

Columbia River Project Water Use Plan

Revelstoke Flow Management Plan

Mid Columbia River Ecological Productivity Monitoring

Implementation Year 8

Reference: CLBMON15b

Columbia River Water Use Plan Monitoring Program: Middle Columbia River Ecological Productivity Monitoring

Study Period: 2014

Okanagan Nation Alliance #101-3535 Old Okanagan Highway Westbank, BC V4T 3J6

AND: Ecoscape Environmental Consultants Ltd. #102-450 Neave Crt Kelowna, BC V1V 2M2

January 2016

MIDDLE COLUMBIA RIVER ECOLOGICAL PRODUCTIVITY MONITORING, 2007-2014

Prepared For:

BC HYDRO

c/o Accenture Business Services for Utilities 19th Floor, 401 West Georgia Street Vancouver, B.C. V6B 5A1

Prepared By:

ECOSCAPE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS #102-450 Neave Crt. Kelowna, BC V1V 2M2

with

OKANAGAN NATION ALLIANCE #101-3535 Old Okanagan Highway Westbank, BC V4T 3J6

Primary Authors: Jason Schleppe, M.Sc., R.P.Bio. Heather Larratt, H.B.Sc., R.P.Bio.









January 2016

Recommended Citation:

Schleppe, J., and H. Larratt. 2015. CLBMON-15b Middle Columbia River Ecological Productivity Monitoring, Annual Report 2014. Okanagan Nation Alliance with Ecoscape Environmental Consultants Ltd. & Larratt Aquatic Consulting Ltd. 99pp.

Project Management, Coordination, and Review:

Michael Zimmer, M.Sc., R.P.Bio. of Okanagan Nation Alliance

Field Team:

Ryan Poitras of Okanagan Nation Alliance

Keith Louis of the Okanagan Indian Band

Jason Schleppe, Kyle Hawes, and Adam Patterson of Ecoscape Environmental Consultants Ltd.

GIS Analysis and Spatial Data Management:

Robert Wagner of Ecoscape Environmental Consultants Ltd.

Data Analysis:

Jason Schleppe, Chloee Robertson and Rachel Plewes of Ecoscape Environmental Consultants Ltd.

Report Authorship / Technical Oversight

Jason Schleppe of Ecoscape Environmental Consultants Ltd.

Heather Larratt of Larratt Aquatic Ltd.

© BC Hydro

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior permission from BC Hydro, Burnaby, BC.





CLBMON 15B Status of Objectives Management Questions and Hypotheses After Year 8			
Objectives	Management Questions	Management Hypotheses	Year 8 (2014) Status
A key environmental objective of the minimum flow release is to enhance the productivity and diversity of benthic communities. The benthic community of MCR is viewed as a key monitoring component in the Revelstoke Flow Management Program because the productivity and diversity of the benthic community may reflect ecosystem health, and the benthic community supports juvenile and adult life stages of fish populations. Therefore, the objectives of this monitoring program are to 1) provide long term data on the productivity of benthic communities and 2) assess how the recommended minimum flow releases influence benthic productivity as it relates to the availability of food for fishes in the MCR.	Q.1. What is the composition, distribution, abundance and biomass of periphyton and benthic invertebrates in the section of MCR subjected to the influence of minimum flows?	Ho ₁ : The implementation of the 142 m ³ /s minimum flow release does not change the spatial area of productive benthic habitat for periphyton or benthic invertebrates in the MCR.	Ho ₁ : The hypothesis is rejected, but only under certain operating conditions. The benthic communities should increase with minimum flows because produc submergence. The spatial area of productive habitat is determined by the BC H regime creates three typical growth bands that moved across the channel in re of high daily flows. During certain operating regimes, the total productive area daily average flows, backwatering from Arrow Lakes Reservoir, and weather of spatial area of habitat covered by minimum flows, a more complex spatial mod of the operating regime due to the strong correlations between submergence a Developing this more complex spatial model will allow more specific conclusion for considering alternative operating regimes.
	Q.2. What is the effect of implementing minimum flows on the area of productive benthic habitat?	Ho ₂ : The implementation of the 142 m ³ /s minimum flow release does not change the total biomass accrual rate of periphyton in the MCR.	 Ho₂: This hypothesis is rejected. Given no other operating constraints, we conclude the daily, weekly, monthly, and annual operating regimes positively affect the total biomass was greatest in permanently wetted areas adjacent to the channel e directly below average low flows. The overall benefits of minimum flow are greatest under the following condition Periods of low daily flows that exceed 24 hours with high or freezing are Repeated exposure events in excess of 12 hours, particularly during mediates.



eoretically, the spatial area supporting ctive habitat is directly dependant on Hydro operating regime. The operating relation to mean low flows and duration ea is determined by factors such as high conditions. To explicitly determine the del is required that considers all aspects and the total area of productive habitat. ons and will provide useful information

that minimum flows in combination with I biomass within the MCR. Peak or total edge at average low flows and in areas

ons:

average daytime temperatures.

nore extreme temperatures





CLBMON 15B Status of Objectives Management Questions and Hypotheses After Year 8			
Objectives	Management Questions	Management Hypotheses	Year 8 (2014) Status
	Q3.What is the effect of implementing minimum flows on the accrual rate of periphyton biomass in the MCR? Is there a long term trend in accrual?	Ho _{3A} : There are no changes in accrual rates of periphyton at channel elevations that remain permanently wetted by minimum flow releases.	Ho _{3A} : The hypothesis is accepted. Accrual in permanently wetted areas that occurred water velocity and depth during high flows did not appear to have a different flows management when compared to pre-implementation of minimum flows velocity, light, and substrate were more important determinants of periphyto these areas. Thus, minimum flows are expected to have nominal effects on acc or other high water events may reduce periphyton accrual and standing crop in
		Ho _{3B} : There are no changes in accrual rates of periphyton at channel elevations that are periodically dewatered during minimum flow releases.	Ho ₃₈ : The hypothesis is accepted, but only under certain conditions. We conclude the that are periodically dewatered, located above the minimum flow line, but there regularly exposed before the minimum flow operating regime because increations observed in time series sampling in the spring and fall. Daytime submergence from Revelstoke Reservoir and operating cycles were also important determination be considered.
	Q.4. What is the effect of implementing minimum flows on the total abundance, diversity and biomass of benthic organisms in the section of the MCR subjected to the	Ho _{4A} : The implementation of the 142 m ³ /s minimum flow release does not change the total abundance / biomass / diversity of benthic invertebrates in the MCR.	H4 _A : The hypothesis is rejected, but only under certain operating conditions. Perman productive and diverse, but frequently submerged varial zone areas also had so The area of productive invertebrate habitat was bounded by average daily low fl by average daily submergence. Specifically determining the benefits of mir because of other confounding factors such as the duration of daily high flows. W minimum flows do affect the total abundance, biomass, and diversity of benthic minimum area of productive habitat and ensure there are organisms for recolor by tributary inflows.

ed in the mid-channel, with the highest nt accrual rate under current minimum vs. The physical characteristics such as con accrual than minimum flows within crual. Peak flows associated with REV 5 n permanently submerged habitats.

hat minimum flows do not affect areas by have had an effect in areas that were eased accrual would have occurred as e, seasonal patterns, algal immigration nts of periphyton accrual and must also

nently submerged areas were the most ome level of productivity and diversity. lows and its upper limit was determined nimum flows is not currently possible Vithout any other operating constraints, c communities because they establish a onization in addition to those provided





CLBMON 15B Status of Objectives Management Questions and Hypotheses After Year 8			
Objectives	Management Questions	Management Hypotheses	Year 8 (2014) Status
	influence of minimum flows? Is there a long term trend in benthic productivity?	Ho _{4B:} There are no changes in abundance/biomass/diversity of benthic invertebrates at channel elevations that remain permanently wetted by minimum flow releases.	Ho _{4B:} The hypothesis is accepted. We conclude that minimum flows have not affe permanently wetted areas and this hypothesis is accepted. However, our data s such as high peak flows associated with high water events may also be im production. Thus, consideration of all aspects of flow regulation must occur i understand potential effects.
		Ho _{4C:} There are no changes in abundance/biomass/diversity of benthic invertebrates at channel elevations that are periodically dewatered by minimum flow releases.	Ho _{4C} : The hypothesis is accepted, but only under certain conditions. We conclude the periodically dewatered (i.e., above minimum flow), but they probably had an effect before the minimum flow operating regime because the data suggest that bend and biomass are positively associated with submergence or time in the war patterns, and operating cycles were also important determinants of benthic access.



ected abundance/biomass/diversity in suggest that other aspects of operation, nportant determinants of invertebrate in conjunction with minimum flows to

hat minimum flows do not affect areas fect in areas that were regularly exposed othic invertebrate abundance, diversity, ater. Daytime submergence, seasonal crual and must also be considered.





CLBMON 15B Status of Objectives Management Questions and Hypotheses After Year 8			
Objectives	Management Questions	Management Hypotheses	Year 8 (2014) Status
	Q5. If changes in the benthic community associated with minimum flow releases are detected, what effect can be inferred on juvenile or adult life stages of fishes?	Ho ₅ : The implementation of the 142 m ³ /s minimum flow release does not change the availability of fish food organisms in the Middle Columbia.	 Ho₅: This hypothesis is rejected, but only under certain operating conditions. Food for lindex (FFI) in 2013 and the total biomass of EPT and Dipteran taxa in 2014. The each benthic taxon, 1) invertebrate abundance, 2) relative invertebrate biomass, benthic taxon. The overall benefits of minimum flow are greatest under the following condition Periods of low daily flows that exceed 24 hours with freezing or high av Repeated exposure events in excess of 12 hours Substrates submerged for 450 to 500 hours (10 to 11 hours per day) during dayt of preferred fish food items which are generally EPT and Dipteran. EPT and D submerged for at least 750 to 1000 hours over at least 46 days. Both periphy responses, suggesting that overall productivity and food for fish is directly affected from the BC Hydro operating regime, where increased periods of submerg Jordan River may be important donors of invertebrate species utilized by fish a MCR recovery from exposure events.



for fish was assessed using a Fish Food e FFI consisted of three parameters for s, and 3) fish food preference for a given

ns:

verage daytime temperatures.

time hours had the greatest availability Dipteran biomass was greatest in areas yton and invertebrates showed similar ted by the wetter drying cycles resulting gence result in an overall increase in becies reservoir, tributaries such as the and these donations would assist with





ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AFDW	Ash-Free Dry Weight
ALR	Arrow Lakes Reservoir
ANOSIM	analysis of similarity
ANOVA	analysis of variance
BC Hydro	British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority
BW	backwater
BE	Big Eddy
BR	bedrock
CFU	Colony forming units (bacteria culture)
chl-a	chlorophyll-a
CLBMON 15-b	Middle Columbia River Ecological Productivity Monitoring (this study)
Cultus Lake	Department of Fisheries and Oceans Cultus Lake Laboratory
d.f.	degrees of freedom
EPT	Ephemeroptera (mayflies), Plecoptera (stoneflies) & Trichoptera (caddis flies)
F	F-Statistic
НВІ	Hilsenhoff Index
НТРС	Heterotrophic plate count (non-photosynthetic bacteria)
IL	Illecillewaet River
JR	Jordan River
MCR	Middle Columbia River
m	metre
min	minimum
max	maximum
MW	megawatts
NMDS	Non metric multi-dimensional scaling
REV 5	Revelstoke 5
SD	standard deviation
WUP CC	Columbia River Water Use Plan Consultative Committee
WW	whitewater
FFG	functional feeding group
ALR	Arrow Lakes Reservoir





DEFINITIONS

The following terms are briefly defined as they are used in this report.

Term	Definition
Accrual rate	A function of cell settlement, actual growth and losses (grazing, sloughing)
Autotrophic	Capable of photosynthesis
Autotrophic Index	Autotrophic index is the proportion of an organic matrix which is viable algae, and is
	calculated as (AFDM / chl-a) The inverse is known as AP or autotrophic potential
Benthic	Organisms that dwell in or are associated with the sediments
Benthic production	The production within the benthos originating from both periphyton and benthic
	invertebrates
Bioaccumulation	Removal of metal from solution by organisms via adsorption, metabolism
Bioavailable	Available for use or uptake by plants or animals
Catastrophic flow	Flow events that have population level consequences of >50% mortality in areas affected
or event	by the operational flow changes, either through exposure related mortality or stress-
	induced mortality after exposure.
Cyanobacteria	Bacteria-like algae having cyanochrome as the main photosynthetic pigment
Diatoms	Algae that have hard, silica-based "shells" frustules
Eutrophic	Nutrient-rich, biologically productive water body
Flow	The instantaneous volume of water flowing at any given time (e.g.1200 m ³ /s)
Functional feeding	(FFG) Benthic invertebrates can be classified by mechanism by which they forage, referred
group	to as functional feeding or foraging groups
Heteroscedasticity	Random variables from sub-populations that have different variability from others
Invertebrate	Benthic invertebrate biomass, abundances, and measures of diversity
production	
Large river	A fluvial system of sufficient scale to intimidate researchers (Hynes 1989).
Light attenuation	Reduction of sunlight strength during transmission through water
Limnoplankton	Algae that can only function while suspended in a stationary water column
Microflora	The sum of algae, bacteria, fungi, Actinomycetes, etc., in water or biofilms
Minimum flow	The current operating regime that maintains a minimum flow of 142 m ³ /s in MCR, which
	does not refer to increased potential flows with the addition of the REV 5 turbine,
Myxotrophic	Organisms that can be photosynthetic or can absorb organic materials directly from the
	environment as needed
Nano plankton	Minute algae that are less than 5 microns in their largest dimension
Operations /	the day to day changes in flow associated with on- demand power generation
operating regime	
Pico plankton	Minute algae that are less than 2 microns in their largest dimension
Peak biomass	The highest density, biovolume or chi-a attained in a set time on a substrate
Periphyton	Microflora that are attached to aquatic plants or solid substrates
Periphyton	Periphyton productivity measures include chi-a, biovolume, and abundance
production	
Phytopiankton	Algae that float, drift or swim in water columns of reservoirs, lakes and slow-moving rivers
REV 5 TIOW	REV 5 is the newest turbine added to the Reveistoke Dam. It added 500 MW generating
Diagonica	capacity, and increased potential peak discnarge to 2124 m ³ /s
Kiparian	The movement of bard particles such as sand over an unaver surface in turbulant flavor
	The movement of hard particles such as sand over an uneven surface in turbulent flows
variai zurie Zooplankter	Alloute perimeter that graze algee, besterie and detrifies in water bedies.
Zuoplankton	winute animals that graze algae, bacteria and detritus in water bodies





EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Aquatic habitats in the Middle Columbia River (MCR) are heavily influenced by variable flow releases from Revelstoke Reservoir. To lessen the effect of these variable flow releases, the Columbia River Water Use Plan supported implementation of a year-round minimum flow of 142 m³/s from Revelstoke Dam (REV) to the MCR. The key objective of the 142 m³/s minimum flow strategy is to enhance the productivity and diversity of benthic communities in the MCR by increasing the permanently wetted area by an estimated 32–37% (Golder 2012). The goal of the ecological productivity monitoring program CLBMON-15b is to provide long-term data on benthic productivity of MCR using artificial substrate samplers that assess how minimum flow influences benthic communities and availability of food for fish. This summary report presents data and findings from years 1 through 8 of the study period. 2007 to 2010 data was collected pre-implementation and 2011 to 2014 data was collected post-implementation of minimum flow discharge of REV from 1699 to 2124 m³/s.

Minimum flows benefit MCR benthic invertebrate and periphyton communities by ensuring that a channel area remains wetted and productive at all times. Habitat conditions of MCR included the permanently submerged zone and two varial zones of differential submergence. The permanently wetted zone showed high productivity and accrual rates despite periodic thinning by high water velocities. The next zone, located in areas directly above minimum flow elevations, was the most productive zone, the lower varial zone. The final zone was the less productive upper varial zone located in areas of increased exposure above the lower varial zone. The boundaries between these three zones shifted in response to growth conditions provided by the operational flow regimes over the preceding 30 - 70 days. Benthic productivity in all zones was directly influenced by physical factors such as velocity, light, and substrate stability, but in varial zones, submergence times were the most important determinants of benthic productivity. For both periphyton and invertebrates, productivity was greatest at mid-channel elevations extending from just below the elevation of minimum flow to slightly above it in the lower varial zone.

Distinguishing between the benefits of minimum flows and variation in the operating regimes observed over the study period was difficult. Daytime submergence and high average daily flows were key factors in determining benthic productivity. Varial zone areas submerged for at least 9 to 12 hours per day (400 to 500 hours over the deployment period) were typically as productive as those in areas constantly submerged by minimum flows. Submergence times in excess of 1000 hrs (or 40 days) appear to show a slight increase in productivity over those submerged for less time. Further, the benefits of minimum flows were lessened by other factors, most notably backwatering from Arrow Lakes Reservoir that submerged habitats in the varial zone substrates which would otherwise have desiccated. In the absence of other operating constraints, minimum flows benefit benthic productivity in MCR, but alternative operating regimes that have higher average daily flows without minimum flows may also create habitat conditions that are equally productive.

Overall benthic community structure was stable at the family group taxonomic level across all sites of variable submergence, with similar representation between years. However, when MCR periphyton data were examined at the genera or species level, distinctions between years, seasons, and flow changes emerged. For example, fall 2013 data showed a much higher than normal proportion of the periphyton derived from species exported by Revelstoke Reservoir, while fall 2014 data showed unusually strong filamentous green algae in the lower varial zone and very high productivity in the Reach 3 upper varial zone





with back-watering. Similar patterns of inter-annual variation were observed for invertebrates, although the specifics reasons were more challenging to elucidate.

Benthic invertebrates were more sensitive to exposure than periphyton. Exposure events of as little as 24 hours during high daytime temperatures caused substantial stresses and die-off in the benthic community, such as those observed in the spring of 2011. For periphyton, exposures exceeding 48 hours were usually required before similar effects were observed.

Peak production in MCR was not achieved within two months from the time of first wetting and may take longer than six months to fully develop if sites experience frequent dewatering, particularly in the spring when growth rates are slow.

Substrates submerged for 10-11 hours/day in daylight hours had the most preferred fish food items, which generally include EPT and Dipteran taxa. Similarly, the biomass of EPT+Dipterans was greatest in areas submerged for 750 - 1000 hours over the previous 46 days.

In this study, submergence was consistently identified as the single most important determinant of benthic production in MCR. Minimum flows benefit productivity most during periods when average daytime flows over the preceding 30 to 70 days are lower (e.g., 400 to 600 m³/s versus 1200 to 1600 m³/s). Thus, a small shift in water releases would increase the area of productive habitat, and could occur under an operating regime that included brief excursions to <10 m³/s flow, provided that flows of 400 to 600 m³/s occurred every day for at least 9 daytime hours. However, many factors affect the total area of productive habitat and need to be considered in conjunction with the effects of minimum flows. Factors such as Arrow Lakes Reservoir backwatering, operational flow patterns, high peak flows (exceeding 1800 m³/s), seasonal cycles, and species tolerances were all important determinants of benthic productivity and should be considered when reviewing future operational flow regime guidelines. Development of a spatial model to determine the total area and quantity of production in MCR should be possible when this research is complete using results from habitat modelling. Spatial modelling of MCR would help evaluate the benefits of alternate operating regimes against the benefits provided by implementation of minimum flows.

Keywords:

Middle Columbia River, Ecological Productivity, Minimum Flows Management





ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ONA and Ecoscape would like to express our appreciation and acknowledge Dr. Guy Martel, Jason Watson and Karen Bray of BC Hydro for their assistance with this project. Their helpful suggestions and discussions have assisted in our understanding of the complexities of MCR. We also want to thank Michael Zimmer, Project Manager for ONA and other Ecoscape personnel, whom over the years have greatly contributed to this project. Sue Salter of Cordillera Consulting processed and identified the benthic invertebrate samples. Dr. Jason Pither provided valuable support with statistical analyses and data interpretation in 2010 through 2012. Dr. John Stockner contributed valuable QA/QC by providing confirmation of periphyton identifications in 2010-2012. The field crews of Golder Associates Ltd. and Triton Environmental Consultants Ltd. are also acknowledged for the collection and field processing of fish stomach samples. Finally, we acknowledge our families and spouses who continue to be understanding of the commitments required to successfully undertake this project.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acronyms ar	nd Abbreviations	v
Definitions		vi
Executive Su	immary	vii
Acknowledge	ements	ix
1.0 Introdu	ction	1
1.1	Objectives, Questions, and Hypotheses	2
2.0 Method	s	6
2.1 2.2 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.2.4 2.2.5 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3	Study Area Periphyton and Invertebrate Community Sampling Using Artificial Samplers Artificial Sampler Design and Deployment Time Series Samplers Artificial Sampler Retrieval Post Processing of Periphyton Samples Post Processing of Invertebrate Samples Variable Descriptions and Analytical Methods Determination of Submergence Variables and Statistical Analyses Time Series and Artificial Sampler Assumptions	6 8 12 13 13 14 14 14 15 19
3.0 Results		20
3.1 3.1.1 3.2.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.5.1 3.2.5.1 3.2.5.2 3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.2	Biophysical Characteristics of the Middle Columbia River Light and Temperature in Submerged areas of MCR Pattern of Flow in MCR Periphyton Overview of MCR Periphyton Biofilms Yearly Comparisons of Periphyton Algae Sampling Periphyton Accrual Periphyton Community Groupings Periphyton Production Models Fall Spring Benthic Invertebrates Yearly Comparisons of Benthic Invertebrate Sampling Benthic Invertebrate Community Groupings Benthic Invertebrate Community Groupings	20 21 22 22 22 22 30 33 38 38 39 42 45 45 46
3.3.3 3.3.3.1 3.3.3.2	Fall	48 49 52





4.0	Discuss	sion	55
4.	1	Overview of MCR Habitat Zones and Conditions	55
4.	2	Comparison of MCR to other Large Rivers	58
4.	3	Overview of Benthic Communities	59
4.	4	Benthic Communities and Area of Productive Habitat	60
4.	5	Effects of Minimum Flows on Periphyton and Accrual	60
4.	6	Effects of Minimum Flows on Benthic Invertebrates and Accrual	62
4.	7	Effects of Minimum Flow on the Availability of Food for Fish	63
4.	8	Possible Alternate Flow Regimes to Enhance Productivity	63
5.0	Recom	nendations	65
5.	1	Review of Previously Recommended Project Directions	65
5.	2	Recommended Work Program Elements	65
6.0	Referen	ces	66
		APPENDICES	
Арре	endix A	Data Tables and Figures	73
Арре	endix B	Digital Data Tables and Figures	82

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1:	Conceptual interactions model of habitat variables and benthic production as they relate to food for fish in MCR. Parameters shaded in grey, with bolded text represent parameters being assessed in this study
Figure 2-1:	Map of the study area and sampling locations. Site names are fully defined in Table 2-1
Figure 2-2:	Conceptual drawing of transect positions and periphyton establishment in MCR using data collected from fall 2010 and 2012 in Reach 4
Figure 2-3:	Conceptual drawing of periphyton establishment in MCR using data collected from Fall 2010 and 2011 samples in Reach 3
Figure 2-4:	Schematic drawing of the artificial substrate sampler used in MCR 11
Figure 3-1:	Example of fall 2014 incubated Styrofoam from MCR Reach 4 and Reach 3, comparing mainstem sites with cobble sand substrates. The increased periphyton growth on the upper varial zone (T5,T6) of Reach 3 (disks were dark with growth) over Reach 4 (disks remained white), indicates the increased growth at Reach 3 T5,6 due to back-watering



Figure 3-2:



Total biovolume of MCR periphyton and chlorophyll-a for 2010 to 2014 by sampler

Figure 3-3:	Volatile Solids (AFDW) in Fall MCR samples 2010 (before minimum flows) to 2014
Figure 3-4:	Filamentous green algae band along the upper area of the permanently wetted zone (T2) in fall, 2014
Figure 3-5:	Chlorophyll-a accrual curve for MCR periphyton on fall time series samplers. The blue line represents the LOESS curve with a 95% CI represented in grey
Figure 3-6:	Regressions of days submerged and chlorophyll-a. For the fall data, data is pooled at T1 and T3/T4 locations between 2009-2014 (R2 = 0.55). For spring data, the data is pooled for 2011 to 2013 (labelled T1 or T3) (R2= 0.86). Note that the best fit regression lines loose predictive capability when submergence periods are less than 10 days and should be considered negligible (i.e., at time 0 productivity is 0).
Figure 3-7:	NMDS of periphyton abundance at the family level, grouped by year, by season, by Pre and Post flow regime change, and by transect location for data collected between 2007 and 2014. These figures suggest that there were distinct differences between years, between flow periods, and smaller differences between spring vs. fall or between reaches
Figure 3-8:	NMDS of periphyton abundance at the genus level, grouped by year, by season, by Pre and Post flow regime change, and by transect location for data collected between 2007 and 2014. These figures suggest that there were distinct differences between years, between flow periods, and smaller differences between spring vs. fall or between transect locations
Figure 3-9:	Model averaged parameter coefficients and 95% confidence limits from model averaged linear mixed effects models for each given dependent variable. Each plot represents the probability of a given predictor, described on the left side of the plot, to be in the best AIC model (this probability is described by the RVI value on the right side of the plot). The predictors are also sorted based on this probability. Predictors have been standardized to allow direct comparison of coefficients (x-axis). The corresponding coefficient and its 95% confidence interval are represented on the plot. Those variables with 95% confidence intervals overlapping zero are considered of low significance as the direction of their effects are inconsistent among models



- Figure 3-11: Model averaged parameter coefficients and 95% confidence limits from model averaged linear mixed effects models for each given dependent variable. Each plot represents the probability of a given predictor, described on the left side of the plot, to be in the best AIC model (this probability is described by the RVI value on the right side of the plot). The predictors are also sorted based on this probability. Predictors have been standardized to allow direct comparison of coefficients (x-axis). The corresponding coefficient and its 95% confidence interval are represented on the plot. Those variables with 95% confidence intervals overlapping zero are considered of low significance as the direction of their effects are inconsistent among models.
- Figure 3-12: Model averaged parameter coefficients and 95% confidence limits from model averaged linear mixed effects models for each given dependent variable. Each plot represents the probability of a given predictor, described on the left side of the plot, to be in the best AIC model (this probability is described by the RVI value on the right side of the plot). The predictors are also sorted based on this probability. Predictors have been standardized to allow direct comparison of coefficients (x-axis). The corresponding coefficient and its 95% confidence interval are represented on the plot. Those variables with 95% confidence intervals overlapping zero are considered of low significance as the direction of their effects are inconsistent among models.

January 2016



January 2016



- Figure A-4: Fall daily pattern of light intensity (lux) in MCR while samplers were exposed, where T1 is the deepest and T6 is the shallowest for samplers. The blue line represents the mean from 2010 to 2014 (±SD in grey) and red represents the mean 2014 data from all submerged samplers. The x-axis is time in hours of the day (0:00 to 24:00).
- Figure A-5: Spring daily pattern of light intensity (lux) in MCR while samplers were exposed,





xv

LIST OF DOCUMENT TABLES

Table 1-1:	Key Management Questions and Hypotheses, with Pertinent Components to Address Them
Table 2-1:	Summary of samples collected from artificial sampler deployment and retrieval in 2014
Table 2-2:	Variables used in the prediction of periphyton and benthic invertebrate response in relation to BC Hydro operations and physical conditions during deployment. The full set of variables developed in 2010 were prepared for 2014. Environmental predictors used in the final models presented in 2014 are shown in bold, while the non-bold variables were considered but subsequently removed
Table 3-1:	Range of biovolumes in MCR periphyton that are also phytoplankton taxa found in Revelstoke Reservoir and found in MCR drift samples, $2007 - 2014$ 24
Table 3-2:	Effects of Yearly Conditions on Periphyton Productivity Across All Years
Table 3-3:	Effects of Flow Conditions on Periphyton Productivity in Reach 3 in the Thalweg (T1) Zone
Table 3-4:	Upper varial zone (T5,T6) periphyton productivity in fall of 2010 - 2014 30
Table 3-5:	Summary of repeated measures ANOVA of periphyton accrual within the MCR. Days in is the total number of days spent deployed, independent of submergence.
Table 3-6:	Summary of the effects of year, season, reach, flow condition, and transect on periphyton communities in the MCR
Table 3-7:	Summary of the effects of year, season, reach, flow condition, and transect on invertebrate communities in the MCR
Table 4-1:	Summary of typical MCR periphyton metrics from spring and fall 2010 - 2014, with comparison to oligotrophic, typical, and productive large rivers
Table 4-2:	Approximate ranges of expected percent mortality of dominant periphyton in MCR Reaches 4 and 3 with substrate exposure time and corresponding recovery times Corresponding invertebrate mortality times will be shorter, and the recovery times more erratic than those for periphyton
Table 5-1:	Previously Recommended Project Directions and Actions Completed in 2013 65
Table A-1:	Summary of the number of plausible models identified using model averaging (those with a \triangle AIC <3) and the range of pseudo R ² values for selected models for samplers across all transects
Table A-2:	Summary of the number of plausible models identified using model averaging (those with a \triangle AIC <2) and the range of pseudo R ² values for selected models for samplers across all transects





1.0 INTRODUCTION

Aquatic habitats in the Middle Columbia River (MCR) are heavily influenced by variable flow releases from the Revelstoke Dam (REV), and to a lesser extent, by tributary inflows and backwatering from Arrow Lakes Reservoir (ALR). In December 2010, BC Hydro added a fifth generating unit (REV 5), which increased the maximum possible flow discharge of REV from 1699 to 2124 m³/s.

The Columbia River Water Use Plan supported implementation of a year-round minimum flow of 142 m³/s to mitigate the effects of variable flows released from the Revelstoke Dam. Studies of minimum flow sought to understand how physical and biological variables affect fish habitat and productivity in MCR (WUP, BC Hydro 2005). One component of the WUP involved assessing how the productivity and diversity of benthic communities would change through implementation of a minimum flow operating regime. It was hypothesized that increasing the area of permanently wetted channel downstream of the Revelstoke Dam would result in increased benthic production, thereby increasing food availability for fish and ultimately improve fish abundance (WUP, BC Hydro 2005).

The CLBMON-15b Ecological Productivity Monitoring study reported here forms one component of a broader monitoring project under Revelstoke Flow Management Program, which is designed to assess the effectiveness of minimum flows at improving habitat conditions for fish. The monitoring schedule consists of four years of monitoring prior to implementation of minimum flow / REV 5 operations, and up to ten years of subsequent monitoring under the new operating regime.

In the study years prior to implementation of a minimum flow (2007 – 2010), the water release from the Revelstoke Dam varied from 8.5 m³/s to 1700 m³/s, depending on power demands, and could result in sudden water fluctuations between 3 to 5 vertical meters. Since REV 5 and minimum flows were initiated (2011-2014), flows have ranged from 142 m³/s to 2124 m³/s. The extent of submergence of river substrates was therefore determined by the variable water releases, in combination with backwatering from the downstream Arrow Lakes Reservoir (ALR) into portions of MCR as far as just upstream of Big Eddy, a large deep pool near Revelstoke.

Some of the predicted trends of the new operating regime include a general increase in the frequency of high flows with corresponding increases in river elevations and velocities immediately downstream of the dam, and a general increase in average daily discharge during low demand periods (BC Hydro 2006). These trends will result in a greater variability in flows. The operating regime or 'operations' of the dam have direct influence on both periphyton and benthic invertebrate production downstream of Revelstoke Dam.

The results from the Ecological Productivity Monitoring will be integrated with other BC Hydro monitoring programs, including Physical Habitat Monitoring, Fish Population Indexing Surveys, Juvenile Habitat Use and Adult Habitat Use. The findings from these monitoring programs will be collectively used to evaluate if minimum flows provide benefits for fish, and if there is an advantage to the establishment of a long-term minimum operating release requirement for Revelstoke Dam.





These data will serve to quantify long-term trends in the productivity of periphyton and benthic invertebrates and will provide valuable information pertaining to the ecological health of the riverine environment downstream of the Revelstoke Dam.

This report summarizes years 1 through 8 of the monitoring program, and focuses on the fall 2014 (Year 8) sampling season.

1.1 Objectives, Questions, and Hypotheses

The three main objectives of the Ecological Productivity Monitoring program are as follows (BC Hydro 2010):

- To design and implement a long term program for tracking the productivity and diversity of key benthic community taxa (periphyton and invertebrates) in MCR
- To assess the response of benthic community taxa (periphyton and invertebrates) of MCR to a minimum flow release from Revelstoke Dam and REV 5 operations
- To investigate and quantify the relationship between habitat attributes and benthic composition, abundance, and biomass with the section of MCR most likely to be influenced by minimum flows and REV 5 operations

The first objective was satisfied by the basic study design developed by Perrin et al. (2004). We developed a conceptual model, presented in Figure 1-1, to address the second and third objectives, and to understand the potential interactions of the complex factors affected by changes in flow. Although the relative importance and role of each parameter have yet to be fully clarified, this model identifies the many variables that can influence benthic productivity and ultimately food for fish. Further, it highlights areas for which data is being collected to address the management questions. At the forefront of the model are BC Hydro operations that determine quantity and duration of water release. Altered flows directly influence several factors such as velocity, turbulence, depth, submergence, scour, etc. and therefore have a direct effect on benthic productivity.



3 January 2016





Figure 1-1: Conceptual interactions model of habitat variables and benthic production as they relate to food for fish in MCR. Parameters shaded in grey, with bolded text represent parameters being assessed in this study.

#101-3535 Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/



To comprehensively address the three main objectives, five management questions with related hypotheses were developed. Table 1.1 lists each of the management questions/hypotheses (BC Hydro 2010), and relevant components of this study that address them. Although several of the hypotheses/questions refer to the implementation of the minimum flow release, we understand as per the Request for Proposals, that the evaluation of minimum flow release is to include the operational changes associated with the commencement of REV 5 operations.

Simple statistical models were used to understand the physical responses of periphyton and benthic productivity to flows. In a complex system like the MCR, the effects of minimum flows and REV 5 flows could not be isolated from each other and the larger flow regime. In lieu of this approach, we identified relationships between benthic production and spatial features that are directly influenced by flows including area of wetted habitat, and frequency and duration of submergence. From this, the effects of the operating regime including minimum flow are inferred. Using this approach is advantageous because it allows consideration of alternative operating regimes that may be as, or more, beneficial than minimum flow from both a productivity and a financial perspective. The intent of the data collection is to facilitate the extrapolation of benthic and periphyton productivity in the river as a whole and to enable estimation of the spatial area of productive habitat in MCR under a minimum flow or alternative operating regime. The ultimate goal is to identify and describe what habitat attributes are most influential and to identify how implementation of different operational regimes may affect benthic productivity in MCR including both a minimum flow and a REV 5 operating regime.



Table 1-1: Key Management Questions and Hypotheses, with Pertinent Components to Address Them

Key Management Questions	Management Hypotheses:	Study Components to Address Managemen Questions/Hypotheses
Q1.	Ho ₁ .	Artificial sampler arrays deployed across the spectrum of flows/elevations of the MCR. Data collection includes:
what is the composition, distribution, abundance and biomass of periphyton and benthic invertebrates in	flow release does not change the composition,	• Abundance – periphyton & invertebrates
the section of MCR subjected to the influence of minimum flows?	distribution, abundance, biomass, or spatial area of productive benthic habitat for periphyton or	 Diversity – taxonomy indices for periphyton and invertebrates
	benthic invertebrates in MCR.	 Production/Biomass – chl-a, AFDW/DW, biovolume, benthic invertebrate biomass
		Natural Substrate Comparisons
Q2. What is the effect of implementing minimum flows on		Productive habitat area is considered using the analogou measure submergence as a surrogate for minimum flow Statistical models using a variety of parameters describin submergence are tested for the different measures of production. Future data analysis will attempt to direct link submergence time to the total spatial area of productive benthic habitats
Q3.	Ho ₃ .	Artificial Samplers and time series samplers are deploye across the spectrum of submerged habitat areas on th
What is the effect of implementing minimum flows on the accrual rate of periphyton biomass in MCR2 is	The implementation of the 142 m3/s minimum flow release does not change the total biomass accrual rate of periphyton in MCR.	MCR. Data collection includes:
there a long term trend in accrual?		Abundance
		 Diversity – taxonomy indices for periphyton and invertebrates
	HO_{3A} .	 Production/Biomass – chl-a, AFDW/DW, biovolume
	periphyton at channel elevations that remain	Nano-flora HTPC plate counts
	permanently wetted by minimum flow releases.	Periphyton production (both accrual and peak biomas
		are assessed using a variety of different measures of productivity. Periphytop productivity is considered using
	HO _{3B} .	the analogous measure submergence as a surrogate for
	There are no changes in accrual rates of periphyton at channel elevations that are periodically dewatered by minimum flow releases.	minimum flows because this data is easier to use in models. Periphyton models are developed that test the effects of submergence on periphyton peak biomas Future data analysis will attempt to directly lin submergence time to the periphyton productivity in three areas of the river, those permanently submerged, those in varial zone areas, and those in floodplain areas of MC
Q4.	Ho ₄ .	Artificial Samplers are deployed across the spectrum o
What is the effect of implementing minimum flows on	The implementation of the 142 m3/s minimum	submerged habitat areas on the MCR. Data collectio includes:
organisms in the section of MCR subjected to the	abundance/biomass/diversity of benthic	Abundance
influence of minimum flows? Is there a long term trend in benthic productivity?	invertebrates in MCR.	 Diversity – taxonomy indices for periphyton and invertebrates
		Production/Biomass – biomass
	Но _{4А} .	Invertebrate production is assessed using a variety or different measures of productivity. Benthic productivity
	There are no changes in abundance/biomass/diversity of benthic invertebrates at channel elevations that remain	is considered using the analogous measure submergence as a surrogate for minimum flows because this data easier to use in models. Invertebrate models ar

 Ho_{4B} .

There are no changes in abundance/biomass/diversity of benthic invertebrates at channel elevations that are periodically dewatered by minimum flow releases.

permanently wetted by minimum flow releases.

Q5.

If changes in the benthic community associated with minimum flow releases are detected, what effect can be inferred on juvenile or adult life stages of fishes? Ho₅.

The implementation of the 142 m3/s minimum flow release does not change the availability of fish food organisms in the Middle Columbia.

Potential effects of minimum flow on food for fish are considered using an index of fish food availability. The Fish Food Index (FFI) consists of three parameters, Relative Abundance, Relative Biomass, and Fish Food Preference for each different benthic taxon. Higher index values indicate a higher prevalence of preferred benthic species available as food for fish. This index is useful because it considers availability (abundance), biomass, and fish preference of benthic invertebrates as food. The fish food index is used in statistical models where a variety of measures of submergence (analogous to minimum flow) are used to test fish food availability.

developed that test the effects of submergence on invertebrate biomass, abundance, and diversity. Future data analysis will attempt to directly link submergence time to the invertebrate productivity in three areas of the

river, those permanently submerged, those in varial zone

areas, and those in floodplain areas of MCR.

Note: AFDW/DW = ash-free dry weight/dry weight; Chl-a = chlorophyll-a; HTPC = heterotrophic plate count

#101-3535 Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/





2.0 METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The MCR consists of Reaches 4 through 1 of the upper Columbia River adjacent to the town of Revelstoke, encompassing approximately 38.5 km between the Revelstoke Dam and the Upper Arrow Lake Reservoir (ALR) near Galena Bay. This study focused on Reaches 4 and 3, which exhibit more riverine-like conditions than Reaches 2 and 1. Reach 4 extends approximately 5 km from the Revelstoke Dam to the confluence of the Jordan River. Reach 3 starts at the confluence of the Jordan River and extends approximately 3.5 km downstream to the confluence with the Illecillewaet River (Figure 2-1).

Reach 4 is characterized by a trapezoidal river channel with moderate to steep banks that confine the thalweg. The depth along the thalweg ranges from approximately 1 to 5 m depending upon flows. It encompasses large areas of stable substrate consisting predominantly of larger gravels, cobble and boulder, and lesser amounts of sands, pebbles and smaller gravels that occur beneath and within the interstitial spaces of the cobble-armoured surface. The bankfull width in Reach 4 ranges from 147 – 223 m (Perrin and Chapman 2010). Big Eddy occurs at the interface of Reaches 4 and 3, immediately downstream of the Jordan River. It consists of a large, 250 m wide, deep eddy bounded along the right bank by a vertical rock face. This habitat unit provides > 6 m deep water refuge during periods of lower flow and could be considered its own reach due to the unique habitat it provides.

Upper Reach 3 is immediately below Big Eddy, where the river turns 120 degrees and the channel thalweg occurs on the left bank with a floodplain area on the right bank. The right river bed is flat with gravel substrates and has a bankfull width of approximately 360 m. Further downstream, the 2 to 8 m deep thalweg occurs in the center of the channel and substrates become progressively finer and more mobile. In lower Reach 3 below the bridges, the side braided channels are exposed when ALR water elevation is <434 m and discharge from the Revelstoke Dam is minimal. The main channel bankfull width of Reach 3 is 489 m (Perrin and Chapman 2010). Substrates in Reach 3 are finer than Reach 4 with sand, gravel and cobbles predominating throughout the reach.

The key tributaries that influence MCR are the Jordan and Illecillewaet Rivers. The Illecillewaet River is the largest tributary in the study area of MCR. The lower Illecillewaet receives treated sewage effluent from the Town of Revelstoke.







Figure 2-1: Map of the study area and sampling locations. Site names are fully defined in Table 2-1





2.2 Periphyton and Invertebrate Community Sampling Using Artificial Samplers

2.2.1 Artificial Sampler Design and Deployment

Year 8 of the CLBMON 15-b study included only fall sampling sessions, where artificial samplers were placed in the river and left for a minimum of 46 days in each session (Table 2-1). Data for previous years are available in previous CLBMON15b reports, however the sample sites used in 2014 were consistent with previous years. The same naming system was used to reference sampling sites. Site references include Reach, Site, and Transect. Samplers were deployed in Reach 3 (R3) at S3, S5, and S6. Reach 4 (R4) samplers were sampled at sites S4, S5, and S6. Finally, sites at Big Eddy (BE), bedrock (BR), and Backwater areas (BW) were also sampled.

Sampling sites at transects T1 through T6 were deployed in Reach 4 as shown in Figures 2-2 and 2-3. Transect position refers to the position of the sampler within the river cross-section. T1 samplers were positioned mid-channel, within or near the thalweg. T2 samplers were placed in slightly shallower areas than T1. Both T1 and T2 were typically permanently wetted at minimum flows. T3 through T4 were placed in the mid-channel and were wetted during moderate flow levels of 200 to 800 m³/s. T3 and some T4 positions sampled the lower varial zone. T5 and T6 samplers were placed within the upper varial zone and were only wetted during higher flows from 1000 to 1600 m³/s. During the fall 2010 sampling session, a T7 position in the infrequently wetted floodplain was also used. This sampler elevation was subsequently deleted, and new sampling sites in Reach 4 at the bedrock (BR) location were studied instead.



thalweg (low periphyton filamentous green zone

filamentous green + moss zone

diatom dominated zone

Figure 2-2: Conceptual drawing of transect positions and periphyton establishment in MCR using data collected from fall 2010 and 2012 in Reach 4



- filamentous green+, sand
- thalweg, diatom periphyton

wetted edge heavy diatom zone

low diatom, bacteria zone

Figure 2-3: Conceptual drawing of periphyton establishment in MCR using data collected from Fall 2010 and 2011 samples in Reach 3





Samplers and associated rigging were assembled and during September 9 - 11, 2014. One day was spent preparing gear, followed by deployments in both Reaches 4 and 3, when flows were minimal to moderate. Figure 2-4 illustrates our standard artificial sampler design which did not deviate from previous years, with the exception of time series samplers. Time series samplers had a concrete weight 10 m from the sampler and float attached to the rear of the plate using rope rather than the sampler anchor. At the time of deployment, the elevation and location of each artificial sampler was recorded using a Trimble R8 RTK survey system, using Survey Controller software for data collection to obtain the geodetic elevation of each sampler.



Figure 2-4: Schematic drawing of the artificial substrate sampler used in MCR

January 2016





Table 2-1: Summary of samples collected from artificial sampler deployment and retrieval in 2014

Season	Reach	Site/Habitat Type	Periphyton Samplers		Invertebrate Basket Samplers	
Fall(Sept 9th - Oct 30th)	4	Site 6 (S6)	6	6 (100) ¹	6	6 (100)
		Site 5 (S5)	6	6 (100)	6	6 (100)
		Site 4 (S4)	6	6 (100)	6	6 (100)
		Bedrock (BR)	6	6 (100)	6	6 (100)
		Time Series (TS)	10	10 (100) ¹	10	10 (100)
	3	Big Eddie (BE)	4	4 (100)	4	2 (100)
		Site 6 (S6)	6	6 (100)	6	6 (100)
		Site 5 (S5)	6	5 (83)	6	5 (83)
		Backwater (BW)	4	3 (75)	4	3 (75)
		Site 3 (S3)	6	6 (100)	6	6 (100)
2014 Tota	ls		60	58 (96)	60	58 (96)

Notes: ¹The success of weekly retrieval of time series samplers was dependent on flow conditions. Some weekly Styrofoam punches were not taken due to high flows, or the inability to pull plates. The number retrieved reflects the samplers pulled on the final time series trip.

2.2.2 Time Series Samplers

The purpose of time series collections is to understand the rates of periphyton accrual and to detect differences that may exist between permanently submerged areas and periodically dewatered areas within the varial zone. In 2010, time series samplers were deployed across the river at transect positions from T1 through T7. In these positions, observed accrual rates were very complex in response to rapid flow changes, weather during dewatered periods, and varying degrees of exposure. Subsequent effort was focussed in two key areas for better statistical strength: the deep area permanently wetted by minimum flows (T1) and the lower varial zone (T3/T4), located above the permanently wetted edge. Five time series samplers were deployed in Reach 4 in both transects positions.

Varial zone time series samplers are considered to represent conditions between T3 and T4 locations because they cannot be accurately placed, retrieved, and re-deployed at the same location/depth during sample collections. These time series samples are therefore considered to be representative of accrual in the varial zone rather than a discrete sampling location such as T3.

Time series samplers were retrieved once per week following deployment. During each weekly sampling, the light/temp loggers were wiped clean with a paper towel so light measurements from time series periphyton samplers could be compared with undisturbed loggers left in place for the entire study duration. Every week, two periphyton punches were randomly collected from the Styrofoam and were immediately packed on ice and placed in the dark until they could be delivered to Caro Labs Kelowna for chlorophyll-a analysis. Taxonomy of time series samples was not conducted in 2013 or 2014.

^{#101-3535} Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/





2.2.3 Artificial Sampler Retrieval

Artificial samplers remained in the river for a total of 48-51 consecutive days in each season. This deployment period matches earlier MCR deployments and is within the incubation period required for attainment of peak biomass defined by Perrin (2004). Spring and fall samplers were retrieved either by boat, wading or by foot on May 29 - 30 2013 for spring and October 28 – 29 2014 for fall.

Four Styrofoam punches were randomly collected from each sampler in order to assess the following metrics: 1) chlorophyll-a to give an estimate of only live autotrophic biomass; 2) Ash-Free Dry Weight (volatile solids) / total dry weight to give an estimate of the carbon component (Stockner and Armstrong, 1971); 3) taxa and biovolume to give an accurate estimate of live and dead standing crop (Wetzel and Likens, 1991); and 4) a second sample was frozen in case a sample was damaged. At the time of collection, Styrofoam punches were placed in pre-labeled containers and stored on ice in the dark until further processing.

During sampler retrieval, 1 litre composite samples of Reach 4 and Reach 3 river water were collected mid-river and analysed for drift algae originating from Revelstoke Reservoir during the fall and spring. Confirmation of the source of algae taxa were made by comparing these drift sample results to existing reservoir algae data and to their published growth habits.

Benthic invertebrate baskets were retrieved similar to previous years following guidelines developed by Perrin et al. (2004). A 250 μ m mesh net was placed beneath baskets while still in the water column to collect any invertebrates that could have been lost as baskets were lifted from the water. The net was inverted and any contents were rinsed into a labeled bucket with pre-filtered river water. The retrieved baskets were also placed in the labeled buckets until further field processing. During certain flow conditions, use of the net was conditional on safety of the crew and was not used in all circumstances, particularly when water velocity was high and the ability to safely retrieve the sampler was difficult.

Upon completion of sampler retrievals from each site, individual rocks from each basket were scrubbed with a soft brush in order to release clinging invertebrates. Washed rocks were then rinsed in the sample water, before being placed back in the basket and stored for re-use in future years. The contents from each bucket were then captured on a 100 μ m sieve, placed in pre-labeled containers and preserved in alcohol for analysis.

2.2.4 Post Processing of Periphyton Samples

Four Styrofoam punches were obtained from each artificial substrate. One 6.6 cm² punch was frozen and delivered to Caro Analytical Labs in Kelowna, BC, for the processing of low-detection limit fluorometric chl-a analysis. A larger 56.7 cm² punch was chilled and transferred to Caro Labs in Kelowna, BC for analysis of dry weight and ash free dry weight. The remaining 6.6 cm² punches were used for taxonomic identification that was completed by H. Larratt, with QA/QC and initial taxonomic verifications provided by Dr. J. Stockner. Fresh, chilled samples were examined within 48-hrs for protozoa and other microflora that are harder to identify from preserved samples. The final punch was preserved using Lugol's solution and was stored until taxonomic identification and biovolume measurements could be undertaken. Species cell density and total biovolume were recorded for each sample. A photograph archive was compiled from MCR samples. Detailed





protocols on periphyton laboratory processing are available from Larratt Aquatic. Analogous methods were used for natural substrate samples.

2.2.5 Post Processing of Invertebrate Samples

Following retrieval, fixed benthic invertebrate samples were transported to Cordillera Consulting in Summerland BC. Samples were sorted and identified to the genus-species level where possible. Benthic invertebrate identification and biomass calculations followed standard procedures. Briefly, field samples had organic portions removed and rough estimates of invertebrate density were calculated to determine if sub-sampling was required. After samples were sorted, all macro invertebrates were identified to species and all micro portions were identified following The Standard Taxonomic Effort lists compiled by the Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation for the Pacific Northwest. A reference sample was kept for each unique taxon found. A sampling efficiency of 95% was used for benthic invertebrate identification and was determined through independent sampling. Numerous keys were referenced in the identification of benthic invertebrate taxa and a partial list of references is provided in Schleppe *et al.* (2012). Species abundance and biomass were determined for each sample. Biomass estimates were completed using standard regression from Benke (1999) for invertebrates and Smock (1980) for Oligochaetes. If samples were large, subsamples were processed following similar methods. Detailed protocols on invertebrate laboratory processing are available from Cordillera Consulting.

2.3 Variable Descriptions and Analytical Methods

2.3.1 Determination of Submergence

Water and air temperature data obtained from the HOBO light/temperature loggers was the primary dataset used to determine whether an artificial sampler was submerged. Four HOBO light/temperature loggers were placed in the upland areas above the high water level within Reaches 4 and 3 to measure air temperature. Similar to Schleppe et al. (2011), a script that considered a temperature difference of ± 0.5 was used to compare samplers from permanently submerged locations with samplers across a transect. A sampler was considered exposed to air when the logger temperature differed from the permanently submerged logger by more than ± 0.5 C. However, this analysis of submergence was only partially reliable as there were times during the deployment when the air and water temperatures were within 1.5 °C of each other (Schleppe *et al.*, 2010).

To ensure that the determination of submergence was accurate, the entire database for each session was reviewed and professional judgment and field experience were used to assess whether a plate was submerged or exposed. During this review, the following criteria were used to assess whether a plate was submerged: flow, average air temperature from HOBO loggers, average water temperature, transect location, average air temperature from Environment Canada data, light intensities of exposed versus submerged samplers, and time of day. Temperature data from sites of exposure had notable highs, and we expect that localized effects such as metal frame heating may help separate similar temperature points between exposed and submersed samplers on sunny days. Data corrections were generally greatest on sites exposed to the air for longer periods.





2.3.2 Variables and Statistical Analyses

Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity was used to explore variation in benthic community composition. Data were transformed using the Bray Curtis transformation. To interpret these data, cluster diagrams using Ward clustering of the Bray Curtis matrix were constructed. Finally, a PERMANOVA (Oksanen, 2015) was used to determine if groups (either Pre/Post minimum flow, Year, Season, and Transect) were significantly different in composition. NMDS was run at both the genus and family taxonomic levels for periphyton and benthic invertebrates to investigate the effects of small and large scale taxonomic community differences within the study period. Finally, species were related to the community differences by fitting the factors on to the ordination plot using the R function Envfit (Oksanen, 2015). Only species that were significant (p<0.05) and had r^2 greater than 0.03 for invertebrates and 0.1 for periphyton were considered. These species describe the most observed variation between sites. It is important to note that reducing the species considered important reduces the ability to identify species-specific responses but is helpful to better interpret large-scale shifts in the community.

The full set of predictor data developed by Perrin and Chapman (2010) and those developed in 2010 were collected in 2011 through 2014. Temperature, light and submergence data were used to calculate a number of different predictor or explanatory variables that could test the effects of hydro operations on productivity in MCR (Table 2-2, Schleppe et al, 2010 and 2011). Variables of time are often collinear, but all of the variables developed were initially considered. To reduce collinearity, multiple attempts at different model predictor combinations were considered to choose the most appropriate variables describing submergence without omitting any potentially influential factors that may affect productivity. An exploratory analysis of final production responses to explanatory variables (Table 2-2) was completed for raw and transformed data. The intent of this step was to reveal any general patterns or trends across transects or within response variables prior to any statistical analyses. Methods described by Zuur et al. (2009) were employed to examine multi-collinearity among explanatory variables based on variance inflation factors (VIF) and correlation coefficients, avoiding inclusion of highly collinear variables (correlations coefficients > 0.7) together in descriptive models. Most collinearity in predictors originated from variables of submergence and variables for light. The final set of predictor variables chosen were selected because they were the most interpretable and most useful to address key management questions without overly inflating the variance of models due to high collinearity. It should be noted that a variety of different predictor combinations have been presented in previous years reports, and although not directly tested, the trends observed in previous years for certain predictors should still be valid because of consistency in data between years.

Six response variables for both periphyton and benthic invertebrates were modeled. Periphyton responses included: 1) abundance, 2) biovolume, 3) chlorophyll-a, 4) percentage of community that is good forage, 5) Species Richness, and 6) Simpson's Index. To determine the percentage of the community that is good forage, each species was categorized as good, fair, fair-large, fair-poor, and poor. Subsequently, the total biovolume of all "good" forage species was determined and divided by the total biovolume for each site.Similar models for invertebrate production and diversity metrics were also prepared and included: 1) abundance, 2) biomass, 3) Simpson's Index, 4) Hilsenhoff Biotic Index, 5) Species Richness, and 6) total biomass of *Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera*, and

^{#101-3535} Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/



Dipterans to estimate the effect on food for fish. Diversity and production data were transformed using either log10 or square root in order to adhere to the assumptions of least-squares multiple regression (i.e. normal distribution of residuals and heteroscedasticity of residuals). These six responses were modelled for the full set of predictor variables. Seasonal data is complicated because it interacts with many of the other predictor variables, and was therefore considered independently using a separate suite of models to reduce the need to utilize complicated interactive effects within the models.

Hilsenhoff Biotic Index is typically used as a measure of oxygen concentration in organic loading of rivers, relating water quality conditions to benthic invertebrate distributions where higher index values are indicative of low dissolved oxygen conditions and hence poor water quality. This index factors the sensitivity of different taxonomic groups to low oxygen conditions. To some extent, low oxygen conditions originating from poor water quality are similar to extremes associated with substrate dewatering. The Hilsenhoff Biotic Index is calculated as follows:

$$HBI = \sum x_i t_i / n$$

Where: x_i is the number of individuals within a taxon,

 t_i is the tolerance value of the taxon (from published literature), and n is the total number of organisms in the sample (Plafkin et al. 1989).

The relative support for the effects of these explanatory variables was evaluated using an all model combinations approach. Model uncertainty was assessed using AlCc and multi-model averaging (Burnham and Anderson 2002; Anderson 2008). We used the MuMIn package in R (Barton 2012) to compete models based on Δ AlCc values and AlCc weights (w_i), and to calculate multi-model averaged parameter estimates from 95% confidence sets for each response variable (Burnham and Anderson 2002; Grueber et al. 2011). The relative variable importance (RVI), which is the sum of AlCc weights from all models containing the variable of interest, with variables having RVI values above 0.6 considered to be of high importance in subsequent interpretations. We also calculated pseudo R² for high ranking models, derived from regressions of the observed data versus fitted values (see Cox and Snell 1989; Magee 1990; Nagelkerke 1991; Piñeiro et al. 2008 for details) which gives an indication of the proportion of the variance in response variables explanatory variables by subtracting global means from each value (centering) and dividing by two times the SD (scaling), to compare among all parameters and interpret the main effects in conjunction with interaction terms (Gelman 2008; Schielzeth, 2010).

A fish food index previously used to assess the effects of minimum flows on food for fish was not calculated in 2014. Although useful, for this report the total biomass of EPT and Dipterans were used as an alternative and was investigated to see if similar trends existed using raw data versus a more complicated index for comparative purposes. Since the Fish Food Index was heavily weighted towards EPT and Dipteran species as they are the typically the most preferred food across multiple species, this alternative was considered as an alternative measure for calculating food for fish. The biggest difference between methods is the Fish Food Index considers biomass, preference, and abundance, whereas this method only considers biomass.

January 2016



January 2016

Traditional regression methods such as stepwise multiple regression consider only one model as correct, even if alternative models are equally plausible. The model averaging approach is more objective because it simultaneously evaluates the merits of all the different working hypotheses relating operations, including minimum flow, to invertebrate and periphyton production (Johnson and Omland 2004). By objectively evaluating multiple alternative hypotheses, we are more likely to achieve an accurate understanding of how operations influence benthic production.

All statistical tests were conducted using R (R Development Core Team 2006), and model averaging was completed using the R package "MuMIn" (Barton 2009). In all analyses, independence of each sampler was assumed both within each transect and across sites. This assumption does not reflect the hierarchical sampling structure of Year / Reach / Site / Transect previously established. This model is improved from previous years because high collinearity among predictors has been addressed. Further, models treated Year, Reach, and Site as random effects. Transect was not considered as an independent variable because it is merely a categorical representation of depth which is highly correlated with light variables. It is acknowledged that this approach may not entirely address all of the variation within or between sites due to the hierarchical nature of the sampling but since the study focus is on understanding key trends rather than using models predictively, this should have little effect on conclusions reached. Finally, we acknowledge that each of the identified predictors likely plays some role in production and it is difficult to select the most appropriate predictor in cases when two are highly correlated but affect biological productivity differently. This is why different predictor / explanatory variable model combinations have been considered throughout the time period of this assessment and previous reports provide valuable insight into some of the information relied upon within this annual report.


Table 2-2:Variables used in the prediction of periphyton and benthic invertebrate response in relation to BC Hydro
operations and physical conditions during deployment. The full set of variables developed in 2010 were
prepared for 2014. Environmental predictors used in the final models presented in 2014 are shown in bold, while
the non-bold variables were considered but subsequently removed.

Variable	Definition
max_daily_light	Average daily maximum light intensity (lux)
Num_Days_Incubated	Number of days of deployment
tot_exp_hrs	Total time exposed (hrs) or out of the water
mean_exp_hrs_per_day	Average time exposed (hrs) or out of the water
min_exp_hrs_per_day	Minimum number of hours spent out of the water in one day
max_exp_hrs_per_day	Maximum number of hours spent out of the water in one day
max_cum_exp_hrs	Maximum cumulative (hrs) period of time exposed or out of the water
exp_ratio	Ratio of the total hours exposed to the total time deployed
num_time_sub_x	Number of times the site was submerged for more than nine continuous hours
tot_sub_time_hrs	Total time spent submerged (hrs) in the water
mean_sub_time_hrs_day	Average time (hrs) submerged or in the water
min_sub_time_hrs_day	Minimum number of hours spent in the water in one day
max_sub_time_hrs_day	Maximum number of hours spent in the water in one day
max_cum_sub_hrs	Maximum cumulative time (hrs) spent in the water
sub_ratio	Ratio of total hours submerged to the total time deployed
avg_daily_light	Daily light intensity averaged across the duration of time deployed
max_tot_daily_light	Total accrued light intensity over the duration of deployment (sum of all light intensity)
cum_max_int_sub	Sum of the maximum observed light intensity each day over the course of deployment
avg_day_cum_int_sub	The average daily light intensity average over the duration of deployment while submerged
sub_tot_light	Total accrued light intensity while submerged
tot_time_sub_light	Total time (hrs) spent in the light and water
avg_daily_time_water_light	Average time spent in the water and light per day over the duration of deployment
tot_exp_night	Total time spent exposed each night
mean_temp	Average temperature over the duration of deployment
max_temp	Minimum observed temperature over deployment
min_temp	Maximum observed temperature over deployment
avg_temp_sub	Average temperature while submerged
avg_temp_exp	Average temperature while exposed
avg_resElv	Average reservoir elevation over the duration of deployment
min_resElv	Minimum reservoir elevation over the duration of deployment
max_resElv	Maximum reservoir elevation over the duration of deployment
avg_flow	Averaged flow over the duration of deployment
min_flow	Minimum flow over the duration of deployment
max_flow	Maximum flow over the duration of deployment
velocity	The average velocity of two data points observed at the sampler during higher flows (i.e., >1000 m ³ /s)
substrate_score	
distance_to_thalweg	The thalweg of MCR was determined as the center of the channel at a normal operating range of 400 m ³ /s to 800 m ³ /s. The distance to the thalweg was then measured perpendicular from each site to the constructed thalweg line using GIS.
Rel. Abundance Cobble / Boulder	Relative abundance of cobble and boulder substrates
Site Class	An initial attempt was made to classify observed site level effects. Sites tested included Backwater (BW's), Bedrock (BR's), and Main stem large (upstream large substrate Reach 4), and Main stem small (downstream Pageh 2 and Pig Eddy)
Rel. Abundance of Silts, Sands (Fines), and Gravels	Relative abundance of silts, sands, and gravels in MCR
Embeddedness Score	Embeddedness was assigned a score of 1 (0-5%), 2 (5-25%, 3 (25-50%), 4 (50-75%) or 5 (75-100%)

#101-3535 Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/





2.3.3 Time Series and Artificial Sampler Assumptions

Community losses along the edges of the artificial substrate were assumed to be negligible. The effects of edges on the artificial substrate, such as the edge between tape adhesive and artificial Styrofoam sampling substrate, were considered in the same manner. Our visual observations of periphyton growth on the samplers support this assumption but we do not have empirical data to otherwise confirm it. In any case, we did not draw samples from the plate perimeters if possible, noting that in some cases, lost Styrofoam may have necessitated collecting a sampler near the edge.

The effects of foraging invertebrates were assumed to be randomly distributed over the artificial substrate within and between all sites. It is acknowledged that invertebrates may spend more time foraging along the edges of substrata and therefore disproportionately affect productivity along the perimeter of artificial samplers. Therefore, we avoided collecting samples from substrate edges unless no other viable alternative was available. Foraging intensity on samples is still considered to be small when compared to each sample as a whole, reducing any potential data skewing effects that may result from invertebrate grazing. Further, it is probable that invertebrate distributions around plates were clumped, reducing the potential for effects across multiple replicates. Finally, the populations of invertebrates in MCR were low relative to other large rivers, and we have not fully investigated the power of our sample size.

Our analysis assumed that artificial substrates did not bias results toward a given algal taxa nor did they bias towards those taxa actively immigrating at the time and location of the sampler submergence. Although we have made this assumption, data collected suggests that artificial substrate types and natural substrates do respond differently within MCR. Future investigations may be required to accurately relate artificial samplers to natural substrates.

Sampler assessments were not intended to address immigration, sloughing, or any other aspects of the periphyton community. Thus, artificial substrate samples that were obviously biased due to sloughing from rock turnover, etc. were excluded from collection. This was a field decision and was easy to make because large boulders rolling over artificial substrates left distinct trails of compressed Styrofoam. This field decision reduced the potential area available to sample, but we do not suspect that it biased the results. It is acknowledged that substrate mobility and periphyton sloughing/drift are important components of periphyton production in MCR.





3.0 RESULTS

3.1 Biophysical Characteristics of the Middle Columbia River

3.1.1 Light and Temperature in Submerged areas of MCR

Overall water temperature ranges in 2014 were similar to temperatures collected in 2010 through 2013 (Figure A-1). Numerous small spikes in temperature were observed, similar to other years. Reservoir conditions upstream are the most probable factor affecting the daily variation in observed temperature because downstream river temperatures were most dependent upon upstream reservoir conditions and the temperature/flow of tributaries (Larratt et al., 2011; Olson Russello et al., in prep). During the fall deployment period, temperatures usually vary between 10 to 15 °C at the start to 5 to 7 °C at the end, with temperatures near the end appearing to be dependent upon the date of plate removal. During the spring, initial river temperatures were cooler and ranged from 5 to 7 °C at the start, and increased near the end of deployment to 8 to 10 °C. Temperatures in the Jordan River were a contributing factor to the variation in temperature observed in Reach 3, but have not been fully investigated. Temperatures of exposed plates in the fall varied between periods of higher or lower air temperatures than the water, while in the spring air temperatures usually exceeded water temperatures. On the LCR, wintertime river temperatures were more dependent upon the temperature of the upstream reservoir, while during the fall, riverine temperatures were more dependent upon air temperature due to seasonal temperature patterns. If similar trends exist on the MCR, it is probable that springtime water temperatures are more dependent on reservoir temperature, whereas fall temperatures are more dependent on the air temperature over the summer period which determines the upstream reservoir temperature.

Light intensity patterns during fall 2014 were similar to 2010 - 2013. In accordance with the physics of light transmission through moving water with low suspended solids, light intensity on submerged samplers increased from deep sites at T1 locations to shallow sites at T6 locations in the fall (Figure A-2) and spring (Figure A-3). When samplers were in shallow water, they received more energy to support periphyton production, but these sites were also most likely to be exposed during the variable operating regime. Peak light intensities occur around noon, a period when flows were usually higher. Light intensities were substantially greater when samplers were exposed, and were highest at the T6 locations where exposure occurred the most frequently. Fall light intensities were less than half of those observed during spring deployment due to proximity to the solstice. Results from 2014 were unchanged from the mean of earlier years, with the possible exception of the shallowest T6 position because Reach 3 was back-watered through the fall 2014 deployment (Figure A-2). Spring light intensities were quite similar to previous years (Figure A-3), and indicated greater water depth in spring 2013. T-6 (shallowest) and T-3 (mid depth) appear to have higher light intensity in the morning; this could be due to low water elevation, a lack of clouds in the sky, or higher intensity sunlight than normal over the time period of sampling.

Figures 3-4 and 3-5 present the fall and spring light logger data when the samplers were exposed. They show the expected reception of far more light than was received during the water-covered periods, and the greater available light in the spring. The differences between the results at the

^{#101-3535} Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/





various transect positions would reflect a combination of aspect, riverbank shading and periphyton growth on the sensors.

3.1.2 Pattern of Flow in MCR

Several features in the MCR flow regime during the study period directly influenced productivity. The following key elements are presented in approximate chronological order:

- Minimum flows of 142 m³s were maintained from 2011 onwards (Schleppe et al., 2013, for analysis of 2011 and 2012 data).
- 2011 and 2012 were extremely high water years resulting from a combination of higher than normal snowpack and possibly higher discharge from REV 5. Small morphological and biological channel changes were observed. For instance, in 2010 there was a noticeable fungal/bacterial black colouration on substrates in Reach 4, and this was less apparent following the high water years.
- Very high flows exceeding 2000 m³/s were concentrated in the winter months but also occurred in August of 2011 and 2012. The frequency of these events was greater in 2012 than 2011, 2013 or 2014.
- Flows followed a similar pattern between years, with low flows occurring during evening periods after midnight and high flows occurring during daytime periods from 10 a.m. until 7 p.m. At all other times, flows were either ramping up or down from high or low flow periods (Figure A-6).
- High variability in high, low, and ramping flow periods was observed both between years and seasons. Daily flow patterns were similar to previous years in spring and fall 2013, with the exception that daily high flows were lower (<100 m³/sec difference) than what had been observed in 2007 2012 (Figure A-6). In 2014, the flows were slightly below average.
- The magnitude of flows 2013 and 2014 were most similar to 2007-2010, which were lower flow years than 2011 or 2012. Samplers deployed at deep sites (T1) were usually submerged at depths of 4 to 6 m during the day, whereas sites at T6 were only submerged in approximately 1 m of water during the day during 2014, compared to 2011-2012 when depths were 6 to 8 m at deep sites and 2 to 3 m at T6 during the daytime.
- The Arrow Lakes Reservoir (ALR) elevation can result in extensive back watering of Reach 3 from early June through October, and can extend into Reach 4 during the summer months (Figure A-7). Backwatering effects have been considered through the submergence variables, which do not distinguish between submergence due to backwatering and submergence due to flows in the model analyses. During 2014, back watering occurred in Reach 3 throughout the fall deployment period so that Site 5 and Site 6 upper varial zone samplers were water-covered continuously. Benthic production benefitted from the water cover and below average flows.
- Comparing the 2014 fall and 2013 spring flows to the corresponding season pre and post BRX, the 2014 fall is similar to the pre minimum floes and the 2013 spring is similar to post





minimum flows with high flows in the early morning. It appears that the 2014 fall flows tended to be lower than the average flows post BRX. 2013 spring is similar to the majority

3.2 Periphyton

3.2.1 Overview of MCR Periphyton Biofilms

Periphyton consists of two broad groups of micro-organisms, photosynthetic algae and bacteria, and non-photosynthetic bacteria and fungi. Algal periphyton production only occurs while substrates are submerged and exposed to sunlight, while the bacterial biofilm component also grows in the dark (Lear et al. 2009). For both components, growth in MCR slowed dramatically and mortality progressively increased during periods of desiccation.

Bacteria and fungi (moulds, yeasts) are pioneering organisms that can dominate the periphyton initially and again after the periphyton mat (biofilm) is well established (Fernandes and Esteves 2003). Heterotrophic bacteria counts on the artificial substrates deployed for 44-47 days during fall in MCR were relatively low compared to other large North American rivers at $0.2 - 5 \times 10^6$ colony forming unit (CFU)/cm², suggesting oligotrophic or stressed conditions (Schleppe et al. 2013). These low counts reflect repeated desiccation. Further, field observations indicated that the black band of heterotrophs and cyanobacteria that was evident in the upper varial zone prior to 2011 was much reduced after the peak 2012 flows. These peak flows created longer submergence and greater scouring along this zone.

Quantitative natural substrate samples were collected from R4 cobbles and suggested that the artificial substrate reduced the heterotrophic plate count (HTPC), and inflated the yeast component of the biofilm, but that the artificial substrate accurately reflected the mould component. Acknowledging these discrepancies, artificial substrates in Reach 3 had far more of all three biofilm components per sample than Reach 4 substrates with similar submergence times in both years (Schleppe, et al, 2012). The 2011 Reach 3 shallow yeast and heterotrophic bacteria counts were significantly higher at 1 and 5 $\times 10^6$ CFU/cm² respectively, than the other 2010 and 2011 samples. These R3 biofilm components may have been protected from 2012 flows by ALR backwatering.

A final contributor to MCR periphyton biofilm production was drift of viable phytoplankton cells originating from Revelstoke Reservoir, and to a lesser extent, from Arrow Lakes Reservoir during back-watering. Many reservoir algae are physically distinct from true periphyton algae, while others can grow in both standing and flowing environments. These cells adhered to existing periphyton and at times, significantly augmented local productivity (Table 3-1).

3.2.2 Yearly Comparisons of Periphyton Algae Sampling

The dominant diatom species in MCR were either rapid colonizing diatoms with firm attachment strategies, or drift species from Revelstoke Reservoir that adhered to the periphyton biofilm. Like most large rivers, MCR species were dominated by diatoms representing between 82 and 98% of the biovolume in all sample sites on both natural and artificial substrates (Schleppe et al., 2013). When all years of study to date are considered, diatoms accounted for 84% of the fall biovolume and 88% of the spring biovolume. Green algae accounted for 11% in the fall with large filamentous species, and 9% in the spring samples where rigorous conditions including freeze-dry events





eliminated all but the single-celled green types. The relative biomass contributions of flagellates ranged from 0.8% in the fall to 2% in the spring. Although cyanobacteria were functionally and numerically important, they only accounted 0.1% of total biovolume in the fall and increased to 1% under spring conditions that favoured species with rapid reproduction rates. The resultant growth is visible in Figure 3-1, which also shows the benefit of fall backwatering on productivity in the upper varial zone (T5,T6) of Reach 3 over Reach 4.





Figure 3-1: Example of fall 2014 incubated Styrofoam from MCR Reach 4 and Reach 3, comparing mainstem sites with cobble sand substrates. The increased periphyton growth on the upper varial zone (T5,T6) of Reach 3 (disks were dark with growth) over Reach 4 (disks remained white), indicates the increased growth at Reach 3 T5,6 due to back-watering.

The taxonomic structure of all river periphyton communities displays a tendency for predominance by a small number of taxa. In 2011 to 2014, the range of river habitats investigated was expanded to include backwater, Big Eddy and bedrock, but still found many of the same species as occurred at mainstem sites. Over the years of study, ten dominant taxa accounted for 87-91 % of total biovolume in the fall and an identical 86-92 % in the spring. The ten dominant taxa distribution was typical in fall 2014, accounting for 86% in the fall of 2014 and 86% in spring 2013.

The donation of Revelstoke Reservoir diatoms to MCR periphyton was highly variable from year to year, and will relate to production dynamics within the reservoir versus the timing of releases. Taxa that occurred in mid-river drift samples and therefor were donated by Revelstoke Reservoir

^{#101-3535} Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/



accounted for 40-70% of biovolume in fall samples and 25-60% of biovolume in spring samples to date. Example dominant species are provided in Table 3-1. They include limnoplanktonic species that can only function suspended in the water column (e.g. Asterionella) but persist after they become snared on MCR periphyton, and those that can reproduce after attachment to the periphyton (e.g. Tabellaria). In fall 2013 samples, increased periphyton biovolume occurred because there was an unusually high contribution of large diatoms from Revelstoke Reservoir. In fall 2014, the contribution to MCR periphyton made by reservoir donations was proportionately smaller at 40% Interestingly, most of the limnoplanktonic types were only found in mainstem samples and not in the backwater and Big Eddy samples. This may relate to greater exposure to drifting algae along the mainstem. Similarly, R4 samples had 34% more planktonic drift taxa than R3 samples because the algae cells progressively settle out.

Revelstoke Re	eservoir and found in MCR	drift samples, 20	007 – 2014	on taxa lounu m
		% of periphyt	on	Found outside
		biovolume in	MCR	of mainstem
Revelstoke Reservoir Taxa	Typical Growth Habit	Fall	Spring	
Asterionella formosa	limnoplanktonic	0 - 47	0 - <0.1	No
Diatoma tenue var elongatum	planktonic	2 - 18	12 - 16	Yes
Fragilaria crotonensis	limnoplanktonic	0.1 - 1.4	0-0.2	No
Synedra acus, (large spp.)	limnoplanktonic	0.1 - 9	0.1 – 5	No
Synedra nana	limnoplanktonic	0-0.2	0-0.4	No
Synedra ulna (large sp.)	limnoplanktonic	0 - 2	0.5 - 1	Yes
Tabellaria fenestrata	planktonic or attached	2 - 12	0.3 - 1	Yes
Tabellaria flocculosa	planktonic or attached	3.5	0.2 – 0.3	Yes

Table 2 4 as of biovolumos in MCD pariphyton that are

There were seasonal shifts in the dominant periphyton taxa in MCR. In all spring samples, the dominant diatoms were invariably Diatoma tenue and Synedra ulna (adhering species) along with large concentrations of rapidly reproducing single-celled green and blue-green algae. To date, spring samples had lower species diversity of 8 (T6) to 26 (T2) species/sample compared to fall samples at 11 (T6) to 31 (T2) species/sample. In fall samples, *Tabellaria fenestrata, Diatoma tenue* and *Synedra* spp. were the dominant diatoms, and there was a greater contribution made by filamentous green algae, particularly in fall 2014.

Throughout MCR, 2013 spring samples showed a typical species assemblage but at higher cell density $(2.82 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/cm}^2)$, and much higher chlorophyll-a $(0.53 \pm 0.32 \text{ ug/cm}^2)$ than in earlier years. This increase was also reflected in time series chl-a. Light data from spring 2013 was lower than spring 2011 or 2012, indicating greater water cover on MCR substrates in that year. Samples were not collected in spring 2014.

January 2016

^{#101-3535} Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/





Substrate changes between R4 and R3 were reflected in shifts among periphyton dominants. For example, species that were either adherent, colony-forming, or non-motile were more common in R4 samples (e.g. *Synedra ulna, Achnanthidium minutissima*), while species that were stalked or motile increased in R3 samples (e.g. *Didymosphenia geminata, Navicula spp.*). These changes were likely driven by increasing sand concentrations in R3.

The distribution of algae groups through the range of transect depths was consistent between years and seasons, with declining diatom density but increasing cyanobacteria and flagellates moving from the T1 to T6. When all biovolume measurements were compared to chlorophyll-a (chl-a) results, strikingly similar curves emerged (Figure 3-2). Average periphyton productivity decreased with increasing exposure as the sampler positions progress from T1/T2 through T5/T6. For the transect depths that were consistently covered by minimum flows (T1/T2), or adjacent to the wetted edge (T3) algae cell biovolume was stable. The frequently dewatered T6 and T7 locations had the lowest biovolume and chl-a, particularly in Reach 4 because only a select few periphyton species can tolerate frequent desiccation. There were similar patterns of abundance and productivity among depths between spring and fall, but with lower overall production in the spring. In general, substrates that were wetted for periods in excess of 9 hours experienced rapid periphyton growth (Schleppe et al. 2012).



Figure 3-2: Total biovolume of MCR periphyton and chlorophyll-a for 2010 to 2014 by sampler location (T1 deepest in thalweg to T7 shallowest on floodplain)

Throughout MCR and in both seasons, samplers in the permanently wetted and lower varial zone T1 through T3 had greater autotrophic periphyton production, while frequently exposed samplers showed increasing heterotrophic dominance. Most of the organic material at heterotrophic-dominated sites was decomposer microflora and non-viable organic materials such as dead diatoms, leaf litter and detritus.

#101-3535 Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/





Periphyton summary statistics are provided for all seven fall sample periods in the digital Appendix B. The fall sampling sessions demonstrated a range of mean abundance from 2.73 to 12.5 x 10^5 cells/cm² (8.31 x 10^5 cells/cm² in 2014); a range of mean biovolume of $0.98 - 6.05 \times 10^8$ microns³/cm² (6.05 x 10^8 microns³/cm² in 2014) and a range of mean chl-a of 0.49 to 1.76 ug/cm^2 (1.76 ug/cm² in 2014). For all growth metrics, the lowest year was 2012, the highest flow year to date.

With all microflora taxa included, MCR had 5 - 52 species per sample. Among the true algae taxa, mean richness was 10 ± 2 to 23 ± 6 taxa in the fall and 12 ± 4 to 20 ± 4 in the spring (Digital Appendix B, 3-4). This suggests that species richness in MCR was lower than is typical for large rivers.

Collection of AFDW (volatile solids) commenced in 2010 and interestingly, this metric dropped steadily from $4.89 \pm 3.26 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ in fall 2010 to $0.65 \pm 0.47 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ in fall 2014 (Figure 3-3). AFDW provides an estimate of all components of the biofilm and these results suggest a progressive loss not seen in the other periphyton growth metrics that focus on photosynthetic members. If this trend is genuine, and our field observations of reduced black banding suggests it is, a decline in heterotrophic members of the biofilm may have occurred over this period. They may prefer shorter inundation periods and become displaced by algae as periods of substrate submergence increase.



Figure 3-3: Volatile Solids (AFDW) in Fall MCR samples 2010 (before minimum flows) to 2014

Despite this drop, average MCR ash-free dry weight (volatile solids) samples remained in the typical range for large rivers but with considerable variation from year to year. For example, in 2013 samples, T1/T2 permanently wetted samplers averaged $0.49 \pm 0.5 \text{ mg/cm}^2$, while T5 samples averaged $0.95 \pm 3.14 \text{ mg/cm}^2$, suggesting that T5 might be a zone of organic accumulation or decomposition. The reverse was true the next year when T1/2 samplers averaged $0.70 \pm 0.5 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ and T5 samples averaged $0.62 \pm 0.4 \text{ mg/cm}^2$. These variable results are flow-driven and they highlight the volatility of MCR.

January 2016





Summary statistics are provided for the three spring sample periods in Appendix B Table A3-4. Periphyton growth metrics were stable and low, ranging from $2.54 - 2.82 \times 10^5$ cells/cm² for mean abundance, 0.16 - 0.53 ug/cm² chl-a, and $1.18 - 1.36 \times 10^8$ microns³/cm² biovolume. Average species diversity metrics were stable at 0.71 - 0.76 Simpson's index and 13 - 20 taxa. Like the fall results, AFDW results dropped from 9.28 ± 12.1 mg/cm² in spring 2011 to 0.35 ± 0.20 mg/cm² in spring 2013. Both spring means and spring maxima among growth metrics were significantly lower than fall samples from the same years. The AFDW : chl-a ratio was high in spring 2011 and 2012 samples however, this was not the case in spring 2013 when AFDW decreased by a factor of 10 and chl-a doubled compared to spring 2011 and 2012 (Digital Appendix B).

Year-round implementation of 142 m³/s minimum flows and full in-service operation of REV 5 were initiated on December 20, 2010. Samples collected before and after December 2010 are compared in Table 3-2 While species diversity was unchanged, periphyton growth metrics were 6-20% lower after the flow regime change, with 2012 having the lowest periphyton metrics and the highest flows to date. However, fall 2014 growth metrics were excellent, led by greater filamentous green algae growth that benefitted from less shear under stable, lower flows in the Reach 4 T2 zone. These large algae are slow-growing and their growth in R4 T2 zone may continue to slowly increase over the years since minimum flows were implemented (Figure 3-4). This further confirms that flow management exerts a powerful influence on the MCR periphyton community. The area covered by minimum flows may be acting as a species reservoir with distribution to periodically exposed substrates when flows ramp up. The drivers behind these shifts in periphyton metrics are addressed in the statistical modelling section 3.2.5.

14510 0								
Fall of Year	Min flows	abundance	biovolume	chl-a	AFDW	Species	Simpson's	
(all depths)		cells/cm ²	um³/cm²	ug/cm ²	mg/cm ²	Richness	Index	
2007 – 2010	Before	7.10E+05	3.67E+08	1.01	0.499	17.2	0.695	
2012		2.76E+05	1.11E+08	0.47	0.400	21.6	0.704	
2011 – 2014	After	5.68E+05	3.45E+08	1.00	0.581	22.3	0.703	

January 2016





Figure 3-4: Filamentous green algae band along the upper area of the permanently wetted zone (T2) in fall, 2014.

All growth metrics were higher in Reach 3 than Reach 4 in both spring and fall seasons. For example, over 8 fall sampling sessions, chl-a measured $1.31 \pm 1.16 \text{ ug/cm}^2$ chl-a in R3 and $0.76 \pm 0.53 \text{ ug/cm}^2$ chl-a in R4. When all samples to date for both reaches are compared, R3 had 17% higher cell abundance, 16% more biomass and 46% higher chl-a, than R4 averages.

There was no observable difference in the Simpson's index or species diversity between reaches. There are numerous mechanisms that account for similarities in species distribution in large rivers such as MCR. These include backwatering and high flow events that can shield and move benthic species to new substrate locations. Additionally, the T1/T2 area that remained wetted by minimum flows together with drifting algae from Revelstoke Reservoir can function as a source of organisms to re-colonize exposed habitat areas after catastrophic flow events.

Elevated flows can generate water velocities in the thalweg in excess of 2 m/sec (Schleppe et al. 2013). Since 2012 was the highest flow year to date, the growth metrics at T1 positions in 2012





were compared to other years (Table 3-3). As expected with higher flows, thalweg T1 periphyton abundance and biovolume dropped significantly in both reaches during 2012. Overall water velocities would have been higher in the narrower Reach 4 channel, possibly accounting for the larger periphyton declines compared to the wider Reach 3 channel. Higher flow velocities can increase sloughing of periphyton mats, and the greater water depth also lowers light penetration to the substrates.

	Table 3-3: Effects of Flow Conditions on Periphyton Productivity in Reach 3 in the Thalweg (T1) Zone									
	T1 in Fall	Flow Conditions	Abundance	n	Biovolume	n				
	of Year		cells/cm ²	#	um ³ /cm ²	#				
R3	2010,11,13, 14	typical flows	6.17 ± 2.48 x10 ⁵	17	3.07 ± 1.85 x10 ⁸	17				
	2012	very high flows	2.87 ± 4.65 x10 ⁵	3	$1.18 \pm 2.04 \times 10^8$	3				
	2010,11,13, 14	typical flows	7.48 ± 3.00 ×10 ⁵	15	5.15 ± 1.82 ×10 ⁸	15				
R4	2012	very high flows	$2.36 \pm 1.25 \text{ x}10^5$	4	$1.04 \pm 0.08 \times 10^{8}$	4				

A final aspect of MCR flow regime affected by both BC Hydro releases and by watershed hydrology is back-watering by Arrow Lakes Reservoir (ALR). This seasonal water cover should reduce desiccation on substrates that would otherwise be exposed by low flow releases. It should also increase the opportunity for limnoplankton suspended in the ALR water column to drop out onto MCR periphyton. In most years, sampler deployments in spring occurred at the lowest Arrow Lakes Reservoir levels and ended when backwatering was just starting in R3, while fall deployments commenced as backwatering declined in R3 and R4. Both deployments can be affected by backwatering with R3 receiving the greatest effect. Since the hydrologic regime in the preceding week is of greater importance to periphyton production than events that occurred further in the past (Schleppe et al. 2013), fall data should provide the best insight into the effects of backwatering on R3 productivity because of the recent loss of backwatering cover on the substrates (declining limb of hydrograph).

In 2013, light loggers on T6 samplers showed increased light (less water cover) because backwatering was minimized, while in 2014 the upper varial zone was continuously covered by back watering, resulting in far greater periphyton growth in 2014 throughout the upper varial zone (Table 3-4). Without backwatering, upper varial zone abundance dropped by about 30% and biovolume by 70% in 2013, while very high flows in 2012 apparently caused greater losses of 80% abundance and 93% biovolume. With continuous backwatering, it can exceed the productivity of deeper areas but in seasons without backwatering, it can have minimal productivity, thus making the Reach 3 upper varial zone the most changeable region for periphyton productivity in MCR. The effects of backwatering are accounted for in the statistical models because they consider duration and timing of submergence.

^{#101-3535} Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/





Fall R3 T5 & T6		Abundance	Biovolume	Ν
of year	Flow conditions	cells/cm ²	um ³ /cm ²	#
2010 and 2011	typical flows and backwatering	$4.2 \pm 2.4 \text{ x}10^5$	$3.2 \pm 2.0 \text{ x} 10^8$	12
2012	very high flows, backwatering	$0.90 \pm 0.33 \text{ x}10^5$	$0.22 \pm 0.074 \text{ x}10^8$	6
2013	average flows, no backwatering	$3.0 \pm 1.8 \times 10^{5}$	$0.96 \pm 0.53 \times 10^{8}$	6
2014	lower flows, continuous back watering	$7.3 \pm 3.4 \text{ x} 10^5$	7.65 ± 3.5 x10 ⁸	6

 Table 3-4:
 Upper varial zone (T5,T6) periphyton productivity in fall of 2010 - 2014

3.2.3 Periphyton Accrual

Time series chlorophyll-a data have been collected since 2009, and it was selected as the measure of periphyton productivity to assess accrual because it is a standard measure of production, it is available for the longest period, and it is highly correlated (R² >0.8) with abundance and biovolume in MCR data (Schleppe et al. 2011). Chlorophyll-a showed a complex curve that was still increasing after 1200 hours (50 days) in the water (Figure 3-5). The 2014 data was consistent with previous observations and it was affected by the pronounced growth of large, slow-growing filamentous green algae.







• 2010 • 2011 • 2012 • 2013 • 2014



A repeated measures ANOVA was used to analyze chlorophyll-a accrual at the T1 and T3/T4 locations within the channel for data across all years during the fall and spring (Table 3-4). Periphyton chl-a accrual rates were greater in permanently submerged locations (T1) than in varial zone locations (T3/T4) during the fall and the spring (Figure 3-6), with some variation between years. Growth rates during the first few weeks were similar between permanently submerged and varial zone areas, but by the end of deployment, permanently submerged locations had achieved a greater quantity of chl-a. These data suggest that periphyton growth was linked to submergence patterns in both spring and fall. Generally, the permanently submerged samplers at T1 benefit from continuous submergence, while the T3/4 samplers experienced greater substrate exposure and likely increased rates of mortality affecting accrual. Spring and fall chl-a accrual at T1 locations did not show a plateau typical of peak biomass after the 42 to 51 day deployment period. Rather, chl-a may continue to climb with incubation times exceeding 50 days. This is supported by very high chl-a and biovolume found on samplers that were incubated in MCR for 6 months (Schleppe et al., 2011).



Figure 3-6: Regressions of days submerged and chlorophyll-a. For the fall data, data is pooled at T1 and T3/T4 locations between 2009-2014 (R2 = 0.55). For spring data, the data is pooled for 2011 to 2013 (labelled T1 or T3) (R2= 0.86). Note that the best fit regression lines loose predictive capability when submergence periods are less than 10 days and should be considered negligible (i.e., at time 0 productivity is 0).



independent of				
Factor	Sum Squares	DF	F-Value	P-Values
Transect	2.819	2	14.167	3.16E-06
Days In	13.163	2	66.150	<2.2E-16
Year	1.526	1	15.338	1.53E-04
Transect : Days In	0.962	1	9.673	2.36E-03
Transect : Year	0.017	1	0.171	0.68
Days In : Year	0.009	1	0.092	0.76
Transect : Days In : Year	0.019	1	0.193	0.66
Residuals	11.442	115		
Transect	315.7	1	740.6823	<2.2E-16
Days In	16.346	2	19.1747	3.62E-08
Year	63.398	2	74.3705	<2.2E-16
Transect : Days In	14.205	1	33.3268	4.12E-08
Transect : Year	2.534	1	5.9451	0.02
Days In : Year	0.052	1	0.1218	0.73
Transect : Days In : Year	0.524	1	1.2297	0.27
Residuals	660.065	155		

Table 3-5:Summary of repeated measures ANOVA of periphyton accrual within
the MCR. Days in is the total number of days spent deployed,
independent of submergence.

When MCR periphyton accrual (time series) data to date are considered together, periphyton accrual was most affected by the flow regime during the preceding 30 to 70 days, with the most recent event tending to exert the greatest influence on overall productivity. Catastrophic events where mortalities exceeded 50% have been detected on several occasions over the time series deployments. The periphyton standing crop drops to low levels after these events, thus these catastrophic events act to almost "reset" the community and productivity. These events occur most often during long periods of exposure that exceed 72 hours or last until channel substrate and interstitial spaces between the substrate is 100% dry, when temperatures are either above 20 °C or below -5 °C. Catastrophic events have a far greater effect on productivity than physical processes such as velocity or light intensity.

3.2.4 Periphyton Community Groupings

Community analyses of the full 2007 – 2014 database were completed at the genus and family levels. When the analyses at these taxonomic levels are compared, NMDS results suggest that at the genus level, there was high inter-annual and seasonal variation, with potential effects of either implementation of minimum flow, operational flow patterns, or taxonomist (via a year effect) (Table 3-6, Figure 3-7). At the family level, these taxonomic differences at the year, season, reach, and transect were also observed. Previous analyses have identified that many of these factors disappear when the data is analyzed at higher taxonomic levels. These results infer that large-scale shifts in periphyton communities did not occur. Rather, the data indicate that all differences were confined to shifts at lower taxonomic levels and were more typical of annual species shifts than of a direct periphyton community response to minimum flows.

^{#101-3535} Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/



Although the change of taxonomist may account for some of the data differences observed between 2007-2009 and 2010-2014, it appears that annual operational patterns do affect periphyton community at lower taxonomic levels, either because they created specific habitat conditions that favoured different species and/or because species donation from Revelstoke Reservoir changed by year and by season. This is supported by the significant effect of transect depth, resulting in differing communities when the periphyton data were evaluated at the genus level (Figures 3-7, 3-8). For example, *Asterionella* was very common prior to 2010, but was rare in recent years and it has been identified as a key species affecting community similarity. *Asterionella* is exclusively a reservoir phytoplankton, although it may remain viable on a periphyton mat. Other phytoplankton from the reservoir *Ankistrodesmus* and *Fragilariforma* were also important. Other examples of taxa important to periphyton community metrics include small cyanobacteria that are fast growing taxa, and they appeared to influence some of the annual groups as well.

January 2016





Table 3-6:Summary of the effects of year, season, reach, flow condition, and transect on periphyton
communities in the MCR.

Genus					Fam	ily	
Factor	R_Stat	F_Stat	p val.	Factor	R_Stat	F_Stat	p val.
year	0.11	50.92	0.001	year	0.08	38.18	0.001
season	0.06	28.55	0.001	season	0.07	31.39	0.001
reach	0.01	6.05	0.001	reach	0.01	5.12	0.002
flow	0.10	48.89	0.001	flow	0.09	44.04	0.001
transect	0.09	6.05	0.001	transect	0.12	9.67	0.001







ID Number	Family	ID Number	Family
1	Chromulinaceae	5	Pico-Flagellates
2	Chroomonadaceae	6	Prasiolaceae
3	Fragilariaceae	7	Prymnesiaceae
4	Not Identified Flagellates	8	Synechococcacea

Figure 3-7: NMDS of periphyton abundance at the family level, grouped by year, by season, by Pre and Post flow regime change, and by transect location for data collected between 2007 and 2014. These figures suggest that there were distinct differences between years, between flow periods, and smaller differences between spring vs. fall or between reaches.

^{#101-3535} Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/







ID Num	Genus	ID Num	Genus	ID Num	Genus
1	Ankistrodesmus	6	Cyclotella	11	Pico-Flagellates
2	Anomoeoneis	7	Eucocconeis	12	Staurosira
3	Asterionella	8	Fragilariforma	13	Stichococcus
4	Chroomonas	9	Not Identified Flagellates	14	Synechococcus sp.
5	Chrysochromulina	10	Ochromonas	15	Tabellaria

Figure 3-8: NMDS of periphyton abundance at the genus level, grouped by year, by season, by Pre and Post flow regime change, and by transect location for data collected between 2007 and 2014. These figures suggest that there were distinct differences between years, between flow periods, and smaller differences between spring vs. fall or between transect locations.

#101-3535 Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/





3.2.5 Periphyton Production Models

Results of periphyton production models are considered in a broad sense below. The intent is to understand the underlying processes that affect periphyton production rather than explain specific results of any given production response. This approach is advantageous because it considers multiple production responses (n=6), and facilitates future development of spatial models that can predict productivity under different flow scenarios.

Only three outliers were removed in 2014 from the fall dataset. This step improved both adherence to model assumptions and the interpretation of larger-scale processes that affect periphyton productivity. These T7 sites were generally exposed for such long periods that they had extremely low production estimates regardless of other factors and were more similar to infrequently wetted lower flood plain areas.

A summary of the model averaged models is found in Appendix A.





3.2.5.1 Fall

This section considers the entire river cross section for transect location spanning the thalweg to the upper varial zone in the fall, while the next section considers only the spring periods. Model averaging clarifies the influence of the key drivers of periphyton production in the MCR system.

Several significant trends were observed across all measures of periphyton production, most notably that production increased with increasing daytime submergence, and increasing cumulative light intensity (Figures 3-9 and 3-10). Periphyton abundance increased up to approximately 600 hours of submergence and then stabilized until approximately 1000 hours. After 1000 hours of submergence, it appears that there may be a second growth period, likely indicative of more stable submergence patterns. Additionally, substrate size may contribute to an increase in abundance. (Figure 3-11). Substrate exposure apparently increases periphyton mortality and reduces overall species richness.

Interestingly, good available periphyton forage appeared to decrease with increasing submergence (Figures 3-10 and 3-12). This counter-intuitive result is likely indicative of high velocity scouring that preferentially strips large periphyton taxa from deep, permanently submerged sites. The distance to the center of the channel also appeared to influence species richness and Simpson's index of diversity, where a more diverse assemblage was observed at sites farther from the center of the channel. This is likely the result of increased diversity observed on backwater sites, which are far from the thalweg and have high diversity because both settling diatoms tolerant of high velocities and those species favouring calmer water were more prevalent.



Figure 3-9: Model averaged parameter coefficients and 95% confidence limits from model averaged linear mixed effects models for each given dependent variable. Each plot represents the probability of a given predictor, described on the left side of the plot, to be in the best AIC model (this probability is described by the RVI value on the right side of the plot). The predictors are also sorted based on this probability. Predictors have been standardized to allow direct comparison of coefficients (x-axis). The corresponding coefficient and its 95% confidence interval are represented on the plot. Those variables with 95% confidence intervals overlapping zero are considered of low significance as the direction of their effects are inconsistent among models.



Figure 3-10: Model averaged parameter coefficients and 95% confidence limits from model averaged linear mixed effects models for each given dependent variable. Each plot represents the probability of a given predictor, described on the left side of the plot, to be in the best AIC model (this probability is described by the RVI value on the right side of the plot). The predictors are also sorted based on this probability. Predictors have been standardized to allow direct comparison of coefficients (x-axis). The corresponding coefficient and its 95% confidence interval are represented on the plot. Those variables with 95% confidence intervals overlapping zero are considered of low significance as the direction of their effects are inconsistent among models.





3.2.5.2 Spring

Several significant trends were observed across all measures of spring periphyton production, most notably that production increased with increasing submergence (i.e., total time in the water during daylight). Like the fall results, periphyton abundance increased until approximately 600 hours of submergence and then stabilized until approximately 1000 hours. After 1000 hours of submergence, it appears that there may be a second growth period, likely indicative of more stable submergence patterns but this trend is not as clear as it is the fall. Interesting, and different from the fall, abundance and biovolume decreased with cumulative light intensity (Figure 3-11 and 3-12). Also similar to the fall, species richness increased with increasing submergence. Substrates appeared to have the greatest effect on Simpson's Index. The quantity of good available periphyton forage appeared to increase with increasing water temperatures, suggesting that annual trends in spring periphyton communities are influenced by temperatures increases, and are therefore affected by the timing of deployment. Since there are fewer data available for the spring, determination of specific trends is more challenging. The most consistent trend appears to be the relationship between increasing measures of production with increasing submergence.



Figure 3-11: Model averaged parameter coefficients and 95% confidence limits from model averaged linear mixed effects models for each given dependent variable. Each plot represents the probability of a given predictor, described on the left side of the plot, to be in the best AIC model (this probability is described by the RVI value on the right side of the plot). The predictors are also sorted based on this probability. Predictors have been standardized to allow direct comparison of coefficients (x-axis). The corresponding coefficient and its 95% confidence interval are represented on the plot. Those variables with 95% confidence intervals overlapping zero are considered of low significance as the direction of their effects are inconsistent among models.



Figure 3-12: Model averaged parameter coefficients and 95% confidence limits from model averaged linear mixed effects models for each given dependent variable. Each plot represents the probability of a given predictor, described on the left side of the plot, to be in the best AIC model (this probability is described by the RVI value on the right side of the plot). The predictors are also sorted based on this probability. Predictors have been standardized to allow direct comparison of coefficients (x-axis). The corresponding coefficient and its 95% confidence interval are represented on the plot. Those variables with 95% confidence interval are represented on the plot. Those variables with 95% confidence intervals overlapping zero are considered of low significance as the direction of their effects are inconsistent among models.





3.3 Benthic Invertebrates

3.3.1 Yearly Comparisons of Benthic Invertebrate Sampling

Relative biomass and relative abundance of benthic invertebrates varied between years at the lowest taxonomic levels of identification (family to genus). Generally, members of *Hydra* sp., Chironomids, and small Tubificid worms were the most abundant, accounting for over 75% of the total abundance in all years and seasons studied. Although not as numerically abundant, members of the EPT taxonomic groups increased relative biomass greatly compared to their contribution to relative abundance (Appendix B). These trends were consistently observed in both spring and fall data. In most samples, approximately 10 species made up over 90% of the total abundance or biomass at any sampling location.

Abundance and biomass tended to be slightly greater in R3 than R4 during most years and seasons, with standard deviations within a given year/season consistently higher than the mean. Species richness also varied among years with the lowest values in fall months occurring in 2009-2010, and highest in sampling periods of 2011 and 2014. Species richness also appeared to be slightly greater in R3 than R4 in some years and was low and stable among years in the spring (Appendix B). In contrast, while some variability was observed in percent EPT, percent Chironomidae, Simpson's Index, and Hilsenhoff index, were much more consistent among years and seasons. Benthic invertebrates were usually more abundant in the fall than in spring, however effects of flow, season, or year were not readily apparent. Chironomidae were much more prevalent than EPT taxa, and accounted for 30-75% of the total abundance in the spring or fall at any site. EPT taxa were most prevalent in 2013-2014, when they accounted for 2-5% of the total abundance in the fall and spring. Greater abundance of EPT taxa corresponded with years of higher average flow and associated increased submergence of substrates within varial zones. Although the Jordan River was not sampled in 2013 or 2014, it is considered to be an important source of invertebrates for areas within Reach 3 and may partially explain the increased diversity observed in R3 sites.

Abundance, biomass, species richness, and percent Chironomidae were highest from the mid channel to the lower varial zone (T1-T3) and declined with decreasing depth and increased exposure in the mid to upper varial zone (T5-T7). Contrary to these trends, Simpson's and Hilsenhoff indices were relatively consistent across all portions of the channel, and these indices are less prone to variations in abundance than more direct measures such as biomass.





3.3.2 Benthic Invertebrate Community Groupings

Community analyses of the full 2007 – 2014 dataset were completed at the genus and family level in 2014. Similar to previous years, genus data suggest that year, season, reach, and flow period (pre and post minimum flows) are important determinants of the invertebrate community (Table 3-7, Figures 3-13 and 3-14). Patterns of submergence also appeared to affect the invertebrate community (effect of transect). Results at the family level were similar.

Similar to periphyton, there was high inter-annual and seasonal variation when data were analyzed at the genus level. At the family level of taxonomic identification, these trends were not as apparent but were still present. This implies that over the sample period, large-scale shifts in benthic communities were not observed and that trends were associated with high inter-annual variation, season, and location within the river channel. Difference in taxonomist are only expected to account for some of the differences observed between years, while most of the observed inter-annual variation is associated with the BC Hydro operating regime over the sample period (Table 3-7).

In 2014, an attempt was made to determine which taxa were contributing to variation between sites, years, and transects. Taxa that were significant using the function Envfit (Oksanen, 2015) and contributed the most to variation (i.e., those with $r^2 > 0.03$) were determined to be the taxa that contributed most to the observed differences. The only relevant fish food taxa identified this way was a Trichopteran (Family *Leptoceridae*, Genus *Ceraclea sp.*), meaning that this species is the only fish good taxa affecting the similarity in community structure between sites. Other taxa were identified but they were considered to have lower food value for fish, suggesting that future investigations identify key species to compare for community differences (e.g., only consider taxa such as EPT and Diptera).

Genus					Far	nily	
Factor	R_Stat	F_Stat	p val.	Factor	R_Stat	F_Stat	p val.
year	0.0652214	29.164706	0.001	year	0.0652214	29.164706	0.001
season	0.0493435	21.696155	0.001	season	0.0493435	21.696155	0.001
reach	0.0100671	4.250851	0.001	reach	0.0100671	4.250851	0.001
flow	0.067754	30.379506	0.001	flow	0.067754	30.379506	0.001
transect	0.0546091	3.976051	0.001	transect	0.0546091	3.976051	0.001

 Table 3-7:
 Summary of the effects of year, season, reach, flow condition, and transect on invertebrate communities in the MCR.







Figure 3-13: NMDS of benthic abundance at the genus level grouped by flow period (per and post minimum flows) and Transect (T1 Deep to T6-shallow) for data collected between 2007 and 2014.

#101-3535 Old Okanagan Hwy, Westbank BC V4T 3J6 Tel:250-707-0095 Fax: 250-707-0166 http://www.syilx.org/







Figure 3-14: NMDS of benthic abundance at the family level grouped by flow period (per and post minimum flows) and Transect (T1 Deep to T6-shallow) for data collected between 2007 and 2014.

3.3.3 Benthic Invertebrate Production Models

The results of invertebrate production models are similar to that of periphyton in a broad sense. The study intent is to understand the underlying processes that affect invertebrate production





rather than explain specific results of any given production response. This approach is advantageous because it allowed evaluation of multiple production responses, and facilitated future development of spatial models that can predict productivity under different flow scenarios.

Only one outlier was removed in 2014 from the spring dataset. This step improved both adherence to model assumptions and the interpretation of larger-scale processes that affect periphyton productivity.

A summary of the model averaged models is found in Appendix A.

3.3.3.1 Fall

Several significant trends were observed across all measures of invertebrate production during the fall, most notably that production increased with increasing daytime submergence (Figure 3-15 and 3-16). The biomass of EPT+Dipterans was consistent for the first 300 to 750 hours and then appeared to increase at 750 to 1000 hours of submergence. Abundance, biovolume, and species richness also appeared to decrease with decreasing substrate score. This trend was driven by communities at bedrock locations, while the invertebrate communities were more similar in most other areas. The HBI increased with increasing distance from the thalweg, likely the result of a combination of increasing exposure and a higher predominance of tolerant taxa such as dipterans that were found at the backwater locations. Most other explanatory variables were not as important or reliable as predictors of invertebrate production in the fall.



Figure 3-15: Model averaged parameter coefficients and 95% confidence limits from model averaged linear mixed effects models for each given dependent variable. Each plot represents the probability of a given predictor, described on the left side of the plot, to be in the best AIC model (this probability is described by the RVI value on the right side of the plot). The predictors are also sorted based on this probability. Predictors have been standardized to allow direct comparison of coefficients (x-axis). The corresponding coefficient and its 95% confidence interval are represented on the plot. Those variables with 95% confidence intervals overlapping zero are considered of low significance as the direction of their effects are inconsistent among models.

January 2016



Figure 3-16: Model averaged parameter coefficients and 95% confidence limits from model averaged linear mixed effects models for each given dependent variable. Each plot represents the probability of a given predictor, described on the left side of the plot, to be in the best AIC model (this probability is described by the RVI value on the right side of the plot). The predictors are also sorted based on this probability. Predictors have been standardized to allow direct comparison of coefficients (x-axis). The corresponding coefficient and its 95% confidence interval are represented on the plot. Those variables with 95% confidence intervals overlapping zero are considered of low significance as the direction of their effects are inconsistent among models.





3.3.3.2 Spring

Several significant trends were observed across all measures of invertebrate production during the spring, most notably that production increased with increasing daytime submergence (Figure 3-17 and 3-18). Similar to the fall, the biomasses of EPT+Diptera were consistent for the first 300 to 750 hours and then appeared to increase at 750 to 1000 hours of submergence. Abundance, biomass, and EPT+D also appeared to increase with increasing distance to the thalweg, the result of the highly productive backwater areas. This is supported by the trend of increasing abundance, biomass and EPT+D at sites with smaller substrates. Most other explanatory variables were not as important or reliable as predictors of invertebrate production in the spring.



Figure 3-17: Model averaged parameter coefficients and 95% confidence limits from model averaged linear mixed effects models for each given dependent variable. Each plot represents the probability of a given predictor, described on the left side of the plot, to be in the best AIC model (this probability is described by the RVI value on the right side of the plot). The predictors are also sorted based on this probability. Predictors have been standardized to allow direct comparison of coefficients (x-axis). The corresponding coefficient and its 95% confidence interval are represented on the plot. Those variables with 95% confidence intervals overlapping zero are considered of low significance as the direction of their effects are inconsistent among models.


Figure 3-18: Model averaged parameter coefficients and 95% confidence limits from model averaged linear mixed effects models for each given dependent variable. Each plot represents the probability of a given predictor, described on the left side of the plot, to be in the best AIC model (this probability is described by the RVI value on the right side of the plot). The predictors are also sorted based on this probability. Predictors have been standardized to allow direct comparison of coefficients (x-axis). The corresponding coefficient and its 95% confidence interval are represented on the plot. Those variables with 95% confidence intervals overlapping zero are considered of low significance as the direction of their effects are inconsistent among models.





4.0 DISCUSSION

4.1 Overview of MCR Habitat Zones and Conditions

Like all regulated rivers, periphyton and benthic invertebrate components of the MCR benthic community are sensitive to changes in habitat conditions, and are good indicators of hydrologic disturbance (Biggs and Close 1989; Blinn et al. 1995). A long-term monitoring program of MCR periphyton and benthic invertebrates is ongoing to determine the effects of minimum flows and REV 5 flows. The key objective of the 142 m³/s minimum flow strategy is to enhance the productivity and diversity of benthic communities in the MCR by increasing the permanently wetted area by an estimated 32–37% (Golder 2012). This discussion summarizes the findings from the 2007 to 2014 field surveys and subsequent analyses.

The typical daily pattern of flow in the MCR consists of high flows during the day and low flows at night, corresponding with peak power production and usage. Within this general pattern, flows are highly variable on a day-to-day basis. Freshet flows and storm events augment regulated flows and can cause periods with unusually high flows such as freshet 2012. Extreme events (flows in excess of 1800 m³/s, or minimum flows of 142m³/s that extend beyond 48 hours) occur regularly and can result in large-scale die-off of benthic communities. Extreme events, coupled with routine BC Hydro operations, ultimately determine the benthic community structure and productivity within MCR.

The 2007-2014 data suggests that benthic communities in the MCR occurred in three large zones created by the operating regime over the preceding 30 to 70 days. First, there were permanently submerged areas that were sampled at T1 (thalweg, mid channel) and T2 (channel edge at minimum flow) transect locations. These permanently wetted habitats functioned like those in other large rivers, with physical habitat attributes such as velocity, light, substrate stability and water temperature controlling periphyton and invertebrate community structure and productivity. MCR data suggests that within these areas wetted by minimum flows, densities and biomass of both periphyton and invertebrate communities mimicked those of a more natural large river system that is oligotrophic or stressed (Table 4-1). Similar to most large rivers, MCR periphyton production in permanently wetted areas was negatively correlated with velocity and substrate embeddedness, and positively correlated with increasing light intensity and substrate size. Peak production occurred near the edge of the permanently wetted channel at T2 locations where shear stress was less, light penetration was greater, substrates were stable, and the effects of scour and saltation were not as pronounced as they were near the thalweg at T1 locations. Furthermore, time series data suggests that extreme high flow events that generated velocities in excess of 2 m/s coincided with thinning of the periphyton community in the T1 thalweg zones. These high velocities were theoretically sufficient to cause shearing of filamentous algae (Flinders and Hartz 2009), and to mobilize sand particles that cause further periphyton thinning through abrasion (Gregory et al. 1991; Goudie 2006; Luce et al. 2010). During 2014, a noticeable, highly productive band of filamentous green algae was observed at T2 locations, mostly in Reach 4. These highly productive taxa were present up to and slightly above the elevation of minimum flow, but were noticeably absent from higher elevations. This band may be slowly increasing in





density and diversity in the years since the implementation of minimum flows, and/or it may have developed with the lower, more stable flow regime in fall 2014.

The second habitat condition that exists in the mid-channel area of MCR was much more variable and dynamic. It occurred above the boundary of the permanently wetted habitat in what is termed the lower varial zone, typified by T3-T4 sampler locations. The width of the productive area in the lower varial zone varied depending upon the BC Hydro operating regime and channel morphology. The fluctuations between submergence and exposure usually occurred at night and resulted in less desiccation than the equivalent exposure period in daylight hours (Self and Larratt, 2013; Vincent 2007). Further, these areas were submerged during moderate flow events (between 600 to 800 m³/s), which occurred more frequently than higher flow events. The heterotrophic components of the biofilm can continue growing in damp substrates in the dark, while the photosynthetic components cannot, resulting in greater heterotrophic contributions to overall production in this zone. The invertebrate community underwent periods of growth and decline depending on how the recent operating regime coincided with their life cycles. Not unexpectedly, the variable hydrologic conditions of MCR tended to select for rapid colonizers and rapid reproducers, resulting in high biomass communities of diatoms and filamentous green algae where nutrient conditions allow (Biggs 2000; Biggs and Kilroy 2000). The lower varial zone is productive and an important component of the overall productivity of MCR. However, the time series accrual rate at T3 positions was significantly slower than T1 positions during most seasons and years. In the LCR, the total time spent in variable submergence, prior to a more permanent submergence has also been shown to be an important factor affecting the time required to achieve peak biomass. Thus, a variable submergence regime and the patterns of submergence are factors that directly affect the productivity of riverine reaches of MCR. Statistical modelling provides further support of this because factors such as daytime submergence and substrate exposure were all-important predictors of both periphyton and benthic invertebrate community development in the lower varial zone.

The third habitat unit found in the MCR was the upper varial zone, located in the frequently dewatered area and typified by our T5 through T7 locations. It was less productive than the lower varial zone because its productivity was curtailed by regular daytime fluctuations between submergence and exposure. These conditions resulted in a benthic community that underwent brief periods of growth and frequent collapses determined by the timing and duration of exposures and how they intersected benthic invertebrate life cycles. Although the upper varial zone periphyton community had a similar structure to deeper zones, reduced species diversity and accrual rates indicated stress, particularly to the photosynthetic microflora. Periphyton production commenced and rapid growth occurred after the substrates were wetted during daylight hours for periods in excess of 9 hours (Schleppe et al. 2012). Periphyton production halted when the substrates were dewatered during the day because normal cell processes couldn't continue and desiccation stress reduced survival. The upper varial zone became more heterotrophic as the frequency or duration of drying events increased. This finding is also supported by the importance of frequency of 12-hour submergence events and total incubation time in the water and light, identified in modelling data for the periphyton autotrophic index in previous years (Schleppe et al., 2013).





The floodplain zone commenced beyond the upper varial zone and it was wetted only in very high flows and was not a significant contributor to MCR productivity. It did not produce true aquatic species, but rather it supported a riparian microflora community including aerial cyanobacteria, fungi, and heterotrophic bacteria. It did donate terrestrial detritus during flows exceeding 1700 m³/s, but these floodplain benefits occurred infrequently and were mostly associated with allochthonous nutrient input rather than production originating from benthic community development. Infrequent floodplain contributions are typical of larger rivers (Doi 2009).

The boundaries between these zones were dynamic, and depended upon the average flow regime during the preceding 30-70 days, based on MCR time series data and that collected in LCR (Olson et al., in prep). Growth within these zones occurred rapidly until least 6 months provided conditions were appropriate for benthic community development. The width of the productive lower varial zone was expanded by stable flows in the 400 to 800 m^3/s range. The ever-changing hydrologic patterns in the varial zone induced a benthic invertebrate community that was in a constant state of recovery following periods of exposure of >48 consecutive hours (Schleppe et al. 2012). Further, invertebrate recovery after a catastrophic event could take several weeks (Table 4-2) or more and was dependent upon the life-stage of the invertebrates at the time of the event. Periphyton recovery was frequently faster than invertebrate recovery because bacteria and cyanobacteria form organic coatings that pre-condition dewatered substrates, allowing faster recolonization (Stockner 1991; Wetzel 2001, Robson 2000). Our desiccation/re-wetting experiments (2010) indicated that resumption of growth occurred faster for species capable of rapidly producing desiccation-resistant structures such as akinetes or extracellular mucilage. Even with these strategies, the rate of desiccation can exceed the rate at which these structures can be produced, particularly during daytime drying (Stanley et al. 2004). Periphyton species that do not have strategies capable of allowing them to withstand repeated exposure would presumably become eliminated from the varial zones of MCR, resulting in the observed homogeneity of the periphyton community structure throughout the varial zone. Such pressures on the invertebrate and periphyton communities are common to all large rivers, however, BC Hydro operations create a larger, and more dynamic varial zone in the MCR than would otherwise be expected.

For many reasons, the rates of de-watering influence the mode of periphyton and benthic invertebrate recovery. In large rivers, rapid water loss such as ramping down hydro releases restricts or prevents in-situ recovery by reproduction and causes benthic recovery to be driven by recolonization (Stanley et al. 2004). Periphyton originating from the Revelstoke Reservoir is therefore expected to be important to periphyton recovery while drift of invertebrates from tributaries is expected to be important to benthic invertebrate recovery in MCR.

The rate at which periphyton biofilms recover is dependent on their complexity. Biofilm bacteria are capable of division every 20-30 minutes and cyanobacteria every 6 – 24 hours. Five hours of saturating light per day can support a diatom division every 2-3 days in summer and every 4-6 days in winter (Capblanco and Decamps 1978; DeRuyter van Steveninick et al. 1992; Gosselain et al. 1994) As a result, bacteria can colonize natural and artificial surfaces within a few hours (Gerchakov et al., 1976; Fletcher, 1980; Dempsey, 1981), while diatoms and other microbes immigrate onto substrates within a day to several weeks (Cundell and Mitchell 1977; Colwell et al. 1980; Hoagland et al. 1993).





4.2 Comparison of MCR to other Large Rivers

Most of the artificial substrate periphyton data collected to date indicates that regularly wetted MCR substrates are moderately productive compared to similar substrates in other rivers of its size. However, the open-celled Styrofoam used in these trials may exaggerate production from 20% (Perrin et al. 2004) to as much as 400% (median = 200%) based on our preliminary natural substrate samples from the upper varial zone (Schleppe et al. 2013). If the artificial sampler data are corrected by the median potential inflation of periphyton production, the corrected results suggest that MCR production is consistent with an oligotrophic or stressed river system (Table 4.1). Furthermore, the natural substrate samples had far higher proportions of cyanobacteria, particularly in the sand from the cobble interstices in Reach 4. The natural cobble samples also had higher proportions of the slower-growing filamentous green algae than the comparable artificial substrate samplers. Other researchers have found a similar under-representation of these algae groups on artificial substrates (Cattaneo and Amireault 1992). An oligotrophic or stressed river is expected to have <20 – 40 species richness (Table 4.1), whereas MCR had 5 - 52 species per sample. The relatively high species richness on inundated substrates may be a result of supplemental taxa imported with flows released from Revelstoke Reservoir. In summary, MCR production is probably low compared to other large rivers, particularly in regularly dewatered areas, where even the open-celled substrate samples show the low benthic production expected of a stressed river system.

Metric	Oligotrophic or stressed	Typical large rivers	Eutrophic or productive	MCR (values bolded in bracket = 6 month samples)
Number of taxa (live & dead)	<20 - 40	25 – 60	Variable	5 - 52 (39-50)
Chlorophyll-a ug/cm ²	<2	2 – 5	>5 – 10 (30+)	0.04 – 6.3 (0.59-2.0)
Algae density cells/cm ²	<0.2 x10 ⁶	1 - 4 x10 ⁶	>1 x10 ⁷	<0.02 – 1.5 x10 ⁶ (0.9 – 13.1x10 ⁶)
Algae biovolume cm ³ /m ²	<0.5	0.5 – 5	20 - 80	0.03 - 10 (0.6 - 5.9)
Diatom density frustules/cm ²	<0.15 x10 ⁶	1 - 2 x10 ⁶	>20 x10 ⁶	<0.01 – 0.6 x10 ⁶ (0.2-1.0 x10 ⁶)
Biomass – AFDW mg/cm ²	<0.5	0.5 – 2	>3	0.12 – 4.8 (0.35-3.5)
Biomass –dry wt mg/cm ²	<1	1 – 5	>10	0.7 – 80 (6-99)
Organic matter (% of dry wt)		4 – 7		1 – 10 (2-7)
Bacteria count sediment. HTPC CFU/cm ²	<4 -10 x10 ⁶	$0.4 - 50 \times 10^{6}$	>50×10 ⁶ - >10 ¹⁰	0.2 – 5 x10 ⁶
Fungal count CFU/cm ²	<50	50 – 200	>200	<250 – 6000
Accrual chl-a ug/cm ² /d	<0.1	0.1 – 0.6	>0.6	0.001 - 0.1 shallow;
				0.005 - 0.38 deep

Table 4-1:	Summary of typical MCR periphyton metrics from spring and fall 2010 - 2014, with comparison to
	oligotrophic, typical, and productive large rivers





Comparison data obtained from Flinders and Hartz 2009; Biggs 1996; Peterson and Porter 2002; Freese et al. 2005; Durr and Thomason 2009; Romani 2010; Biggs and Close 2006.

4.3 **Overview of Benthic Communities**

The physical habitat condition on the MCR created three spatial zones of productivity during the study period: 1) those that are permanently submerged, 2) those in the lower varial zone, and 3) those in the upper varial zone. Despite the establishment of three distinct benthic communities with variable dominant species, both periphyton and invertebrate communities were relatively stable when viewed at the family taxonomic level. Benthic communities also followed annual and seasonal patterns of growth. Periphyton production metrics measured in the spring were all less than half of the fall deployments. We expect this is because night outages exposed both the upper and lower varial zone substrates to freezing temperatures, and because low water temperatures reduce enzymatic activity and slow growth even in the rapidly reproducing bacterial biofilm (Wetzel 2001). The MCR benthic community structure is stable but is still subject to seasonal variation, in addition to habitat condition over the preceding 2 to 6 months.

MCR periphyton communities were dominated by diatoms representing between 82 and 98% of the biovolume at all sample sites. Other species, such as filamentous green algae were more prevalent near the edge of permanently wetted areas (T2), and in the lower varial zone (T3/T4) where stable substrates were present. The small-celled flagellates, cyanobacteria, and colonial greens were numerous but rarely exceeded 1.5% of the biovolume in MCR samples. Finally, in upper varial zone areas, periphyton communities transitioned from "producers" to "consumers", as indicated by the Autotrophic Index at T5/T6 locations. AFDW (volatile solids) results have dropped steadily from fall 2010 to fall 2014, suggesting a progressive loss of consumers or detritus not seen in the other periphyton growth metrics that focus on photosynthetic members. If this trend is genuine, and our field observations of reduced black banding suggests it is, a decline in heterotrophic members of the biofilm may have occurred following the high 2012 flows.

Benthic invertebrate communities were also dominated by those that are more tolerant of disturbance, such as chironomids (Tonking et al. 2009) which are often overrepresented in flow managed rivers (Bunn and Arthington 2002)). EPT taxa and chironomids appeared to be more abundant along the edge of minimum flow (T2) or in the lower varial zone (T3), as suggested by our modelling of permanently submerged habitats in previous years (Schleppe et al, 2014). Although the major taxonomic group contributions of periphyton and benthic taxa remained the same, the dominant species varied with annual BC Hydro operations, weather conditions (e.g., freezing temperatures during night time low flows or hot weather and lower flows during daylight hours), and other prevailing MCR conditions.

Statistical modelling results identified two important factors that were determinants for both periphyton and invertebrate community development. First, submergence was a consistent and vital predictor of benthic production and diversity. Submergence metrics are probably the most important predictors of the benthic community because all of the best predictors for any metric of productivity for either periphyton or benthic invertebrates were associated with some measure of submergence or analogous measure of exposure. Second, physical parameters such as substrate type and velocity were identified as key factors determining periphyton and invertebrate community establishment. These physical parameters were more important





determinants of community in permanently submerged habitat areas (Schleppe et al, 2014). Other physical factors that may also be important to benthic abundance and diversity that are yet to be investigated include frequency and magnitude of flow events. Large peaks in flow on other regulated rivers have been shown to decrease invertebrate species density, diversity and biomass (Robinson et al. 2004) and cause shear stresses sufficient to thin algal communities (Flinders and Hartz 2009).

4.4 Benthic Communities and Area of Productive Habitat

The intent of implementing minimum flows is to increase the spatial area of wetted habitat and subsequently improve benthic community function at these locations. Minimum flows will increase the area of productive habitat because they maintain a minimum area of wetted perimeter. Preliminary results from the HEC-RAS model showed an increase of 32 - 37% in the spatial area of wetted habitats in Reaches 4 and 3 with minimum flows when the ALR elevation was below 425 m (Golder 2012; K. Bray, BC Hydro, pers. comm. 2010). All MCR data suggest that productive benthic habitat was highly influenced by submergence parameters, including duration and timing of flow events. In fact, submergence (or metrics of it) may actually be the most important determinant of benthic communities in the MCR, most notably abundance, biomass, and food for fish. The most productive areas tended to occur at T2 through T3/T4, and depended directly on submergence. Thus, the wetted edge from minimum flows to slightly above in the varial zone is important and productive habitat. However, the size and position of productive varial zone habitats shifts depending upon the prevailing operating regime. Thus, minimum flows must make an overall contribution to benthic community abundance and diversity in areas subjected to minimum flows, but other parameters such as duration of daytime submergence were also important. However, our data also suggest that when the elevation of the ALR was higher, the benefit of minimum flows on the spatial area of productivity was lessened by the effects of backwatering that may extend throughout Reach 3 MCR and into Reach 4. The specific relationships between ALR elevation and the effects of minimum flow have not been fully investigated, but determination of the spatial area of habitat must consider the effects of ALR backwatering as well as minimum flows.

In MCR, the total area of productive habitat in these three zones depends upon more than just minimum flows. The effectiveness of minimum flows at increasing the area of productive benthic habitat was difficult to determine given the highly variable flow regime, variable episodes of ALR backwatering and the timing of benthic life cycles and flow releases. Despite difficulties in determining the exact benefits in spatial area of productive habitats attributable to minimum flows, we conclude that minimum flow increased the spatial area of productive habitat because it provided a minimum wetted habitat area that is productive and basically was not there before.

4.5 Effects of Minimum Flows on Periphyton and Accrual

Historically, BC Hydro avoided daytime dewatering and this operating regime was implemented prior to the establishment of 142 m³/s minimum flows. After the initiation of this study, the REV 5 turbine also came online. This means that we do not have clear before/after periods where we can study the benefits of minimum flows in isolation from other flow changes on the MCR over





the study period. We therefore contrasted production in the regularly dewatered varial zones with production in the permanently wetted zones to address questions 1 and 3.

The benefits of minimum flows were most evident in the periphyton communities at T2 and T3 locations because these locations occur directly above or adjacent to the wetted edge at minimum flows. Peak production was most apparent at T2 locations because higher velocities at T1 thalweg locations had higher sheer stresses that reduced the periphyton community. However, productivity at T3 locations was similar to T2 locations under the current operating regime of nightly low flow periods with daytime high flows frequently exceeding 800 m³/s. The lower varial zone (T3/T4) was an important productive area bounded by minimum flows and a mobile upper limit created by average daily submergence during the preceding 30 - 70 days. Unlike the permanently wetted zone, productivity in the lower varial zone was entirely dependent upon submergence caused by the recent operating regime. Extended minimum flow events in excess of one week would cause extensive periphyton losses in the lower varial zone and required a recovery period of several weeks with consistent submergence by flows greater than the 142 m³/s minimum. Productivity of the frequently dewatered upper varial zone (T5/T6) was consistently less than half of the high productivity zones.

These data suggest that MCR periphyton communities may be more dependent upon the overall operating regime (daily, monthly, and annual patterns of flow release, ALR backwatering, etc.) than the specific effects of minimum flow because the normal operating regime determined the wetted edge of the channel during daytime periods, a key explanatory variable in our modelling data.

The benefits of a permanently wetted channel area were also affected by prevailing conditions. For example, ALR backwatering, rain or high humidity, and cool air temperatures ranging from 5-10 °C were all beneficial to periphyton viability on exposed substrates (Stanley at al. 2004). Conversely, dry weather with air temperatures below 0 °C (spring) or exceeding 15 °C to 20 °C (fall) all reduced periphyton viability on the exposed substrates.

The effects of season and peak flows were also important when considering the benefits of minimum flows. Minimum flows were particularly advantageous during the fall when rates of periphyton recovery were highest, while the benefits were less evident in the winter/spring with slow periphyton recovery rates and high peak flows. Peak flows associated with REV 5 may reduce the benefits of minimum flows if they result in sheer stresses sufficient to thin established periphyton communities in the lower varial zones and thalweg.

Establishment and accrual of periphyton communities in MCR occurred at slow rates similar to other large oligotrophic rivers (Table 4.1). The combined time series data collected across year, season and river depth suggest that accrual on MCR continued linearly to the end of the 46-51 day deployment period. Therefore, incubation periods of greater than 46 days are required to achieve peak periphyton biomass in MCR. Limited six month MCR deployments indicated that peak biomass in the varial zone required many months to accrue. Since periphyton communities can take from weeks in mesotrophic and eutrophic habitats to as many as three years in oligotrophic habitats to stabilize following a change in flow regime (Wu et al. 2009; Biggs 1989), assessing the overall effects of minimum flow in MCR will take years to fully understand. Further, the daily, weekly, and yearly patterns of operation, Arrow Lakes Reservoir backwatering, and





seasonal growth cycles can all affect accrual. Although improved periphyton production stemming from the implementation of minimum flows is already occurring, it will be difficult to separate production benefits attributable to minimum flows from the effects of flows resulting from the recent and current operating regimes.

Channel areas covered by minimum flows are not the only areas of MCR that can maintain and act as sources of species to aid recovery. The role of backwaters and back-eddies as a source of recruitment and maintenance of some planktonic and periphytic species cannot be doubted (Reynolds and Descy 1996). Similarly, many researchers have concluded that shallows with lower velocities also act as important areas for periphyton recruitment (Butcher 1932; Reynolds and Descy 1996). Thus, back-water and Big Eddy areas of MCR that retain water for longer, but still undergo fluid exchange with the main flow, can act as reservoirs of periphyton inocula. These areas are more abundant in Reach 3 than in Reach 4, and may cause Reach 3 periphyton to recover faster after catastrophic flow events.

Patterns of periphyton accrual and recovery in MCR are further complicated by drifting limnoplankton exported by flows from Revelstoke Reservoir. Phytoplankton that becomes trapped in the periphyton matrix (Middleton 2010) can subsidize the periphyton for many kilometers below a dam (Doi et al 2008; Larratt et al. 2013). The distinction between periphyton that grow on surfaces and those that live a wholly planktonic existence is not clear-cut, but is blurred by species that can grow in either habitat, and some limnoplankton can pass through a benthic survival stage (Reynolds and Descy 1996). Contributions of phytoplankton to MCR periphyton may also occur from the Arrow Lakes Reservoir to Reach 3 during backwatering, but the few plankton hauls we have completed showed that the ALR phytoplankton was impoverished, likely by turbidity (Schleppe et al. 2012). Species contributed by Revelstoke Reservoir appeared to account for a significant proportion of the MCR periphyton, particularly in the fall. This means phytoplankton events occurring in Revelstoke Reservoir and the timing and depth of reservoir releases exerted an influence on MCR periphyton accrual and recovery rates.

4.6 Effects of Minimum Flows on Benthic Invertebrates and Accrual

The responses of benthic invertebrates to minimum flows were very similar to periphyton. Productive habitat included permanently submerged habitat and areas in the lower varial zone adjacent to the edge wetted by minimum flows. Since invertebrate communities were directly dependent upon submergence and physical conditions of MCR for survival, the same explanation can be used to describe where and when invertebrate communities establish. Like periphyton, the area of productive invertebrate habitat is bounded by minimum flows and its upper limit determined by average daily submergence.

However, MCR invertebrate models explained less variation than periphyton models, and to a lesser extent than previous years reducing our ability to understand trends. This likely occurs because of the patchily distributed invertebrate communities in space and time, potential sampling biases associated with use of rock baskets retrieved from depths of 5 m at high velocities, a low sample size to habitat area ratio when compared to periphyton, and microhabitat factors that could not be accounted for in our analysis. Further, invertebrates are more sensitive to desiccation than periphyton (Schleppe et al. 2012; Golder 2012). Invertebrate habitat was





probably more heavily influenced by periods of daytime exposure than periphyton because of their reduced tolerances to desiccation. The spatial area of the lower varial zone available to invertebrates was probably smaller than that of periphyton. For these reasons, explicitly determining the effectiveness of minimum flows on improving benthic conditions for invertebrates is difficult, but it is known that minimum flows benefited the invertebrate community and that dewatering of habitat had a direct, negative effect on benthic abundance, biomass, and community composition. The permanently wetted area can function as a source of benthic organisms to re-colonize previously exposed habitat areas after extensive low flow events lasting longer than 24 hours.

4.7 Effects of Minimum Flow on the Availability of Food for Fish

The area of productive MCR habitat is directly correlated with submergence. Our data suggest that the abundance, biomass, and overall availability of fish food (using the Fish Food Index (2013) and our EPT+Diptera responses (2014) were also directly dependent upon submergence. Fish food varies depending upon the species considered, and generally the EPT taxa and chironomids (Dipterans) are the most important forage for fish. It is for this reason that we have considered both a fish food index and created an EPT+D metric, to consider how fish food availability may be affected for different fish foraging groups. Generally, any increase in wetted productive habitat should cause a subsequent increase in fish food availability. The overall fish food availability was greatest at T1 through T3 locations, and coincided with the areas identified as being the most productive benthic habitats in our models. For these reasons, minimum flows increased fish food availability, but other key influences on productivity such as frequency and duration of daytime submergence events must also be considered. Substrates submerged for 450 - 500 hours (10 -11 hours/day) during daytime hours had the greatest availability of preferred fish food items. Similarly, EPT+D biomass was greatest in areas submerged for at least 500 – 1000 hours over >46 days. When considering specific fish species, EPT taxa were most commonly observed in areas of boulder or cobble substrate, whereas overall benthic abundance was greatest at sites with finer substrates. This suggests that food for salmonids should be greatest within areas of larger substrates. The interaction between minimum flow and substrate type is important. Further analysis is required to understand all the dynamics of fish species, and fish food interactions and how they relate to the implementation of minimum flows.

4.8 Possible Alternate Flow Regimes to Enhance Productivity

Operating regimes which reduce the frequency of catastrophic drying may expand the highly productive lower varial zone in MCR. Before the December 2010 implementation of minimum flows, BC Hydro attempted to avoid zero discharge during daylight hours for ecological and social reasons (BC Hydro 1998).

Based on the artificial substrate results, areas experiencing daytime submergence for longer than 9 hours can maintain measures of periphyton production and diversity similar to areas permanently submerged by minimum flows. Thus, a small shift in water releases would increase the area of productive habitat, and could occur under an operating regime that included brief excursions to <10 m³/s flow, provided that flows of 400 to 600 m³/s occurred every day for at least





9 daytime hours. It is probable that there are many operating regimes that could benefit MCR production, but more data is required to assess the merits of alternate operating regimes.

Minimum flows management may not be as helpful to MCR productivity as avoiding dewatering the highly productive lower varial zone (T3/T4) in daytime outages, although more data is required to fully understand the interactions between BCH operating regimes and benthic productivity. The consequences of, and recovery from, substrate exposure are explored in Table 4-2. Operating regimes that reduce the frequency and duration of catastrophic drying events could be more beneficial than always maintaining minimum flows. It may be possible to conserve minimum flow water during periods where backwatering extends through the MCR, and then use the conserved water to maintain higher releases during daytime low flow periods at a later date, thereby increasing the size of the valuable lower varial zone.

Table 4-2:Approximate ranges of expected percent mortality of dominant periphyton in
MCR Reaches 4 and 3 with substrate exposure time and corresponding recovery
times Corresponding invertebrate mortality times will be shorter, and the
recovery times more erratic than those for periphyton.

Expected Percent Mortality							
Substrate exposure time per event	<2	2-10	10-24	24-48	2-4	4-6	>6
	hours	hours	hours	hours	days	days	days
Day exposure, % loss	<10	10-30	30-40	40-60	40-70	70-95	95+
Night exposure % loss	none	0-10	10-30	30-50	50-70	70-80	80+
Corresponding Recovery Time (weeks)							
June – October	none	<1	1-2	2-3	3-5	5-8	.>8
November – May	<1	1-2	2-4	3-6	6-10	10-20	> 20

Data obtained from this study 2010 – 2014; Usher and Blinn 1990; Angradi and Kubly 1993; and Blinn et al. 1995, Hodoki 2005

Data to date indicate that the strongly selective variable submergence of MCR varial zone substrates limits algae diversity, and provision of a stable flow regime would allow a more varied flora, including species with slower rates of reproduction. Furthermore, even in areas that are covered by minimum flows, an abrupt increase in the underwater light field caused by reduced water cover can induce photo inhibition in some diatoms and exacerbate diatom loss to increased shear. This will favour species that are photo-adaptive, such as the pennate diatoms that currently dominate in MCR. Therefore, both the varial zones and the thalweg areas covered by minimum flows could benefit from stable flows in excess of minimum flows during the day, particularly when ALR back-watering is not present to protect the upper varial zones of Reach 3.





5.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

5.1 Review of Previously Recommended Project Directions

Recommendations from earlier CLBMON 15b Reports	Project Action in 2014
A spatial model of productivity should be developed	Further data from 2014 confirmed that development of a spatial model is feasible
Winter / Spring / Summer sampling	Not possible with current budget structure
Plankton hauls	Not possible with current budget structure in 2014
Conduct in-stream nutrient assay	Not possible with current budget structure

Table 5-1: Previously Recommended Project Directions and Actions Completed in 2013

5.2 Recommended Work Program Elements

The following are recommendations for consideration as part of the longer-term CLBMON15b work program.

- Collect a series of plankton hauls during the fall deployment and retrieval from the top of Reach 4 to the bottom of Reach 3 to track how fast the limnoplankton from Revelstoke Reservoir settle and augment MCR periphyton. This would involve a total of 12 samples, with three collected at 4 locations between Reach 4 and 3. Similarly, benthic invertebrate drift from Jordan and Illecillewaet rivers should be collected at the start and end of fall deployment or through deployment of invertebrate samples to understand what unregulated production is like as a comparison. These data would yield insights about the species composition in a regulated and unregulated river are like acting like a control, noting that no true control exists because flow regulation may have affected communities in these rivers over time also.
- Sampling should occur in other seasons in the 2016-17 sampling period. MCR data strongly suggest an influence of season on productivity and currently, there is little understanding of productivity in either the summer or winter. Increased sampling during these periods would allow direct testing the effects of minimum flow on benthic productivity during periods of potentially a different response than the spring or fall currently sampled because factors such as death rates due to exposure may be greater.





6.0 **REFERENCES**

- Anderson, D. R. (2008). *Model Based Inference in the Life Sciences: A Primer on Evidence. Biometrics* (Vol. 64, p. 184). Springer. doi:10.1111/j.1541-0420.2008.01138_13.x
- Angradi, T. R., & Kubly, D. M. (1993). Effects of atmospheric exposure on chlorophyll a, biomass and productivity of the epilithon of a tailwater river. *Regulated Rivers: Research & Management, 8*(4), 345–358. doi:10.1002/rrr.3450080405
- Barton, K. (2009). MuMIn multi-model inference (An R statistical package). Retrieved from http://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/mumin/
- Barton, K. (2012). MuMIn: Multi-model inference. R package version 1.7. 7. Retrieved from http://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/mumin/
- BC Hydro. (1998). System Operating Order No. 4P-30, Revelstoke Project Prepared by BC Hydro Power Facilities.14 p
- BC Hydro. (2005). Consultative Committee Report: Columbia River Water Use Plan, Volumes 1 and 2. Report prepared for the Columbia River Water Use Plan Consultative Committee. Burnaby, BC.
- BC Hydro. (2006). Revelstoke Unit 5 Project Environmental Assessment Certificate Application. Volume 1: Supplemental Information Report.
- BC Hydro. (2010). Columbia River Water Use Plan Revelstoke Flow Management Plan; Monitoring Program Terms of Reference Resubmission; Monitoring Program No. CLBMON-15b: Middle Columbia River Ecological Productivity Monitoring. Reference #RFP-385.
- Benke, A. C., Huryn, A. D., Smock, L. A., & Wallace, J. B. (1999). Length-mass relationships for freshwater macroinvertebrates in North America with particular reference to the southeastern United States. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, 18(3), 308–343. doi:10.2307/1468447
- Biggs, B. (2000). New Zealand periphyton guideline: detecting, monitoring and managing enrichment of streams. Ministry for the Environment Wellington.
- Biggs, B. J. F. (2000). *Stream periphyton monitoring manual. NIWA*. Ministry for the Environment, P.O. Box 8602. Christchurch, New Zealand.
- Biggs, B. J. F., & Close, M. E. (1989). Periphyton biomass dynamics in gravel bed rivers: the relative effects of flows and nutrients. *Freshwater Biology*, *22*(2), 209–231. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.1989.tb01096.x





- Blinn, W., Shannon, J., Stevens, L., & Carder, J. (1995). Consequences of fluctuating discharge for lotic communities. *Journal of North American Benthological Society*, 14(2), 233–248. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/1467776
- Bunn, S. E., & Arthington, A. H. (2002). Basic principles and ecological consequences of altered flow regimes for aquatic biodiversity. *Environmental Management*, *30*(4), 492–507. Retrieved from http://www.springerlink.com/openurl.asp?genre=article&id=doi:10.1007/s00267-002-2737-0
- Burnham, K. P., & Anderson, D. R. (2002). *Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach*. (Springer-Verlag, Ed.)*Ecological Modelling* (Vol. 172, p. 488). Springer. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2003.11.004
- Butcher, G. A. (1992). Ambient Water Quality Objectives For The Lower Columbia River Hugh KeenleysideDamToBirchbank :OverviewReport.Retrievedfromhttp://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wat/wq/objectives/birchbank/birchbank.html
- Capblanco, J., & Decamps, H. (1978). Dynamics of the phytoplankton of the River Lot. Vehr. Int. Verein. Limnol., 20, 1479–1484.
- Cattaneo, A., & Amireault, M. C. (1992). How artificial are artificial substrata for periphyton? *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, *11*(2), 244–256. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/1467389
- Colwell, R. R., Belas, M. R., Zachary, A., Austin, B., & Allen, D. (1980). Attachment of microorganisms to surfaces in the aquatic environment. *Devel. Indust. Microbiol.*, *21*, 169–178.
- Cox, D., & Snell, E. (1989). Analysis of binary data. 2nd. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Cundell, A. M., & Mitchell, R. (1977). Microbial succession on a wooden surface exposed to the sea. *Int. Biodeterior. Bull.*, *13*(3), 67–73.
- De Ruyter van Steveninck, E. D., Admiraal, W., Breebaart, L., Tubbing, G. M. J., & van Zanten, B. (1992). Plankton in the River Rhine: structural and functional changes observed during downstream transport. *Journal of Plankton* Retrieved from http://plankt.oxfordjournals.org/content/14/10/1351.short
- Doi, H. (2009). Spatial patterns of autochthonous and allochthonous resources in aquatic food webs. *Population Ecology*. doi:10.1007/s10144-008-0127-z
- Doi, H., Chang, K.-H., Ando, T., Imai, H., Nakano, S., Kajimoto, A., & Katano, I. (2008). Drifting plankton from a reservoir subsidize downstream food webs and alter community structure. *Oecologia*, *156*(2), 363–371. doi:10.1007/s00442-008-0988-z
- Dürr, S., & Thomason, J. (2009). *Biofouling*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.





- Fernandes, V. O., & Esteves, F. A. (2003). The use of indices for evaluating the periphytic community in two kinds of substrate in Imboassica Lagoon, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Biology Revista Brasleira de Biologia, 63(2), 233–243. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14509845
- Fletcher, M. (1980). Adherence of marine micro-organisms to smooth surfaces. *Bacterial Adherence*. Retrieved from http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-009-5863-0_11
- Flinders, C. A., & Hart, D. D. (2009). Effects of pulsed flows on nuisance periphyton growths in rivers: a mesocosm study. *River Research and Applications*, *25*(10), 1320–1330. doi:10.1002/rra.1244
- Freese, H. M., Karsten, U., & Schumann, R. (2006). Bacterial abundance, activity, and viability in the eutrophic River Warnow, northeast Germany. *Microbial Ecology*, *51*(1), 117–127. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16395540
- Gelman, A. (2008). Scaling regression inputs by dividing by two standard deviations. *Statistics in Medicine*, 27(October 2007), 2865–2873. doi:10.1002/sim
- Gerchakov, S., Marszalek, D., & Roth, F. (1976). Observations on Microfouling Applicable to OTEC Systems. Retrieved from http://oai.dtic.mil/oai/oai?verb=getRecord&metadataPrefix=html&identifier=ADA047302
- Golder and Associates. (2012). CLBMON-15a Mid-Columbia River Physical Habitat Monitoring. Annual Technical Report 2011. Report No. 11-1492-0084 (p. 44). Castlegar, B.C.
- Golder and Associates, ESSA Technologies, & Limnotek. (2012). *Baseline Aquatic Productivity in the Upper Peace River. Site C Clean Energy Project. Project Number: 10-1373-0028/3000.*
- Gosselain, V., Descy, J.-P., & Everbecq, E. (1994). The phytoplankton community of the River Meuse, Belgium: seasonal dynamics (year 1992) and the possible incidence of zooplankton grazing. *Hydrobiologia*. doi:10.1007/BF00007419
- Gregory, S., Swanson, F., McKee, W., & Cummins, K. (1991). An ecosystem perspective of riparian zones. *BioScience*, 41(8), 540–551. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/1311607
- Grueber, C. E., Nakagawa, S., Laws, R. J., & Jamieson, I. G. (2011). Multimodel inference in ecology and evolution: challenges and solutions. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *24*(4), 699–711. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02210.x
- Hoagland, K. (1983). Short-term standing crop and diversity of periphytic diatoms in a eutrophic reservoir. *Journal of Phycology*, *19*, 30–38. Retrieved from http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1983.00030.x/abstract





- Hoagland, K. D., Rosowski, J. R., Gretz, M. R., & Roemer, S. C. (1993). Diatom Extracellular Polymeric Substances: Function, Fine Structure, Chemistry, and Physiology. *Journal of Phycology*, 29(5), 537– 566. doi:10.1111/j.0022-3646.1993.00537.x
- Hodoki, Y. (2005). Bacteria biofilm encourages algal immigration onto substrata in lotic systems. *Hydrobiologia*. doi:10.1007/s10750-004-3082-5
- Hynes, H. (1989). Keynote address. Proceedings of the international large river symposium. Canadian Special Publications of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 106. In D. Dodge (Ed.), (pp. 5–10).
- Larratt, H., Schleppe, J., Olson-Russello, M., & Swain, N. (2013). Monitoring Study No. CLBMON-44 (Year 5) Lower Columbia River Physical Habitat and Ecological Productivity, Study Period: 2012. Report Prepared for BC Hydro by Ecoscape Environmental Consultants Ltd. (p. 96). Castlegar, B.C.
- Lear, G., Turner, S. J., & Lewis, G. D. (2008). Effect of light regimes on the utilisation of an exogenous carbon source by freshwater biofilm bacterial communities. *Aquatic Ecology*, *43*(2), 207–220. doi:10.1007/s10452-008-9193-8
- Luce, J. J., Cattaneo, A., & Lapointe, M. F. (2010). Spatial patterns in periphyton biomass after lowmagnitude flow spates: geomorphic factors affecting patchiness across gravel–cobble riffles. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*. doi:10.1899/09-059.1
- Magee, L. (1990). R2 Measures Based on Wald and Likelihood Ratio Joint Significance Tests. *American Statistician*, 44(3), 250–253. doi:10.2307/2685352
- Middleton, R.G. (2010) Cattle access affects periphyton community structure in Tennessee farm ponds. Master's Thesis, University of Tennessee, 2010. http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_gradthes/732
- Nagelkerke, N. (1991). A note on a general definition of the coefficient of determination. *Biometrika*. Retrieved from http://www.cesarzamudio.com/uploads/1/7/9/1/17916581/nagelkerke_n.j.d._1991_-_a_note_on_a_general_definition_of_the_coefficient_of_determination.pdf
- Oksanen. J, et al. (2015). *Envfit from Vegan Package*. Retrieved from https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html
- Perrin, C. J., & Chapman, B. (2010a). Columbia River Project Water Use Plan: Middle Columbia River Ecological Productivity Monitoring. Study Period: 2009. Golder Associates. Report No. 09-1480-0036 (p. 54).
- Perrin, C. J., & Chapman, B. (2010b). *Middle Columbia River ecological productivity monitoring, 2009. Report Prepared by Golder Associates Ltd. and Limnotek Research and Development Inc. for BC Hydro* (p. 52).





- Perrin, C. J., Korman, J., & Schmidt, D. (2004). *A monitoring protocol for benthic invertebrates and periphyton in the Middle and Lower Columbia River* (p. 59). Report prepared by Limnotek Research and Development Inc., Ecometric Research Ltd. and Golder Associates Ltd. for BC Hydro.
- Peterson, C., & Jones, T. (2003). Diatom viability in insect fecal material: comparison between two species, Achnanthidium lanceolatum and Synedra ulna. *Hydrobiologia*. Retrieved from http://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1026259403351
- Peterson, D., & Porter, S. (2002). *Biological and chemical indicators of eutrophication in the Yellowstone River and major tributaries during August 2000.* Retrieved from http://wy.water.usgs.gov/projects/yell/nwqmc/
- Piñeiro, G., Perelman, S., Guerschman, J. P., & Paruelo, J. M. (2008). How to evaluate models: Observed vs. predicted or predicted vs. observed? *Ecological Modelling*, *216*(3-4), 316–322. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2008.05.006
- Plafkin, J., Barbour, M., & Porter, K. (1989). Rapid bioassessment protocols for use in rivers and streams: benthic macroinvertebrates and fish. *Washington DC: Office of ...*.
- R Development Core Team. (2008). *A language and environment for statistical computing.* Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from http://www.r-project.org
- Reynolds, C., & Descy, J. (1996). The production, biomass and structure of phytoplankton in large rivers. *Large Rivers*. Retrieved from http://www.schweizerbart.de/papers/rs/detail/10/80138/The_production_biomass_and_structur e_of_phytoplankton
- Robinson C.T., Aebischer, S. & Uehlinger U. (2004). Immediate and habitat-specific responses of macroinvertebrates to sequential, experimental floods. Journal of the North American Benthological Society23(4):853-867.
- Romaní, A. M. (2010) Freshwater Biofilms, in Biofouling (eds S. Dürr and J. C. Thomason), Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK. doi: 10.1002/9781444315462.ch10
- Sass, G. G., Carpenter, S. R., Gaeta, J. W., Kitchell, J. F., & Ahrenstorff, T. D. (2011). Whole-lake addition of coarse woody habitat: response of fish populations. *Aquatic Sciences*, *74*(2), 255–266. doi:10.1007/s00027-011-0219-2
- Schielzeth, Holger. 2010. Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression coefficients. Methods in Ecology and Evolution. 1:103-113.
- Schleppe, J., Bio, R., Larratt, H., & Olson-Russello, M. (2011). *MIDDLE COLUMBIA RIVER ECOLOGICAL PRODUCTIVITY MONITORING* (CLBMON–15b ed.). Kelowna, B.C: Ecoscape Environmental Consultants Ltd. & Larratt Aquatic Consulting Ltd. Retrieved from





http://www.bchydro.com/content/dam/hydro/medialib/internet/documents/planning_regulatory /wup/southern_interior/2011q3/clbmon-15b_yr4_2011-08-01.pdf

- Schleppe, J., Bio, R., Larratt, H., & Olson-Russello, M. (2011). MIDDLE COLUMBIA RIVER ECOLOGICAL PRODUCTIVITY MONITORING (CLBMON–15b ed.). Kelowna, B.C: Ecoscape Environmental Consultants Ltd. & Larratt Aquatic Consulting Ltd. Retrieved from http://www.bchydro.com/content/dam/hydro/medialib/internet/documents/planning_regulatory /wup/southern_interior/2011q3/clbmon-15b_yr4_2011-08-01.pdf
- Schleppe, J., Larratt, H., & Olson-Russello, M. (2012). *CLBMON-15b Middle Columbia River ecological productivity monitoring, annual report 2011. Ecoscape Environmental Consultants Ltd. & Larratt Aquatic. Ecoscape File No. 10-599.* Kelowna, B.C.
- Schleppe, J., Larratt, H., & Olson-Russello, M. (2013). CLBMON-15b Middle Columbia River ecological productivity monitoring, annual report 2012. Ecoscape Environmental Consultants Ltd. & Larratt Aquatic. Ecoscape File No. 10-599. Kelowna, B.C.
- Self, J., & Larratt, H. (2013). *Limiting the Spread of Aquatic Invasive Species into the Okanagan. Prepared for the Okanagan Basin Water Board and the Glenmore-Ellison Improvement District* (p. 84). Retrieved from http://www.obwb.ca/fileadmin/docs/2013_obwb_ais_report.pdf
- Smock, L. A. (1980). Relationships between body size and biomass of aquatic insects. *Freshwater Biology*, *10*(4), 375–383. doi:doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2427.1980.tb01211.x
- Stanley, E. H., Fisher, S. G., & Jones, Jr., J. B. (2004). Effects of water loss on primary production: A landscape-scale model. *Aquatic Sciences Research Across Boundaries*. doi:10.1007/s00027-003-0646-9
- Stockner, J., & F.A.J., A. (1971). Periphyton of the Experimental Lakes Area. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada, 28,* 215–229.
- Stockner, J. G. (1991). Autotrophic picoplankton in fresh-water ecosystems the view from the summit. *Internationale Revue Der Gesamten Hydrobiologie*, *76*(4), 483–492. Retrieved from <Go to ISI>://A1991HE11500001
- Tonkin, J. D., Death, R. G., & Joy, M. K. (2009). Invertebrate drift patterns in a regulated river: dams, periphyton biomass or longitudinal patterns? *River Research and Applications*, *25*(10), 1219–1231. doi:10.1002/rra.1213
- Usher, H., & Blinn, D. (1990). Influence of various exposure periods on the biomass and chlorophyll-a of Cladophora glomerata (Chlorophyta). *Journal of Phycology*. Retrieved from http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1990.00244.x/abstract





- Vincent, W. (2007). Cold tolerance in cyanobacteria and life in the cryosphere. *Algae and Cyanobacteria in Extreme Environments*. Retrieved from http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4020-6112-7_15
- Wetzel, R. (2001). *Limnology: lake and river ecosystems* (3rd ed.). New York: Academic Press.
- Wetzel, R. G., & Likens, G. E. (1991). *Primary Productivity of Phytoplankton. Limnological Analysis* (pp. 207–226). Springer.
- Wu, N., Tang, T., Zhou, S., Jia, X., Li, D., Liu, R., & Cai, Q. (2009). Changes in benthic algal communities following construction of a run-of-river dam. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*. doi:10.1899/08-047.1
- Zuur, A. F., Leno, E. N., Walker, N. J., Saveliev, A. A., & Smith, G. M. (2009). *Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R*. New York: Springer Science. Retrieved from http://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=vQUNprFZKHsC&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=mixed+effect s+models+and+extension+in+ecology&ots=kaxItP4HXp&sig=zfaOaGTw9Ug4YT5Tp7oobf_VKn4





APPENDIX A DATA TABLES AND FIGURES



Light and Temperature Figures

Figure A-1: The pattern of daily water temperature in MCR during the fall and spring study periods. The blue line represents the mean from 2010 to 2013 (Spring) / 2014 (Fall) and red represents the mean 2013 (Spring) or 2014 data (Fall) from all submerged samplers. The green line represents the average temperature of exposed sites in 2013 (spring) and 2014 (fall). Data were pooled for fall periods between 2010 – 2014 and for spring periods between 2011 – 2013 (±SD in grey).







Figure A-2: Fall daily pattern of light intensity (lux) while samplers were water-covered in MCR at varying depths, where T1 is the deepest and T6 is the shallowest for samplers. The blue line represents the mean from 2010 to 2014 (±SD in grey) and red represents the mean 2014 data from all submerged samplers. The x-axis is time in hours of the day (0:00 to 24:00).







Figure A-3: Spring daily pattern of light intensity (lux) while samplers were water-covered in MCR at varying depths, where T1 is the deepest and T6 is the shallowest for samplers. The blue line represents the mean from 2010 to 2014 (±SD in grey) and red represents the mean 2014 data from all submerged samplers. The x-axis is time in hours of the day (0:00 to 24:00).







Figure A-4: Fall daily pattern of light intensity (lux) in MCR while samplers were exposed, where T1 is the deepest and T6 is the shallowest for samplers. The blue line represents the mean from 2010 to 2014 (±SD in grey) and red represents the mean 2014 data from all submerged samplers. The x-axis is time in hours of the day (0:00 to 24:00).







Figure A-5: Spring daily pattern of light intensity (lux) in MCR while samplers were exposed, where T1 is the deepest and T6 is the shallowest for samplers. The blue line represents the mean from 2010 to 2014 (±SD in grey) and red represents the mean 2014 data from all submerged samplers. The x-axis is time in hours of the day (0:00 to 24:00).







Figure A-6: The pattern of daily flow in MCR during the fall and spring study periods in pre (2007-2010) and post implementation (2011-2014) of minimum flows. Average hourly flow from 2014 (Fall) and 2013 (Spring) are shown in red, while the average of all data pooled is shown in blue (pre, post) are shown in dark blue. The standard deviation of average hourly flow across all years pooled is shown in grey. The minimum flows are shown as a black dotted line.





Figure A-7: Backwatering of Arrow lakes Reservoir (ALR) into MCR Reach 3 and Reach 4 with the spring and fall deployment periods marked. The vertical axis shows elevations in the normal operating range of ALR.





Table A-1:Summary of the number of plausible models identified using model
averaging (those with a \triangle AIC <3) and the range of pseudo R² values
for selected models for samplers across all transects.

Periphyton Production Models

Table A-1 provides a summary of the model averaging results. A total of 64 models were competed using model averaging for each response in the spring and fall. In general, there were 6 to 10 plausible models for each response in the spring and fall.

MCR - Full Transect	Fall		Spring		
Periphyton Response	# of plausible models	range of pseudo R ²	# of plausible models	range of pseudo R ²	
Abundance	10	0.50 - 0.51	8	0.49 - 0.52	
biovolume	9	.4847	9	0.57 - 0.58	
chlorophyll-a	6	0.56 - 0.56	9	0.80 - 0.81	
% good forage	16	0.23 - 0.26	14	0.03 - 0.07	
Simpson's Index	15	.2325	15	0.08 - 0.14	
species richness	6	0.42 - 0.43	10	0.58 - 0.61	





Benthic Invertebrate Production Models

Table A-2 provides a summary of the model averaging results. A total of 64 models were competed using model averaging for each response in the spring and fall. In general, there were typically 7 to 11 plausible models for each response in the spring and fall. Since invertebrate distributions are more variable, and more samples are required to obtain better representation than periphyton, it is not surprising that more plausible models were identified for periphyton than for invertebrates.

Table A-2:	Summary of the number of plausible models identified using model averaging (those with a \triangle AIC <2) and the range of pseudo R ² values for selected models for samplers across all transects.

MCR - Full Transect	Fall		Spring		
Invertebrate response metric	# of plausible models	range of pseudo R ²	# of plausible models	range of pseudo R ²	
abundance	8	0.56 - 0.57	11	0.48 - 0.52	
Biomass	8	0.40 - 0.42	9	0.47 - 0.50	
EPT and D	7	0.43 - 0.45	14	0.46 - 0.50	
Hilsenhoff Biotic Index	11	0.43 - 0.44	7	0.32 - 0.35	
Simpson's Index	10	0.14 - 0.16	7	0.42 - 0.44	
species richness	10	0.48 - 0.50	10	0.04 - 0.06	





January 2016

APPENDIX B DIGITAL DATA TABLES AND FIGURES