Additional methods will be provided post-analyses.

2.4 Habitat Mapping

To address questions regarding the use and availability of suitable habitat for larval or juvenile stages of White Sturgeon in the LCR, it is important to quantify physical habitat that can be tied to data collected as part of this program. It is believed that small substrate (e.g., gravel) with interstitial spacing is important for survival of YSL by providing hiding habitat that they can use to avoid predators (McAdam 2011) while age-0 and older juvenile White Sturgeon tend to prefer substrates of hard clay, mud, silt, and sand (Parsley and Beckman 1994). Uncertainties exist in the LCR as to how the quality and quantity of such habitat changes across different sections of the river. As such, physical habitat data are required to assess habitat use and suitability/availability for both wild and hatchery released juvenile sturgeon found in the LCR.

As part of this monitoring program, a habitat mapping program was developed for the LCR to describe and classify physical habitat in the LCR between HLK and the US border. Riverbed images were acquired in 2010 and 2011 with a Tritech Starfish sidescan sonar. Image editing, processing, and mapping of substrate classes were completed in 2012 (see BC Hydro 2015c for details).

3 MONITORING RESULTS

It is intended that the long term results of all White Sturgeon monitoring programs will be used to characterize movements and redistribution patterns, spawning behavior and frequency, relative abundance, habitat preferences, growth rates, survival, provide information on potential new hypotheses and physical works options, and provide baseline information necessary to evaluate physical works experiments and effects of opportunistic flows.

3.1 Physical Parameters

3.1.1 Discharge

Mean daily discharge (cms; cfs) measured from Arrow Reservoir, Kootenay River, Birchbank, and Canada/U.S. International Border for the 2016 study period is presented in Figure 5. Minimum and maximum discharge (cms; cfs) for each location is given in Table 4.

Table 4. Minimum and maximum discharge (cubic meters per second, cms;

 cubic feet per second, cfs) at four locations on the Lower Columbia River in 2016.

	Discharge			
Location	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum
	(cms)	(cms)	(cfs)	(cfs)
Arrow Reservoir	144.8	2,162.8	5,113	76,377
Kootenay River	315.7	2,016.6	11,149	71,214
Birchbank	923.9	3,177.6	32,626	112,215
Border	1,222.2	4,448.1	43,161	157,083


Figure 5. Mean daily discharge measured from Arrow Reservoir, Kootenay River, Birchbank, and the Canada/U.S. International Border on the Lower Columbia River from January 01, 2016 – December 31, 2016. The solid and dashed vertical bars represent the first and last estimated spawning dates detected at Waneta and Kinnaird, respectively. Estimated spawning dates are based on the developmental stage of collected embryos (BC Hydro 2017) and/or larvae. No embryos or larvae were collected downstream of Arrow Lakes Generating Station.

3.1.2 Water Temperature

Mean daily water temperatures (°C) in the LCR during 2016 are illustrated in Figure 6. Annual mean (\pm SD), minimum, and maximum water temperatures (°C) at locations HLK (rkm 0.1), Kootenay Eddy (rkm 10.5), Kinnaird (rkm 13.4), Genelle Eddy (rkm 26.0), and Waneta Eddy (rkm 56.0) are summarized in Table 5. The date of occurrence of spawning temperature threshold (14°C) at each location is provided in Table 5. Variations in water temperatures experienced during the study period can be attributed to warm/cold water influences caused in the Arrow Reservoir system (i.e., combined HLK and ALH discharges from Arrow Lakes Reservoir), and other cold-water tributary influences.

Table 5. Mean (\pm SD) daily, minimum, and maximum water temperatures ($^{\circ}$ C) recorded within the Lower Columbia River during 2016. Data was recorded at locations of Hugh L. Keenleyside (rkm 0.1), Kootenay Eddy (rkm 10.5), Kinnaird (rkm 13.4), Genelle Eddy (rkm 26.0), Rivervale (rkm 35.8) and Waneta Eddy (rkm 56.0).

Location	RKM	Т	emperature	Date of Suspected	
Looution		Mean \pm SD	Minimum	Maximum	(14°C)
HLK	0.1	10.3 ± 4.8	3.7	19.0	02-Jun
Kootenay	10.5	10.7 ± 5.3	3.5	20.8	05-Jun
Kinnaird*	13.4	12.6 ± 4.2	4.3	19.5	05-Jun
Genelle	26.0	10.3 ± 4.8	3.8	19.4	04-Jun
Rivervale*	35.8	13.6 ± 3.3	6.1	19.4	04-Jun
Waneta*	56.0	11.0 ± 5.3	1.3	21.0	04-Jun

*Data incomplete due to lost or damaged temp loggers



Figure 6. Mean daily water temperature (°C) of the Lower Columbia River in 2016. Data was recorded at locations of HLK (rkm 0.1), Kootenay (rkm 10.5), Kinnaird (rkm 13.4), Genelle (rkm 26.0), Rivervale (rkm 35.8) and Waneta (rkm 56.0). Missing data is due to lost or damaged temperature loggers. Vertical solid and dashed lines represent estimated first and last spawning dates at Waneta and Kinnaird, respectively. Estimated spawning duration is based on the developmental stage of collected fertilized eggs (BC Hydro 2017) and/or larvae. No embryos or larvae were collected downstream of Arrow Lakes Generating Station.

3.2 Larval Stage

3.2.1 Yolk Sac Larval Assessment

3.2.1.1 Larval Sampling Effort and Collection

Downstream Location – Waneta (rkm 56.0)

Sampling was conducted from June 1 to July 29 at depths of 4.2 ± 1.6 m (mean \pm SD) and water temperatures ranging from 12.2 to 19.4°C (Figure 6). Drift nets were deployed for 5.6 ± 8.3 hours per sampling period with a total sampling effort of 965.4 hours (Table 6).

A total of 955 yolk-sac larvae were captured at Waneta between the dates of June 13 and July 8 (Table 6), however only 239 were alive and transported to the SIF. The largest daily sample was 755 larvae collected on June 24 representing 0.79 of total drift net sample collection. All live larvae were transported to the KSH for rearing purposes. For egg collection details see BC Hydro (2017).

Upstream location – Kinnaird (rkm 12.8 to rkm 18.2)

Drift nets were deployed at rkm 12.8 (n=6; short and long -set), 13.4 (n=2; short-set), rkm 14.5 (n=2; short- and long-set), rkm 16.9 (n=1; short--set), rkm 17.3 (n=1; short-set), and rkm 18.2 (n=4; short- and long-set) on July 4 and sampling continued until August 9. Water temperatures ranged from 13.0 to 19.2°C (Figure 5) and sampling water depth was 4.3 ± 1.2 m. Total sampling effort for drift nets were 2533.1 hours (rkm 12.8, 901.3 h; rkm 13.4, 118.4 h; rkm 14.5, 380.5 h; rkm 16.9, 120.8 h; rkm 17.3, 121.9 h; rkm 18.2, 990.3 h; Table 6). Mean daily effort for long- and short-sets was 18.6 ± 1.0 hours and 5.0 ± 0.9 hours, respectively.

A total of 17 larvae (rkm 14.5, n=3; rkm 16.9, n=5; rkm 17.3, n=1; rkm 18.2, n=8; Table 6; Figure 7) were collected between July 8 and August 4. Three larvae collected in the drift nets were alive upon capture and transferred to the SIF. All dead larvae were preserved for developmental staging. No eggs were collected over the entire sampling period.

Upstream Location – ALH (rkm 0.1)

Drift nets (n=4, short- and long-set) were deployed on July 4 and sampling continued until August 9 with water temperatures ranging from 11.0 to 18.2°C (Figure 5). Total drift net sampling effort was 1005.7 h (Table 6). Mean daily sampling water depth was 4.9 ± 1.8 m and daily effort for long- and short-sets was 23.4 ± 1.1 h and 3.9 ± 1.1 h, respectively. No larvae were collected at ALH (Table 6).

Table 6. White Sturgeon egg and larval collection and sampling effort at Lower Columbia River monitoring locations of Waneta (rkm 56.0), downstream of Kinnaird (rkm 12.8 to rkm 19.2), Kootenay (rkm 10.5), downstream Arrow Lakes Generating Station (ALH; rkm 6.0), ALH (rkm 0.1), and HLK (rkm 0.1) for years 2008 through 2016.

Year	Location	Eggs	Larvae	Effort (hrs)	CPUE
2008	Waneta	494	220	72	9.92
	rkm 18.2	0	1	164	0.01
2009	Waneta	77	39	90	1.29
	rkm 18.2	0	5	976	0.01
	rkm 6.0	0	0	3,091	0.00
2010	Waneta	888	89	113	8.65
	rkm 18.2	1	8	2,104	<0.00
	ALH	30	115	2,084	0.07
2011	Waneta	234	15	50	4.98
	rkm 18.2	2	33	1,413	0.02
	rkm 14.5	0	0	154	0.00
	rkm 10.5	0	0	993	0.00
	HLK	0	0	461	0.00
	ALH	183	308	2,538	0.19
2012	Waneta	134	15	48	3.10
	rkm 18.2	0	0	197	0.00
	ALH	6	0	2,979	<0.00
2013	rkm 18.2	0	4	363	0.01
	rkm 14.5	0	1	154	0.01
	ALH	0	0	680	0.00
2014	Waneta	33	62	43	2.21
	rkm 18.2	5	8	1,514	0.01
	rkm 17.3	0	1	128	0.01
	rkm 16.9	0	2	43	0.05
	rkm 15.6	0	0	77	0.00
	rkm 15.0	0	0	106	0.00
	rkm 14.5	1	2	670	<0.00
	ALH	0	0	857	0.00
2015	Waneta	8	55	275	0.23
	rkm 13.4	0	0	805	0.00
	rkm 14.5	0	1	272	<0.00
	rkm 16.9	0	4	186	0.02
	rkm 17.3	0	1	187	0.01
	rkm 18.2	0	2	1,767	<0.00
	rkm 19.2	0	0	91	0.00
	ALH	0	1	1,373	<0.00

Table 6 (continued). White Sturgeon egg and larval collection and sampling effort at Lower Columbia River monitoring locations of Waneta (rkm 56.0), downstream of Kinnaird (rkm 12.8 to rkm 19.2), Kootenay (rkm 10.5), downstream Arrow Lakes Generating Station (ALH; rkm 6.0), ALH (rkm 0.1), and HLK (rkm 0.1) for years 2008 through 2016.

Year	Location	Eggs	Larvae	Effort (hrs)	CPUE
2016	Waneta	5203	955	965	6.38
	rkm 12.8	0	0	901	0.00
	rkm 13.4	0	0	118	0.00
	rkm 14.5	0	3	381	0.01
	rkm 16.9	0	5	121	0.04
	rkm 17.3	0	1	122	0.01
	rkm 18.2	0	8	990	0.01
	ALH	0	0	1006	0.00





3.2.1.2 Developmental Staging and Estimated Spawning Dates

All preserved yolk-sac larvae in good condition were assigned a developmental stage based on Dettlaff et al. (1993) to calculate an estimated date of fertilization. Based on 45 developmentally staged larvae (Table 7), eight spawning days was estimated to have occurred between June 3 and June 24 at Waneta. Spawning was estimated to have occurred on six days between July 3 and July 30 downstream of Kinnaird based on 6 developmentally staged larvae (Table 7). For 2016 estimated spawning days via developmental staging of egg samples see BC Hydro (2017). Spawning dates were not estimated for ALH due to no samples being collected.

			Developmental Stage								
Location	n	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45
RKM 14.5	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RKM 16.9	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RKM 18.2	4		4								
Waneta	45	10	10	12	3	5	0	1	0	4	0

Table 7. Developmental stages of White Sturgeon larvae collected at multiple locations (river kilometer, RKM) in the Lower Columbia River in 2016.

3.3 Juvenile Stage

3.3.1 Conservation Aquaculture Program

The Conservation Aquaculture Program has released a total of 149,685 juvenile White Sturgeon through 2002 to 2017 (Table 8). In 2017, 800 wild-origin juveniles (year class 2016) were released into the lower Columbia River. A total of 350 and 450 juveniles were released at Millenium Park (rkm 10.5) and Beaver Creek (rkm 49.0), respectively. FL for released wild origin released was 29.7 and 30.0 cm for Millennium and Beaver Creek release sites, respectively (Figure 8). Weight for released wild origin released was 190.0 and 200 g for Millennium and Beaver Creek release sites, respectively (Figure 9). **Table 8**. Numbers of hatchery-origin juvenile White Sturgeon released into the Transboundary Reach of the Columbia River [Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam (HLK) to Grand Coulee Dam (GCD) in WA, USA] from 2002-2017. Bolded numbers indicate releases of progeny collected in the wild as embryos or larvae and reared in the hatchery. Release numbers are presented by release year and indicated whether they occurred in the fall or spring.

Release	Year	Can	ada	US	A	Total
Year	Class	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	TOTAL
2002	2001	8,671				8,671
2003	2002	11,803				11,803
2004	2003	9,695		1,881		11,576
2005	2004	12,748		3,755		16,503
2005	2005		5,039			5,039
2006	2005	10,828		4,351		15,179
2006	2006		4,042			4,042
2007	2006	8,123		3,422		11,545
2007	2007		4,029			4,029
2008	2007	6,448		3,821		10,269
2009	2008	4,141		3,537		7,678
2010	2009	3,947		3,873		7,820
2010	2010				522	522
2011	2010	4,010		3,869		7,879
2011	2011				3,586	3,590
2012	2011	4,000				4,000
2012	2012				302	302
2013	2012	4,037				4,037
2014	2013	1,800			656	2,457
2015	2014	2,800				7,940
2015	2014	1,095		2,833		3,928
2016	2015	76		N/A*		76
2017	2016	800		N/A*		800
Total		95,022	13,110	31,342	5,066	149,685

*Release numbers not available at the present time for USA releases



Figure 8. Fork length (cm) at release (approximately 9 months of age) of 2010 through 2016 year class juvenile White Sturgeon of hatchery (H) and wild (W) origins.



Figure 9. Weight (g) at release (approximately 9 months of age) of 2010 through 2016 year class juvenile White Sturgeon of hatchery (H) and wild (W) origins.

3.3.2 Juvenile Population Assessment

3.3.2.1 Juvenile Sampling Effort and Captures

The biannual stock assessment program was initiated in the spring of 2013. Sampling will continue twice a year (spring and fall) in the TRB extending from HLK in Castlegar British Columbia, Canada, to Gifford Washington, USA, until Fall 2017. Results are presented for data collected in the Canadian portion of the LCR.

Within Canada, spring and fall stock assessments were conducted between the dates of May 8 through May 19 (12 days) and September 25 through October 5 (12 days) with water temperatures (mean \pm SD) of 12.6 \pm 1.3°C and 14.6 \pm 0.4°C (Figure 6), respectively. During the spring and fall assessments, 1,440 hooks were set using 120 lines. Sampling effort for the spring and fall assessments was 2,374.4 h and 2404.9 h, respectively. Set line deployment during the spring and fall assessments was 19.8 \pm 1.9 h and 20.0 \pm 1.5 h at water depths of 9.2 \pm 3.6 m and 8.9 \pm 3.9 m, respectively.

Within Canada, total hatchery-origin White Sturgeon captures during the 2016 spring and fall stock assessments were 352 and 280, respectively (Table 9). Individuals with no PIT tag administered by the hatchery or lateral scutes removed were considered wild fish as a product of natural reproduction and of unknown age. Over the stock assessments 4-year sampling period, 48 captured fish were identified as wild representing a 0.03 proportion of total capture across all sampling years (Table 9). See BC Hydro (2016c) for details on captures of fish to date in the US.

Table 9. Total hatchery-origin White Sturgeon capture during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessments in the Lower Columbia River Canada. Individuals less than 150 cm fork length with no PIT tag administered by the hatchery or lateral scutes removed were considered wild fish as a product of natural reproduction and of unknown age.

Year	Season	Hatchery	Wild	Total
2013	Spring	31	6	37
2013	Fall	152	5	157
2014	Spring	99	2	101
2014	Fall	263	12	275
2015	Spring	209	8	217
2015	Fall	281	5	286
2016	Spring	347	5	352
2016	Fall	275	5	280
Total		1,657	48	1705



Figure 10. Percent of the total number of hatchery-origin White Sturgeon captured within the Lower Columbia River Canada that were originally released in either the US or Canada. The proportion of US and Canadian origin fish are presented for each of 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessments.

Within Canada, total capture by brood year class (YrC) for sampling in 2016 is provided in Table 10 and Figure 11. The 2001 and 2002 year classes represented the largest proportion of total capture across all stock assessments (0.24 and 0.23, respectively; Figure 12). See BC Hydro (2016b; 2016c) for 2009 through 2015 juvenile capture data.

Total capture across 2016 stock assessments within each sampling zone included: zone 1, n=437; zone 2, n=96; zone 3, n=55; zone 4, n=9; and zone 5, n=46 (Table 10; Figure 13). The majority of wild fish were captured in zone 1 (n=6) representing 60% of all wild fish captured in 2016. Year 2001 represented the highest proportion of fish captured in each zone. Juveniles were distributed widely throughout zone 1 (Figure 14), and were caught in specific habitat types (e.g., eddies) in zone 2 (Figure 15), zone 3 (Figure 16), zone 4 (Figure 17), and zone 5 (Figure 18).







Figure 12. The proportion of the total catch of hatchery-origin White Sturgeon by year class within the Lower Columbia River during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessment surveys. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scutes and PIT tag.

Table 10. Total juvenile White Sturgeon captured by brood year class within the Lower Columbia River for each sampling zone during the 2016spring and fall stock assessments. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scutes and PIT tag. Individuals with no PIT tag administered by the hatchery or lateral scutes removed were considered wild fish as a product of natural reproduction and of unknown age. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Border.

Year	_		Zone		
Class	1	2	3	4	5
2001	110	22	15	5	11
2002	96	17	9	0	1
2003	27	10	4	1	6
2004	55	14	4	0	3
2005	34	14	7	1	6
2006	63	8	5	0	6
2007	21	2	4	0	3
2008	14	4	1	1	3
2009	4	3	3	0	3
2010	5	1	0	0	0
2011	2	0	1	0	1
2012	0	0	0	0	0
2013	0	0	0	1	2
2014	0	0	0	0	0
2015	0	0	0	0	0
Wild	6	1	2	0	1
Total	437	96	55	9	46



Figure 13. Proportion of total juvenile White Sturgeon captured within the Lower Columbia River for each sampling zone during the 2013, 2014, and 2015 stock assessments. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Border.



Figure 14. Juvenile White Sturgeon distribution in zone 1 of the Lower Columbia River based on locations of fish capture during 2016. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Border.



Figure 15. Juvenile White Sturgeon distribution in zone 2 of the Lower Columbia River based on locations of sampling effort and fish capture during 2016. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Border.



Figure 16. Juvenile White Sturgeon distribution in zone 3 of the Lower Columbia River based on locations of sampling effort and fish capture during 2016. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Border.



Figure 17. Juvenile White Sturgeon distribution in zone 4 of the Lower Columbia River based on locations of sampling effort and fish capture during 2016. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Border.



Figure 18. Juvenile White Sturgeon distribution in zone 5 of the Lower Columbia River based on locations of sampling effort and fish capture during 2016. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Border.

3.3.2.2 Fork Length, Weight, Relative Weight, and Growth

3.3.2.2.1 Fork Length

Fork length (FL; cm; mean \pm SD) of juveniles captured within Canada during the spring and fall 2016 stock assessments was 99.2 \pm 12.5 cm and 96.6 \pm 14.3 cm, respectively (Table 11). Juvenile FL as a function of year class (Table 11; Figure 19) and sampling zone (Table 12) is provided below. For 2016 capture, FL generally decreased as a function of YrC with the exceptions of YrC 2002 (107.1 \pm 12.8 cm) measuring larger than YrC 2001 (101.8 \pm 12.0 cm). Wild juveniles were larger than all hatchery-reared fish. Fork length of fish captured in sampling zone 3 (101.5 \pm 3.1 cm) were larger than fish captured in zone 1 (98.4 \pm 2.0 cm), zone 2 (99.0 \pm 3.0 cm), zone 4 (89.6 \pm 3.3 cm), and zone 5 (90.7 \pm 3.2 cm).

3.3.2.2.2 Weight

Weight (kg) of juveniles captured within Canada during the spring and fall 2016 stock assessments was 6.7 ± 3.0 kg and 6.2 ± 3.0 kg, respectively. Weight of juveniles as a function of year class (Table 13; Figure 19) and sampling zone (Table 15) is provided below. Generally, weight decreased as a function of YrC with the exception of YrC 2001 (7.4 ± 3.3 kg) weighing less than YrC 2002 (8.2 ± 2.1 kg). Juveniles of wild origin were larger than all hatchery-reared fish. Weight of fish captured in sampling zone 3 (7.7 ± 3.6 kg) was larger than fish captured in zone 1 (6.3 ± 2.6 kg), zone 2 (7.1 ± 3.1 kg), zone 4 (5.3 ± 2.3 kg), and zone 5 (5.6 ± 4.8 kg; Table 14). These patterns were similar to comparisons of fork length measurements.

3.3.2.2.3 Relative Weight

Relative weight (W_r) for juveniles captured within Canada during the spring and fall 2016 stock assessments was 82.6 ± 12.0 and 81.0 ± 9.4 (Table 15), respectively. Generally, W_r was similar among all year classes (Figure 19). Unlike the measurements of FL and weight, juveniles of wild origin did not have a larger W_r compared to hatchery-reared fish. Relative weight of juveniles were similar across zones (zone 1, 80.0 ± 11.6; zone 2, 86.5 ± 8.3; zone 3, 85.2 ± 7.2; zone 4, 85.9 ± 8.0; zone 5, 84.9 ± 6.6; Table 16).

Table 11. Mean ± SD fork length (cm) by brood year class of juvenile White Sturgeon captured in the Lower Columbia River during the 2013, 2014,2015, and 2016 stock assessments. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scutes and PIT tag. Individuals with no PIT tag administered by the hatchery or lateral scutes removed were considered wild fish as a product of natural reproduction and of unknown age

Year	20	13	2014		20	15	2016	
Class	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall
2001	102.1 ± 11.7	98.9 ± 13.0	103.8 ± 10.0	104.4 ± 14.3	105.5 ± 10.3	103.1 ± 13.2	102.6 ± 12.5	100.4 ± 11.1
2002	104.4 ± 10.9	105.3 ± 10.1	109.5 ± 7.5	106.0 ± 7.9	104.8 ± 9.0	106.5 ± 6.6	106.9 ± 8.3	107.4 ± 7.9
2003	89.0 ± 15.6	91.6 ± 13.1	104.5 ± 16.9	95.2 ± 7.1	96.2 ± 8.7	101.8 ± 12.3	100.2 ± 9.1	100.8 ± 11.1
2004	85.6 ± 12.1	90.5 ± 11.1	94.8 ± 10.6	98.6 ± 10.8	93.4 ± 8.3	101.5 ± 8.4	98.8 ± 8.0	98.3 ± 11.7
2005	-	80.8 ± 9.6	86.8 ± 5.5	87.1 ± 7.8	88.3 ± 7.1	88.6 ± 8.1	92.1 ± 7.7	89.3 ± 8.0
2006	-	83.1 ± 8.0	82.3 ± 3.2	90.1 ± 7.9	86.8 ± 4.5	92.6 ± 6.7	90.0 ± 7.0	92.5 ± 6.8
2007	-	70.8 ± 4.0	80.3 ± 0.4	80.6 ± 9.3	82.3 ± 6.1	88.8 ± 8.4	87.9 ± 8.6	86.3 ± 9.3
2008	-	-	-	80.5 ± 7.1	77.2 ± 5.5	86.8 ± 8.0	86.0 ± 3.2	87.8 ± 10.1
2009	-	-	-	68.4 ± 7.1	-	77.3 ± 5.5	74.5 ± 9.0	79.4 ± 9.6
2010	-	-	-	-	-	77.7 ± 2.1	-	71.4 ± 4.3
2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67.5 ± 2.4
2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wild	131.0 ± 12.7	140.9 ± 5.7	145.0 ± 2.8	130.4 ± 13.4	142.7 ± 11.8	119.9 ± 34.9	134.8 ± 9.4	141.2 ± 4.2
Total	102.3 ± 14.7	93.4 ± 16.5	103.8 ± 13.0	97.1 ± 15.5	99.6 ± 14.5	98.2 ± 13.6	99.2 ± 12.5	96.6 ± 14.3

Table 12. Mean (±SD) fork length (FL; cm) of juvenile White Sturgeon captured in the 5 sampling zones of the Lower Columbia River during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessments. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Border.

Zone -	2013		2014		20	15	2016		
	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	
1	107.1 ± 13.1	99.1 ± 14.5	106.7 ± 12.4	100.1 ± 13.1	99.9 ± 13.7	100.5 ± 12.1	96.8 ± 13.1	99.4 ± 11.8	
2	87.8 ± 10.6	89.3 ± 11.5	91.8 ± 7.9	97.3 ± 18.6	97.6 ± 16.7	96.1 ± 10.5	101.0 ± 15.1	97.2 ± 12.8	
3	94.5 ± 22.6	81.2 ± 13.1	-	90.5 ± 13.9	108.9 ± 28.7	99.2 ± 15.0	100.0 ± 16.4	104.9 ± 10.0	
4	95.5 ± 0.7	80.9 ± 15.3	-	88.0 ± 31.8	-	94.6 ± 21.6	88.2 ± 17.4	94.5 ± .7	
5	94.3 ± 18.3	85.9 ± 19.6	90.2 ± 10.4	80.1 ± 10.7	83.3 ± 1.3	87.3 ± 14.6	86.3 ± 9.9	97.5 ± 21.7	
Total	102.3 ± 14.7	93.4 ± 16.5	103.8 ± 13.0	97.1 ± 15.5	99.6 ± 14.5	98.2 ± 13.6	99.2 ± 12.5	96.6 ± 14.3	

Table 13. Mean (±SD) weight (kg) of juvenile White Sturgeon captured in the Lower Columbia River during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessments. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scutes and PIT tag. Individuals with no PIT tag administered by the hatchery or lateral scutes removed were considered wild fish as a product of natural reproduction and of unknown age.

Year	20 ⁻	13	20	14	20	15	20	16
Class	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall
2001	7.2 ± 2.0	6.9 ± 2.4	7.7 ± 2.4	8.2 ± 3.9	8.1 ± 2.3	7.6 ± 3.0	7.6 ± 3.6	7.1 ± 2.5
2002	7.7 ± 2.0	7.5 ± 2.1	8.6 ± 1.8	8.0 ± 1.8	7.7 ± 2.2	7.7 ± 1.5	8.1 ± 2.2	8.3 ± 2.1
2003	4.3 ± 2.2	5.3 ± 2.3	7.9 ± 3.6	5.5 ± 1.2	5.9 ± 1.8	7.0 ± 2.6	6.8 ± 2.0	7.0 ± 2.8
2004	4.2 ± 2.1	4.6 ± 1.9	5.5 ± 1.7	5.9 ± 2.0	5.4 ± 1.6	6.5 ± 1.9	6.1 ± 1.8	6.0 ± 2.7
2005	-	3.4 ± 1.3	4.5 ± 1.0	4.1 ± 1.1	4.5 ± 1.3	4.5 ± 1.2	5.3 ± 1.6	4.7 ± 1.5
2006	-	3.7 ± 1.1	3.8	4.7 ± 1.3	4.4 ± 0.8	5.1 ± 1.2	4.8 ± 1.2	5.1 ± 1.1
2007	-	2.0 ± 0.2	3.2 ± 0.6	3.2 ± 1.1	3.6 ± 0.7	4.6 ± 1.6	4.3 ± 1.2	4.1 ± 1.6
2008	-	-	-	3.2 ± 0.9	3.0 ± 1.0	3.9 ± 1.0	3.9 ± .5	4.1 ± 1.4
2009	-	-	-	1.9 ± 0.6	-	2.8 ± 0.7	2.7 ± .8	3.3 ± 1.4
2010	-	-	-	-	-	2.8 ± 0.2	-	2.1 ± .3
2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8 ± .3
2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wild	19.2 ± 11.1	20.6 ± 6.3	20.5 ± 2.1	15.1 ± 4.8	21.5 ± 5.3	13.4 ± 7.5	16.3 ± 4.0	17.8 ± 1.9
Total	7.7 ± 4.2	5.8 ± 3.6	7.7 ± 3.1	6.3 ± 3.5	7.0 ± 3.9	6.4 ± 2.9	6.7 ± 3.0	6.2 ± 3.0

Zone -	2013		2014		201	15	2016	
	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall
1	8.8 ± 4.5	6.5 ± 2.8	8.3 ± 3.1	6.8 ± 3.2	7.0 ± 3.5	6.6 ± 2.5	6.6 ± 2.6	5.9 ± 2.6
2	4.6 ± 1.6	5.0 ± 2.1	5.5 ± 1.8	6.7 ± 4.6	6.7 ± 4.7	5.8 ± 2.0	6.7 ± 2.7	7.5 ± 3.5
3	6.5 ± 4.0	4.0 ± 2.3	-	5.2 ± 2.6	10.6 ± 9.5	6.9 ± 3.5	8.4 ± 2.6	7.3 ± 3.9
4	5.9 ± 0.3	3.7 ± 2.3	-	5.7 ± 6.9	-	6.7 ± 5.6	5.8 ± .1	5.1 ± 2.6
5	5.3 ± 3.2	5.2 ± 6.2	4.9 ± 1.7	3.4 ± 1.6	3.5 ± 0.2	4.9 ± 3.6	7.4 ± 7.1	4.4 ± 1.5
Total	7.7 ± 4.2	5.8 ± 3.6	7.7 ± 3.1	6.3 ± 3.5	7.0 ± 3.9	6.4 ± 2.9	6.7 ± 3.0	6.2 ± 3.0

Table 14. Mean (±SD) weight (kg) of juvenile White Sturgeon captured in the sampling zones of the Lower Columbia River during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessments. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Border.

		40				4 5	0010	
Year	20	13	20	14	20	15	20	16
Class	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall
2001	83.4 ± 8.2	84.7 ± 9.3	83.7 ± 6.7	83.9 ± 8.4	83.0 ± 5.6	83.0 ± 7.2	84.1 ± 13.7	84.5 ± 8.4
2002	82.0 ± 8.0	77.9 ± 6.1	80.1 ± 7.3	81.6 ± 5.9	81.3 ± 7.3	78.6 ± 7.9	81.0 ± 11.5	80.9 ± 14.4
2003	75.4 ± 1.6	84.0 ± 5.3	83.1 ± 9.0	80.2 ± 6.6	81.6 ± 7.2	79.5 ± 5.9	82.5 ± 7.1	82.4 ± 7.8
2004	81.4 ± 8.6	76.1 ± 7.2	81.0 ± 6.2	75.5 ± 5.4	83.6 ± 8.9	75.8 ± 6.1	78.6 ± 14.0	75.3 ± 7.1
2005	-	82.7 ± 8.3	87.1 ± 2.5	79.3 ± 6.8	83.1 ± 10.9	81.1 ± 7.3	85.5 ± 15.6	82.5 ± 5.3
2006	-	83.6 ± 8.0	89.1 ± 11.0	81.1 ± 7.9	86.0 ± 10.0	81.3 ± 5.3	84.3 ± 6.9	81.8 ± 7.0
2007	-	76.1 ± 8.2	81.5 ± 17.4	77.4 ± 8.0	84.7 ± 5.3	82.4 ± 11.4	80.0 ± 3.7	80.1 ± 7.0
2008	-	-	-	77.9 ± 4.3	83.8 ± 10.9	76.4 ± 5.1	79.7 ± 5.4	76.3 ± 9.3
2009	-	-	-	80.6 ± 7.6	-	78.0 ± 4.8	86.8 ± 14.7	84.2 ± 5.4
2010	-	-	-	-	-	78.5 ± 4.9	-	79.3 ± 6.4
2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	81.7 ± 7.6
2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wild	94.7 ± 27.8	84.0 ± 16.7	77.3 ± 3.1	78.0 ± 10.0	83.9 ± 7.0	77.3 ± 8.9	77.1 ± 8.6	73.3 ± 8.9
Total	83.1 ± 9.6	81.4 ± 8.7	82.2 ± 7.2	80.3 ± 7.4	83.0 ± 7.8	80.3 ± 7.4	82.6 ± 12.0	81.0 ± 9.4

Table 15. Mean (\pm SD) relative weight (W_r) of juvenile White Sturgeon by brood year class captured in the Lower Columbia River during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessments. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scutes and PIT tag. Individuals with no PIT tag administered by the hatchery or lateral scutes removed were considered wild fish as a product of natural reproduction and of unknown age.

Table 16. Mean relative weight (W_r) of juvenile White Sturgeon by sampling zone in the Lower Columbia River captured during the 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 stock assessment. Sampling zones represent 11.2 km increments starting from Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam and moving downstream to the US Border.

Zone -	2013		2014		2015		2016	
	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall
1	83.5 ± 9.8	79.1 ± 6.2	81.1 ± 6.8	79.7 ± 7.0	83.3 ± 7.7	78.5 ± 6.5	81.0 ± 12.7	78.4 ± 9.5
2	85.7 ± 11.4	84.9 ± 9.1	87.2 ± 7.8	81.2 ± 8.8	81.3 ± 9.4	80.5 ± 8.9	87.9 ± 8.2	84.9 ± 8.2
3	91.7 ± 10.1	89.6 ± 7.7	-	83.7 ± 7.3	82.1 ± 9.3	83.1 ± 6.8	87.2 ± 6.4	84.4 ± 7.5
4	86.0 ± 2.1	81.7 ± 9.6	-	81.2 ± 8.9	-	83.6 ± 11.6	88.1 ± .4	85.3 ± 9.2
5	73.5 ± 2.4	83.8 ± 12.1	84.3 ± 5.8	81.3 ± 7.3	79.4 ± 2.0	85.4 ± 6.7	85.2 ± 6.2	84.8 ± 7.0
Total	83.1 ± 9.6	81.4 ± 8.7	82.2 ± 7.2	80.3 ± 7.4	83.0 ± 7.8	80.3 ± 7.4	82.6 ± 12.0	81.0 ± 9.4



Figure 19. Fork length (cm), weight (kg) and relative weight (W_r) of juvenile White Sturgeon captured during stock assessments conducted in 2016. Biological data are presented as a function of year class in the Canadian portion of the Lower Columbia River. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scutes and PIT tag. Individuals with no PIT tag administered by the hatchery or lateral scutes removed were considered wild fish as a product of natural reproduction and of unknown age.

3.3.2.2.4 Growth

The relationship that best described juvenile White Sturgeon length-at-age was the von Bertalanffy growth equation (Figure 20):

$$L_t = 164.73 \left(1 - e^{-0.066(t - 3.11)} \right)$$

The length-weight relationship was described by the model (Figure 21):

$$W = 3.401 e^{-6} \times TL^{(3.1742)}$$

As with the length-at-age relationship, this weight-length relationship predicted faster growth in fork length at younger ages (Figure 22) and faster growth in weight at later ages (Figure 23). The model results are similar to relationships present for the LCR in previous years (BC Hydro 2013a, BC Hydro 2015c, BC Hydro 2016c) and other White Sturgeon populations (Beamesderfer 1993).



Figure 20. Length-at-age relationship and von Bertalanffy growth equation for known age hatchery-origin White Sturgeon captured in the Lower Columbia River from 2009 to 2016.



Figure 21. Observed and predicted weight-length relationship and equation for juvenile White Sturgeon captured in the Lower Columbia River from 2009 through 2016.



Figure 22. Fork length growth (cm/year) since release by brood year class for juvenile White Sturgeon captured in the Lower Columbia River in 2016. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scute and PIT tag.



Figure 23. Growth (kg/year) in weight since release by brood year class for juvenile White Sturgeon captured in the Lower Columbia River in 2016. Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scute and PIT tag.

3.3.3 Juvenile Survival and Abundance Estimates

Results from analyses conducted in this program have indicated that survival has been higher than expected and is positively correlated to size at release. Those results are presented in BC Hydro 2016c and represent captures through 2015. The models are being updated with recent capture results from the entire TRA and results will be reported in the coming years.

3.3.4 Sex and Stage of Maturity

3.3.4.1 Fish Capture and Sampling

In 2016, juvenile sex assignment and biopsy sampling was completed in concurrence with the spring and fall stock assessments. Since fall 2015, assignment of sex has been conducted on 125 hatchery-origin white sturgeon (Table 18). Sex was assigned to all biopsied individuals resulting in 56 females. 68 males and one unknown based on visual examination (Table 19; Figure 24 and 25). The sex ratio of 1.2 males to 1 female is slightly higher than reported for the wild population (1:1; BC Hydro 2015) in the lower Columbia River, Canada.

Year	Year Class	Age	Gonad Biopsy Sample	Plasma
	2001	14	20	0
	2002	13	10	0
201E	2003	12	0	0
2015	2004	11	0	0
	2005	10	0	0
	2006	9	4	0
	2001	15	16	16
	2002	14	14	14
	2003	13	14	14
	2004	12	24	24
2016	2005	11	10	10
	2006	10	7	7
	2007	9	2	2
	2008	8	2	2
	2009	7	2	2

Table 17. Samples collected for hatchery-origin White Sturgeon for assignment of sex and stage of maturity including gonad biopsies and plasma for plasma sex steroid work.

Table 18. Assignment of sex for hatchery-origin White Sturgeon by year class. Fish were captured in the Lower Columbia River in 2015 (fall) and 2016 (spring and fall). Year class of hatchery origin fish was determined by external mark of removed lateral scutes and PIT tag.

Year		Assigned Sex		
Class	Total	Female	Male	
2001	36	17	19	
2002	24	7	17	
2003	14	7	7	
2004	24	9	15	
2005	10	6	4	
2006	10	7	3	
2007	2	1	1	
2008	2	1	1	
2009	2	1	1	
Total	124	56	68	



Figure 24. Endoscopic images of adipose tissue (A), ovigerous folds (OF) and small translucent oocytes (O) in pre-vitellogenic female juvenile White Sturgeons.



Figure 25. Endoscopic images of testicular tissue (T) and adipose tissue (A) in male juvenile White Sturgeon. Stage of maturity cannot be determined through visual examination.

Fork length and weight for all individuals sexed was 104.3 ± 8.8 cm and 7.7 ± 2.1 kg, respectively. Individuals assigned sex were very similar in mean size for females (FL: 104.6 ± 7.8 cm; W: 7.7 ± 1.9 kg) and males (FL: 104.1 ± 9.6 cm; W: 7.7 ± 2.2 kg); however, this difference was not significant (FL: P=0.774; W: P=0.981)

3.3.4.2 Histological Sample Analysis

All biopsy samples and blood plasma will be transported to a laboratory for histological and plamsa sex steroid analyses, respectively, in 2017. Stage of maturity will be determined at this time and accuracy in assignment of sex in the field will be confirmed as histology will be viewed as the true measure of sex.

3.4 Habitat Mapping

Recorded images have been edited and processed identifying ten acoustic substrate classes. Detailed results of analyses are provided in Appendix 1 of BC Hydro 2015c. Image processing identified ten acoustic substrate classes. Ground truthing will be required to identify specific riverbed sediment types (e.g., cobble, gravel, sand) represented by each acoustic class.

4 DISCUSSION

While this report is primarily a data report, general discussion points are provided for each of the main areas of this monitoring program. Results are discussed in the context of the monitoring program objectives, however they should be interpreted with caution as they represent ongoing analyses. While this monitoring program has contributed significant knowledge pertaining to larval and juvenile White Sturgeon ecology and the overall success of the Conservation Aquaculture Program, additional years of data are required to assess trends and answer the management questions of this program.

4.1 Yolk Sac Larval Assessment

For White Sturgeon throughout their range, it has generally been observed that the spawning period is protracted and occurs in the late spring and early summer months (May through early August) with specific timing dependent on environmental cues (e.g., temperature, flows; Parsley and Beckman 1994). Based on developmental stages of collected volk-sac larvae, spawning was estimated to have occurred in late June downstream of Waneta and mid-July downstream of Kinnaird in 2016. At Waneta, the number of days between when spawning started and ended was small in 2016 (21) and was limited to the month of June. This was slightly longer than 2015 (7 days) and both years are quite different from previous years where spawning has been detected through June and July. The abbreviated spawning at this location could be attributed to warm water in both years where temperatures exceeded 18°C by mid-July. All of the estimated spawning days occurred after freshet flows had peaked which is consistent with the timing of spawning since 1993 in the LCR, where the majority of events have been on the descending limb of the hydrograph and at water temperatures above 14°C.

In 2016, larvae were again collected within the vicinity of Kinnaird, which has now had spawning documented annually since 2007 and is an area that requires additional monitoring to further describe where spawning may be occurring (Fisheries and Oceans 2014). Despite annual monitoring since 2007, the exact location of the spawning area (egg deposition and larval hiding habitat) remains unknown and is the focus of this component of the program. Since 2013 (BC Hydro 2016a; BC Hydro 2016b, BC Hydro 2016c), extensive sampling with drift nets has been conducted in an attempt to narrow down the location where larvae are dispersing from (Figure 7). Despite a significant amount of sampling effort, larvae have been collected throughout the 8 km stretch of river with no clear indication of where spawning is occuring. While egg mats can be used once the main areas of egg deposition have been identified. drift nets will remain the primarily method of collection when attempting to assign a general location where spawning may be occurring. Once geographical boundaries of the spawning location can be described, a monitoring program that includes the use of egg mats should be developed consistent with work being done at other spawning locations (e.g., Waneta, Golder 2013; or Revelstoke, AMEC 2014).

Reduced quality of early life stage habitat used for egg incubation and early rearing of larvae is one of several recruitment failure hypotheses for this

population (UCSWRI 2012). Larvae that are young in developmental stage (Primarily 1-3 days post hatch) have dominated the collections to date across all spawning locations in Canada, suggesting the substrates at the spawning locations are not adequate for hiding until they reach feeding age. However, increased larval monitoring efforts with drift nets at the Waneta spawning site has resulted in the capture of a percentage of later stage larvae close to feeding age. For example, in 2016, 22% of the larvae collected were staged at or greater than stage 40. This additional monitoring was in response to the SIF that was developed and the need for more sampling to increase captures. Sampling at Waneta has been primarily been egg mats since 1993 with short set drift nets. In addition, refinements to drift net methods have resulted in the capture of tens of thousands of feeding age larvae downstream of North Port WA (Jason McLellan, Colville Confederated Tribes, unpublished data). This suggests that while eggs and larvae in early developmental stages are dispersed downstream of the immediate spawning area at Waneta, there is suitable hiding habitat between the Canada/US border and the North Port spawning site. Describing spawning and early life stage habitat at known (e.g., Waneta, ALH) and suspected (e.g., Kinnaird) spawning locations is important to determine habitat suitability for yolksac larvae hiding behaviour and young-of-year rearing conditions and the potential effects of habitat on recruitment. Further, it will be important to incorporate results from larval monitoring programs in the US section of the TRA, as captures of larvae at feeding stages occur annually (Hildebrand and Parsley 2013). These results suggest that hiding habitat is present between the Waneta spawning location and the capture location downstream of Northport WA. Genetic analyses in addition to those already completed (Jay et al. 2014) could determine the proportion of larvae that originated from the Waneta location and should be considered if data are available in future years.

4.2 Juvenile Population Assessment

For approximately the last 40 years, recruitment of White Sturgeon in the Transboundary Recovery Area (TRA) of the Columbia River (Hugh L. Keenleyside Dam (HLK) to Grand Coulee Dam (GCD) in WA, USA) has not occurred at a rate sufficient to maintain the population. In response to this, the Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Initiative (UCWSRI) was formed in 2000, and developed a Recovery Plan, a key component of which is the supplementation of the existing White Sturgeon population through broodstock collections, hatchery rearing, and stocking of juvenile White Sturgeon (UCWSRI 2002).

In total, 149,685 hatchery-reared juvenile White Sturgeon have been released into the TRA from 2002 to spring 2017 (yearly releases ranging from 76 in 2016 to 21,603 in 2005). These juveniles are being monitored annually by various agencies (i.e., Golder, BC Hydro, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW)). Results from analyses conducted indicate that hatchery-reared juveniles are growing and surviving beyond original expectations of the recovery plan. While releases of juveniles from the Conservation Aquaculture Program to date have succeeded in restoring a natural age/size structure to the population, two unanticipated outcomes are contributing to genetic concerns in the TRA. First, survival of hatchery-origin fish from both Conservation Aquaculture Programs has been higher than originally predicted. Currently more than 30,000 individuals are estimated to be at large in the population (BC Hydro 2016c) within the TRA. Considering individual hatchery brood years shows that certain years classes (e.g. 2006) within the hatchery population are estimated to be more abundant than the existing wild population [3,188 (1,093-3,293; 95%CI)] due to higher survival of fish released at larger body sizes (BC Hydro 2016c).

Second, disproportionate survival among maternal family groups has resulted in substantially decreased diversity within individual year-classes as compared to the equalized family sizes at the time of release. Preliminary analyses of BC and WA stock assessment data collected to date (2013-2015) indicate there is substantial variability in abundance among 2001-2007 maternal families (McLellan and Crossman, unpublished data). The inequality in family and year class representation is likely a result of two effects. The first is the very high survival rates of the earliest release groups (2001 and 2002 release years) that survived at a high rate likely due to lack of competition from other juvenile sturgeon (the niche was vacant). The second is the effect of size (weight) at release on subsequent survival which has been shown to be >90% for fish released above 300 g. The most abundant families currently at large are typically those that were reared at the WA hatchery facility and released at a substantially larger size than families reared in BC, primarily a result of rearing temperatures being higher in WA. It is important to note that the conservation aquaculture program has proceeded since 2001 based on a clear consensus at the TWG and the approaches used (e.g. release numbers and size at release) represented the best approach at that time given available information. The recovery program remains adaptable to new information collected under this and other monitoring programs. One such piece of information relates to the sex and stage of maturity of these hatchery fish. If genetic diversity is lower than expected, it is critical to understand when these fish will start to reproduce with the existing wild spawners as genetic swamping is a critical risk given the number of hatchery fish at large. Data on sex and stage of maturity being collected under this program will directly inform discussions on next steps in the development of conservation measures to address this genetic risk.

Despite some of the potential risks highlighted above, hatchery origin juveniles in the LCR represent a significant learning opportunity as juvenile age classes are lacking in many sturgeon populations throughout the world. Significant learnings about habitat use, growth, diet (details in Crossman et al. 2016), and survival (BC Hydro 2016c) have been made that not only inform recovery activities for White Sturgeon, but other species in North America. While this program serves as a means of detecting wild juveniles, they remain rarely encountered and represent < 1% of the total catch in the stock assessment program to date. One of the management questions of this work is to evaluate how normal river operations affect juvenile habitat conditions in the LCR. In the first 8 years of this program, we have used a spatially balanced and randomly assigned sampling design and documented habitat use throughout the entire LCR. Results suggest that habitat is characterized primarily by deep slow moving water and smaller substrates (e.g., sand, gravel, cobbles). These habitats are available throughout the upper section of the river and become more isolated further downstream (e.g., Kootenay River confluence to the US Border). These deeper slow moving habitats are not limited by the current operational regime of the LCR.
Importantly, juvenile habitat distribution is similar to, and overlaps with, adult habitat use (described in BC Hydro, 2013b, 2015a, and 2015b).

4.3 Assignment of Sex and Stage of Maturity.

This is a relatively new component of the monitoring program and is in response to the increasing abundance of hatchery origin juveniles in the TRA and genetic concerns related to when these hatchery fish start to reproduce with the wild spawners. As discussed in section 4.2, there are certain year classes in large abundance in the TRA due to disproportional survival. Certain year classes are from relatively few adults and there are concerns around these fish spawning with wild adults as interrelatedness is high, which could result in genetic swamping of the existing wild stock. However, the point when hatchery origin White Sturgeon might start reproducing with the wild adults is unknown. We were successful in assigning sex to 99% of individuals examined (n=125). Based on visual examination, both females and males were at early developmental stages and no fish are expected to spawn in the coming year. However, this will be confirmed with histology of collected gonadal tissue and measurement of plasma sex steroids. Importantly, the ability to noninvasively determine sex of hatchery origin fish will be an important monitoring component going forward to track when they enter the breeding population.

4.4 Habitat Mapping

The lower Columbia River (LCR) was surveyed with a sidescan sonar, primarily to map riverbed character to assist in delineating habitat. Raw acoustic data were used to generate maps of riverbed character by segmenting the survey area into regions of homogeneous acoustic character that are acoustically distinct from other regions (e.g. sand, rock, and silt). Important next steps will be to ground truth the maps produced to produce a final habitat map for the LCR that can be used to identify important areas for White Sturgeon early life stages.

5 **RECCOMENDATIONS**

The following recommendations are based on sampling results from the first five years of project implementation. Specific recommendations are provided for larval, juvenile, and habitat sampling.

5.1 Larval Sampling

- Larval sampling should continue to occur annually at the HLK/ALH and Kinnaird spawning areas to determine spawning timing and frequency at this area and if habitat allows for larvae to develop to later developmental stages prior to dispersing downstream.
 - Sampling should start in early July and continue through the middle of August, as the timing of spawning in the upper parts of the LCR is still uncertain.
- Drift nets have been shown to maximize catch per unit effort of eggs and larvae from spawning locations upstream of the sampling equipment and should be used as the primary collection method in areas where the exact geographical boundary of the spawning location remains unknown.
 - Additional drift net stations should be deployed downstream of Kinnaird to determine where larvae may be originating from.
 - If hydrology permits, drift net sampling should be attempted in the lower Kootenay River to determine if larval captures near Kinnaird could be originating from this location.
- Tissue samples should be collected from as many larval captures as possible to determine how many adults are contributing using molecular methods. If possible, genetic analyses should address if larval captures near Kinnaird are genetically similar to upstream spawning locations (e.g., HLK/ALH spawning area).

5.2 Juvenile Sampling

- Continue to approach juvenile sampling programs in a spatially balanced random design, to acknowledge variability in growth between habitat types (e.g., upstream versus downstream) and age classes.
- Survival estimates should be revised as additional data is collected going forward. Results from survival estimates should be used to continually update abundance estimates for White Sturgeon of hatchery origin in the LCR. This information can be used to revise the Conservation Aquaculture Program and help guide long-term population targets.
- Sampling effort should continue to be focused using setlines as they minimize

harm to the individual and can be fished for longer time periods throughout all areas that juveniles have been identified to use in the LCR.

- Continue to describe the diet of juvenile White Sturgeon in the LCR using gastric lavage.
- Determine sex and stage of maturity for the hatchery population, and describe variability attributable to year class and habitats if possible.
- Use known age hatchery-reared juveniles to develop ageing methodology to improve confidence in the ages of wild origin juveniles.
- Continue to monitor habitat use and distribution of juveniles under varying operational scenarios over the life of the monitoring program.

5.3 Habitat Mapping

- Continue to develop a habitat map for the entire LCR. Validate side scan sonar data collected in years 2 and 3 of this study using videography or physical substrate collection (e.g., ponar grabs).
- Describe the spawning and early life stage habitat at key spawning locations (e.g., HLK/ALH and Kinnaird locations). Focus should be given to determining the suitability of the immediate larval hiding habitat and downstream rearing habitat.

6 **REFERENCES**

- AMEC. 2014. Middle Columbia River White Sturgeon Spawning Monitoring (CLBMON-23a). Year 7 Data Report. Report Prepared for: BC Hydro, Castlegar. Prepared by: AMEC Environment & Infrastructure Ltd. 21 pp + 3 App.
- Anders, P., D.L. Richards, and M.S. Powell. 2002. The first endangered White Sturgeon population; repercussions in an altered large river-floodplain ecosystem *In* Biology, management, and protection of North American sturgeon (Eds.) W. Van Winkle, P. Anders, D. H. Secor, and D. A. Dixon. American Fisheries Society, Symposium 28, Bethesda, Maryland, pp. 127 – 150.
- Auer, N. A., and E. A. Baker. 2002. Duration and drift of larval Lake Sturgeon in the Sturgeon River, Michigan. Journal of Applied Ichthyology. 18: 557 564.
- BC Hydro. 2009. Columbia Water Use Plan. Lower Columbia River White Sturgeon Broodstock Acquisition Program: 2008 Data Report. Prepared by BC Hydro Water License Requirements, Castlegar, BC. 19 pp. + 3 app.
- BC Hydro. 2013a. Lower Columbia River Adult White Sturgeon Monitoring Program: 2009 & 2010 Investigations Data Report. Report prepared by BC Hydro Water License Requirements, Castlegar, B.C. 59 pp.
- BC Hydro. 2013b. Lower Columbia River Adult White Sturgeon Monitoring Program: 2011 Investigations Data Report. Reported by BC Hydro Water License Requirements, Castlegar, B.C. 50 pp.
- BC Hydro. 2015a. Lower Columbia River Adult White Sturgeon Monitoring Program (CLBMON-28). Years 5 and 6 Data Report. Reported by BC Hydro, Castlegar, BC. 83 pp.
- BC Hydro. 2015b. Lower Columbia River Adult White Sturgeon Monitoring
 Program (CLBMON-28). Year 7 Data Report. Report by BC Hydro, Castlegar,
 BC. 53 pp.
- BC Hydro. 2015c. Lower Columbia River Juvenile Detection Program (CLBMON-29). Years 4 and 5 Data Report. Report by BC Hydro, Castlegar, BC 82 pp. +1 app.
- BC hydro. 2016a. Lower Columbia River Adult White Sturgeon Monitoring Program (CLBMON-28). Year 8 Data Report. Report by BC Hydro, Castlegar, BC. 56 pp.
- BC Hydro. 2016b. Lower Columbia River Juvenile Detection Program (CLBMON-29). Years 6 and 7 Data Report. Report by BC Hydro, Castlegar, BC. 98 pp.
- BC Hydro. 2016c. Lower Columbia River Juvenile Detection Program (CLBMON-29). Year 8 Data Report. Report by BC Hydro, Castlegar, BC. 88pp.

- BC Hydro. 2017. Lower Columbia River Adult White Sturgeon Monitoring
 Program (CLBMON-28). Year 9 Data Report. Report by BC Hydro, Castlegar,
 BC. 63 pp.
- Beamesderfer, R. C. 1993. A standard weight (*Ws*) equation for White Sturgeon. California Fish and Game 79:63–69.
- Burnham, K.P. and Anderson, D.R., 2002. Information and likelihood theory: a basis for model selection and inference. Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach, pp.49-97.
- Columbia River Water Use Plan Consultative Committee. 2005. Consultative Committee Report; Columbia River Water Use Plan. pp 8-30.
- Crossman, J. A., K. T. Scribner, Y. T. Duong, C. A. Davis, P. S. Forsythe, and E. A. Baker. 2011. Gamete and larval collection methods and hatchery rearing environments affect levels of genetic diversity in early life stages of Lake Sturgeon (*Acipenser fulvescens*). Aquaculture, 310:312-324.
- Crossman, J.A., Jay, K.J. and Hildebrand, L.R. 2016. Describing the Diet of Juvenile White Sturgeon in the Upper Columbia River Canada with Lethal and Nonlethal Methods. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 36(2), pp.421-432.
- Dettlaff, T. A., A. S. Ginsburg, and O. I. Schmalhausen. 1993. Sturgeon Fishes Developmental Biology and Aquaculture. Springer-Verlag. Berlin.
- Fisheries and Oceans Canada. 2014. Recovery strategy for White Sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus*) in Canada. *In Species at Risk Act* Recovery Strategy Series. Ottawa: Fisheries and Oceans Canada. 252 pp.
- Freshwater Fisheries Society of British Columbia. 2014. Columbia White Sturgeon conservation fish culture program. Freshwater Fisheries Society of British Columbia, Report prepared for BC Hydro, Water License Requirements, Castlegar, BC. 20 p. + 4 app. Available at <u>www.bchydro.com</u>
- Freshwater Fisheries Society of British Columbia. 2015. Columbia White Sturgeon conservation fish culture program. Freshwater Fisheries Society of British Columbia, Report prepared for BC Hydro, Water License Requirements, Castlegar, BC. 20 p. + 3 app. Available at www.bchydro.com
- Freshwater Fisheries Society of British Columbia. 2016. Columbia White Sturgeon conservation fish culture program. Freshwater Fisheries Society of British Columbia, Report prepared for BC Hydro, Water License Requirements, Castlegar, BC. 13 p. + 3 app. Available at <u>www.bchydro.com</u>
- Freshwater Fisheries Society of British Columbia. 2017. Columbia White Sturgeon conservation fish culture program. Freshwater Fisheries Society of British Columbia. Report prepared for BC Hydro, Water License Requirements, Castlegar, BC. 18 p. + 2 app. Available at www.bchydro.com

- Golder Associates Ltd. 2006a. Upper Columbia River juvenile White Sturgeon monitoring: Phase 3 investigations, August 2004 – February 2005. Report prepared for BC Hydro, Castlegar, B.C. Golder Report No. 04-1480-051F: 67 p. + 7 app.
- Golder Associates Ltd. 2006b. Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Stock Monitoring and Data Management Program: Synthesis Report, 1 November 2003 - 31 March 2006. Report prepared for British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Nelson, B.C. Golder Report No. 05-1480-025F: 55 p. + 2 app. + plates.
- Golder Associates Ltd. 2009a. Lower Columbia River adult White Sturgeon monitoring: 2008 investigations data report. Report prepared for BC Hydro, Castlegar, B.C. Golder Report No. 08-1480-0032F: 32 p. + 2 app.
- Golder Associates Ltd. 2009b. Lower Columbia River juvenile White Sturgeon detection: 2008 investigations data report. Report prepared for BC Hydro, Castlegar, B.C. Golder Report No. 08-1480-0040F: 24 p. + 2 app.
- Golder Associates Ltd. 2009c. Upper Columbia River juvenile white sturgeon monitoring: Phase 5 investigations, Novemeber 2006. Report prepared for BC hydro, REvelstoke, B.C. Golder Report No 06-1480-049F: 66 p. + 6 app.
- Golder Associates Ltd. 2010. White Sturgeon spawning at Waneta, 2009 investigations. Data Report prepared for Columbia Power Corporation, Castlegar, B.C. Golder Report No. 09-1480-0034F: 20 p. + 1 app.
- Golder Associates Ltd. 2012. Waneta White Sturgeon spawning: 2011 investigations. Data Report prepared for Columbia Power Corporation, Castlegar, B.C. Golder Report No. 10-1492-0149D: 22 p.
- Golder Associates Ltd. 2013. Waneta White Sturgeon spawning: 2012 investigations. Data Report prepared for Columbia Power Corporation, Castlegar, B.C. Golder Report No. 1021492-0031D: 26 p. +1 app.
- Golder Associates Ltd., and LGL Ltd. 2014. Waneta White Sturgeon egg predation and spawn monitoring at Waneta: 2013 investigation. Data Report prepared for Columbia Power Corporation, Castlegar, B.C. and LGL Environmental Ltd. Golder Report No. 13-1492-0009: 64 p +1 app.
- Gregory, R., and G. Long. 2008. Summary and Key Findings of Upper Columbia River White Sturgeon Recruitment Failure Hypothesis Review. Prepared for the Upper Columbia River White Sturgeon Technical Working Group.
- Gross, M. R., J. Repka, C. T. Robertson, D. Secor, and W. Van Winkle. 2002.
 Sturgeon conservation: insights from elasticity analysis. Pages 13-29 in W. Van Winkle, P. Anders, D. Secor, and D. Dixon, editors. Biology, Management, and Protection of North American Sturgeon. American Fisheries Society.

- Hildebrand, L., C. McLeod, and S. McKenzie. 1999. Status and management of White Sturgeon in the Columbia River in British Columbia, Canada: an overview. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 15: 164-172.
- Hildebrand, L. R., and M. Parsley. 2013. Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Plan – 2012 Revision to the Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Initiative.
- Howell, M. D., and J. G. McLellan. 2006. Lake Roosevelt White Sturgeon recovery project. Annual Progress Report, January 2004 - March 2005.
 Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Environment, Fish and Wildlife. Project Number: 1995-027-00. 103 p. + 4 app.
- Howell, M. D. and J. G. McLellan. 2007. Lake Roosevelt White Sturgeon recovery project. Annual Progress Report, April 2005 - March 2006. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Environment, Fish and Wildlife. Project Number: 1995-027-00. 96 p. + 7 app.
- Ireland, S., R. C. P. Beamesderfer, V. L. Paragamian, V. D. Wakkinen, and J. T. Siple. 2002. Success of hatchery reared juvenile White Sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus*) following release in the Kootenai River, Idaho, USA. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 18: 642–650.
- Irvine, R. L., D. C. Schmidt, and L. R. Hildebrand. 2007. Population Status of White Sturgeon in the Lower Columbia River within Canada. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 136(6): 1472-1479.
- Jager, H. I., W. Van Winkle, J. A. Chandler, K. B. Lepla, P. Bates, and T. D. Counihan. 2002. A simulation study of factors controlling White Sturgeon recruitment in the Snake River *In* Biology, management, and protection of North American sturgeon (Eds.) W. Van Winkle, P. Anders, D. H. Secor, and D. A. Dixon.
- Jay, K., J. A. Crossman, and K. T. Scribner. 2014. Estimates of effective number of breeding adults and reproductive success for White Sturgeon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 143:1204-1216.
- Justice, C., B. J. Pyper, R. C. P. Beamesderfer, V. L. Paragamian, P. J. Rust, M. D. Neufeld, and S. C. Ireland. 2009. Evidence of density- and sizedependent mortality in hatchery-reared juvenile White Sturgeon (Acipenser *transmontanus*) in the Kootenai River. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 66: 802–815
- Laake, J. L. 2013. RMark: An R interface for analysis of capture-markrecapture data with MARK. AFSC Processed Rep 2013-01, 25 p. Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., NOAA, Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle WA 98115.
- Mattison, J. S. 2007. Order for Columbia River Projects. Cover letter of January 26 2007 plus 16 pp.

- McAdam, S. O. 2011. Effects of substrate condition on habitat use and survival by White Sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus*) larvae and potential implications for recruitment. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences; 68: 812 – 822.
- McAdam, S. O., C. J. Walters, and C. Nistor. 2005. Linkages between white sturgeon recruitment and altered bed substrates in the Nechako River, Canada. Transactions of American Fisheries Society, 134: 1448-1456.
- Moser, M. L., M. Bain, M. R. Collins, N. Haley, B. Kynard, J. C. O'Herron II, G. Rogers, and T. S. Squiers. 2000. A Protocol for use of shortnose and Atlantic Sturgeons. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-OPR-18. Available: nmfs.gov/prot_res/prot_res.html.
- Nigro, A. A., B. E. Rieman, J. C. Elliot, and D. R. Engle. 1988. Status and habitat requirements of White Sturgeon populations in the Columbia River downstream from McNary Dam. Annual Progress Report (July 1987-March 1988) to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Or. 140 p.
- Parsley, M. J., and L. G. Beckman. 1994. White Sturgeon spawning and rearing habitat in the Lower Columbia River. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 14: 812-827.
- Parsley, M. J., E. Kofoot, and T. J. Blubaugh. 2010. Mid Columbia Sturgeon Incubation and Rearing Study (Year 1 – 2009). Report prepared for BC Hydro, Castlegar, B.C. 23 p + 1 app.
- R Core Team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.Rproject.org/.
- Ricker, W. E. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Fisheries Research Board of Canada Bulletin 191.
- Stevens, D. L., and A. R. Olsen, 2004. Spatially balanced sampling of natural resources. Journal of the American Statistical Association 99 (465):262-278.
- Terraquatic Resource Management. 2011. Arrow Lakes Generating Station White Sturgeon spawn monitoring program – 2011. Prepared for Columbia Power Corporation. 19 pp.
- Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Initiative. 2002. Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Plan. 28 November, 2002. Prepared by the Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Initiative. 90p. + app.
- Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Initiative. 2006. Upper Columbia River Adult White Sturgeon Capture Transport and Handling Manual. Prepared for the Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Initiative. 20 p. + app.

- Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Initiative. 2012. Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Plan – 2012 Revision. Prepared for the Upper Columbia White Sturgeon Recovery Initiative. 129p. + 1 app. Available at: www.uppercolumbiasturgeon.org
- Webb, M., and J. Van Eenennaam. 2015 Techniques to determine sex and stage of maturity in sturgeons and paddlefish. 2015 NASPS Annual Meeting Workshop, Oshkosh, WI.
- Wege, G. W., and R. O. Anderson. 1978. Relative weight (W_r) : a new index of condition for largemouth bass. In: New approaches to the management of small impoundments (Eds.: G. D. Novinger, and J. G. Dillard). Pp 79-91. Bethesda, MD: North Central Division, American Fisheries Society, Special Publication No. 5.