

## **Ash River Project Water Use Plan**

### **Monitoring Programs Annual Report: 2007**

- **Assessment of Adult Fish Passage at Dickson Falls During Pulse Flow Releases from Elsie Lake Dam**
- **Elsie Reservoir Archaeological Investigations**

**For Water Licences FL119975 and FL119976**

**15 October 2007**

## **BC Hydro Ash River Project Water Use Plan Monitoring Programs Annual Report: 2006**

### **1 Introduction**

This document represents a summary of the status and the results of the Ash River Water Use Plan (WUP) monitoring programs to 30 September 2007, as per the Ash River Order under the *Water Act*, dated 4 October 2004. There are two monitoring programs:

- a) Assessment of Adult Fish Passage at Dickson Falls During Pulse Flow Releases from Elsie Lake Dam
- b) Elsie Reservoir Archaeological Investigations

### **2 Background**

The water use planning process for BC Hydro's Ash River project was initiated in September 2000 and completed in June 2002. The conditions proposed in the WUP for the operation of the project reflect the June 2003 recommendations of the Ash River WUP Consultative Committee.

In June 2003, the Ash River WUP was submitted to the Comptroller of Water Rights (Comptroller).

On 4 October 2004, BC Hydro was ordered to implement the conditions proposed in the Ash River WUP and prepare the monitoring programs Terms of Reference (TOR).

On 10 June 2005, the Ash River monitoring programs TOR was submitted to the Comptroller for review and approval. On 21 June 2005, TOR for the monitoring program Assessment of Adult Fish Passage at Dickson Falls During Pulse Flow Releases from Elsie Lake Dam was accepted by the Comptroller. On 26 January 2006, TOR for the monitoring program Elsie Reservoir Archaeological Investigations was accepted by the Comptroller. On 16 July 2007, BC Hydro submitted to the Comptroller a Notification of Change to the TOR for the Assessment of Adult Fish Passage at Dickson Falls During Pulse Flow Release from Elsie Lake Dam identifying an increase in the cost of the monitoring program.

As outlined in the Ash River WUP, five years after the implementation of this WUP BC Hydro will review the results of the monitoring programs and assess the need to review the Ash River WUP. A review of the WUP could be triggered sooner if significant risks are identified that could result in a recommendation to change operations.

### **3 Status**

The following table outlines the status and schedule for the Ash River WUP monitoring programs.

**Table 3-1: Status of Ash River WUP Monitoring Programs Implementation**

Monitoring Programs	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
	WLR YR1	WLR YR2	WLR YR3	WLR YR4	WLR YR5  Final Review
Assessment of Adult Fish Passage at Dickson Falls During Pulse Flow Releases from Elsie Lake Dam	✓	✓	u/w	■	■*
Elsie Reservoir Archaeological investigations		✓	u/w	■	■*

\* Note final report due in spring 2010.

Legend:     ■ = Program to be undertaken/initiated in identified year  
               u/w = Project is underway  
               ✓ = Program completed for the year

## 4 Ash River WUP Monitoring Programs

This section outlines the status of the Ash River WUP monitoring programs as per the Order under the *Water Act*, dated 4 October 2004.

### 4.1 Assessment of Adult Fish Passage at Dickson Falls During Pulse Flow Releases from Elsie Lake Dam

#### 4.1.1 Overview

The objective of this monitoring program is to assess the benefits of the pulse flow release to steelhead passage at Dickson Falls. The study area will include sections of the middle and lower Ash River and focus on Dickson Falls.

The Consultative Committee (CC) for the Ash River WUP expressed concern that flow conditions at Dickson Falls in the lower Ash River during August and September may impede the upstream passage of adult summer-run steelhead trout and other salmon species.

Monitoring Indicator: (a) Steelhead passage rates at Dickson Falls during pulse flow release and non-release periods.

This monitoring program involves snorkel counts, radio telemetry of tagged fish, and observations of leap attempts.

#### 4.1.2 Status

This monitoring program was initiated in August 2005 and will be carried out over five years. All data required for Year 1 and Year 2 of this monitoring program was collected during August and September 2005 and 2006. A copy of the annual study reports for Years 1 and 2 is included with this Annual report submission.

Year 3 of this monitoring program commenced in June 2007. In 2007, the August pulse flow was carried out on August 21 & 22 and the September pulse flow was carried out on September 24 & 25.

#### **4.1.3 Interpretation of Data**

As outlined in the Terms of Reference, Year 2 of data collection focused on continued snorkel and leap attempt observations, as well on radio telemetry at a pilot scale. The pilot telemetry work was successful and telemetry will be implemented fully in Years 3 and 5 as per the Terms of Reference. As outlined in the Terms of Reference, interpretation of data is to be performed after the completion of data collection in Year 5.

### **4.2 Elsie Reservoir Archaeological Investigations**

#### **4.2.1 Background Pre-Order**

Baseline archaeological site inventory and impact assessments were carried out in August and October of 2001 during a deep drawdown of Elsie Reservoir for dam seismic upgrades. This assessment discovered and recorded 26 new archaeological sites in the drawdown zone of the reservoir; 11 lithic scatter areas and 15 culturally modified trees (CMTs). Site significance was evaluated using criteria outlined in the BC Archaeological Impact Assessment Guidelines. One site was determined to be of high significance, four to be of moderate significance and the rest to be of moderate low or low significance.

The draft impact assessment report indicates that additional sites are likely in the permanently inundated portion of the reservoir. Additional sites may be discovered in the operational range of the reservoir. The draft report recommended that site DiSH-17, the site categorized as having high archaeological significance, be investigated for the possibility of mitigation of erosion impacts through protective capping. The report further recommended that a mitigative salvage be carried out at the site to recover artifacts and samples for carbon dating.

Further reconnaissance work was recommended during future drawdowns (at or below 318 masl) to identify potential new sites and to monitor rates of erosion and artifact exposure from known sites. It recommended that known and discovered CMT sites are to be sampled for age analysis (tree ring counts).

In October of 2002, BC Hydro and Hupacasath First Nation agreed to move forward on investigation of erosion impacts and further characterization of site DiSH-17. An erosion monitoring system was established at the site in early October of 2002. Additional archaeological investigations of the site were carried out in mid-November of 2002 in order to delineate the extent of the site and assess the need for mitigative salvage work if erosion was substantial and other mitigation strategies were not feasible.

#### **4.2.2 Overview**

The objective of the Elsie Reservoir Archaeological Investigation monitoring program was to assess whether the current operating regime used for the Elsie Reservoir is having an impact on significant archaeological sites in the reservoir drawdown zone.

The monitoring program was to include three main components; annual monitoring of a known significant site (DiSH-17), evaluation of options to protect this site, and opportunistic surface surveys of other known sites during periods of low reservoir levels.

#### **4.2.3 Status**

In the fall of 2005, based on the monitoring data collected to date, combined with observations of other erosion factors, it was determined that the erosion forces on site DiSH-17 were very slow and that there was no need to continue to monitor the erosion rates. It was recommended that a portion of site DiSH-17 be excavated completely, and that the rest of the site DiSH-17 be covered with a geotextile blanket anchored with cobbles. The recommendation was supported by the Archaeological Branch and Hupacasath First Nation.

In September 2005, it was observed that site DiSH-17 had been vandalized and additional damage from recreational vehicle tracks was apparent across the site.

On 30 September through 3 October 2005, site DiSh-17 was partially excavated according to a systematic data recovery protocol under HCA Section 14 Heritage Investigation Permit 2005-401, and then covered with geotextile fabric to mitigate further erosion and vandalism, under HCA Section 12 Site Alteration Permit 2005-404.

The geotextile installation at site DiSH-17 is to be inspected twice per year, conditions allowing, monitoring the performance of the geotextile protection, collecting any artifacts that may be exposed on the surface and monitoring the general condition of the site. This monitoring was initiated in September 2006 and will be carried out annually. This work is currently being conducted under a two-year contract and HCA Section 14 Heritage Investigation Permit 2006-366.

As per the monitoring program terms of reference, opportunistic surface surveys of other known sites during periods of low reservoir levels was initiated September 2006 under the same two-year contract and HCA Section 14 Heritage Investigation Permit 2006-366 as noted above. The objective of these opportunistic surveys is to monitor the rate of artifact exposure at known sites in the reservoir. The monitoring program is scheduled to proceed for at least five years followed by a review and opportunity for further work, based on the results of the initial five years of monitoring.

A total of seven visits to Elsie Lake were undertaken during September and October of 2006. DiSh-17 was visited five times and eleven other sites were revisited during this period. The first program report was received 24 June 2007.

#### **4.2.4 Interpretation of Data**

No new sites were identified during the monitoring visits. Artifacts exposed on the surface of DiSh-17, DiSg-18 and DiSg-22 were collected. These eroding artifacts suggest ongoing erosive effects are taking place within the reservoir draw down zone but the 2006 annual interim report does not quantify these effects.

It was observed that the geotextile barrier that had been placed over DiSh-17 in the fall of 2005 failed along the seams ostensibly as a result of the lifting of staples

caused by wave action. Sandbag and boulder anchors were intact. Repositioning of the geotextile and the placement of additional boulder anchors along the seams was carried out during the initial monitoring visit to this site. Virtually no sediment had accumulated on top of the geotextile during the 2005/2006 winter inundation period. Other than the possibility of human interference with the geotextile mat, no evidence of recreational impacts to archaeological sites was observed during the monitoring visits. Rainfall appears to have accelerated the exposure of artifacts on that area of DiSh-17 which lies outside the zone protected by geotextiles.

## 5 Ash River WUP Monitoring Programs Costs

The following table summarizes the Ash River WUP monitoring programs costs accepted by the Comptroller on 21 June 2005 and 26 January 2006, and actual costs to 30 September 2007.

**Table 5-1: Ash River WUP Monitoring Program Costs**

Description		Costs Accepted by Comptroller of Water Rights	Actual Costs to 30 September 2007
<b>Monitoring Programs</b>			
Assessment of Adult Fish Passage at Dickson Falls During Pulse Flow	Direct Management	\$75,190	\$39,399
Releases from Elsie Lake Dam	Implementation	\$289,340	\$117,176
Elsie Reservoir Archaeological Investigations	Direct Management	\$45,287	\$7,690
	Implementation	\$87,932	\$14,947